

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adeel, N., Patel, C., Martinov-Bennie, N. and Ying, S.X., 2022. Islamic Religiosity and Auditors' Judgements: Evidence from Pakistan. *Journal of Business Ethics*, [online] 179(2), pp.551–572. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-021-04829-w>.
- Ahadiat, N., 2011. Association between audit opinion and provision of non-audit services. *International Journal of Accounting and Information Management*, 19(2), pp.182–193. <https://doi.org/10.1108/18347641111136463>.
- Ahlawat, S.S. and Fogarty, T.J., 2003. An Analysis of Group Influences on Going Concern Auditor Judgments. *Advances in Accounting Behavioral Research*, 6, pp.27–51. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-7979\(03\)06002-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-7979(03)06002-2).
- Ahmad, F., 2019. A systematic review of the role of Big Data Analytics in reducing the influence of cognitive errors on the audit judgement. *Revista de Contabilidad-Spanish Accounting Review*, 22(2), pp.187–202. <https://doi.org/10.6018/rcsar.382251>.
- Aida, N., 2021. Work experience, obedience pressure and task complexity on audit judgment. *Golden Ratio of Auditing Research*, 1(2), pp.61–69. <https://doi.org/10.52970/grar.v1i2.51>.
- Alberti, C.T., Bedard, J.C., Bik, O. and Vanstraelen, A., 2022. Audit Firm Culture : Recent Developments and Trends in the Literature. *European Accounting Review*, [online] 31(1), pp.59–109. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180.2020.1846574>.
- Andiola, L.M., 2014. Performance feedback in the audit environment: A review and synthesis of research on the behavioral effects. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, [online] 33, pp.1–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acclit.2014.07.001>.
- Appelbaum, D.A., Kogan, A. and Vasarhelyi, M.A., 2018. Analytical procedures in external auditing: A comprehensive literature survey and framework for external audit analytics. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, [online] 40(September 2016), pp.83–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acclit.2018.01.001>.
- Arens, A.A., Elder, R.J. and Beasley, M.S., 2015. *Auditing dan Jasa Assurance: Pendekatan Terintegrasi*. Edisi 15 ed. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Arens, A.A., Elder, R.J., Beasley, M.S. and Hogan, C.E., 2017. *Auditing and Assurance Services an Integrated Approach*. 16th ed.
- Asbahr, K. and Ruhnke, K., 2019. Real effects of reporting key audit matters on auditors' judgment and choice of action. *International Journal of Auditing*, 23(2), pp.165–180. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijau.12154>.
- Asch, S.E., 1951. Effects of Group Pressure Upon the Modification and Distortion of Judgments. *Social Psychology*, pp.222–236. <https://doi.org/10.1525/9780520313514-017>.
- Ashton, R.H. and Ashton, A.H., 1995. Perspectives on judgment and decision-

making research in accounting and auditing. In: R.H. Ashton and A.H. Ashton, eds. *Judgment and Decision-Making Research in Accounting and Auditing*, Cambridge Series on Judgment and Decision Making. [online] New York: Cambridge University Press. pp.3–26. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511720420.003>.

Atmaja, I.W. and Sukartha, I.M., 2021. The influence of self efficacy, professional skepticism, and gender of auditors on audit judgment. *American Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Research*, [online] 5(1), pp.643–650. Available at: <[www.ajhssr.com](http://www.ajhssr.com)>.

Barndt, R.J., Fuller, L.R. and Flynn, K.E., 2016. Teaching inherent risk and tolerable misstatement in auditing: A modified Delphi method as a teaching tool. *Advances in Accounting Education: Teaching and Curriculum Innovations*, 19, pp.125–140. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S1085-462220160000019005>.

Barron, O., Groomer, S.M. and Swink, M., 1998. Setting Tolerable Misstatements When Auditing Aggregated Accounts. *Decision Sciences*, 29(4), pp.1005–1033. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5915.1998.tb00885.x>.

Bhaskar, L.S., Hopkins, P.E. and Schroeder, J.H., 2019. An Investigation of Auditors' Judgments when Companies Release Earnings before Audit Completion. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 57(2), pp.355–390. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-679X.12262>.

Bhattacharjee, S. and Moreno, K., 2002. The Impact of Affective Information on the Professional Judgments of More Experienced and Less Experienced Auditors. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 15(4), pp.361–377. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bdm.420>.

Bonner, S.E., 1999. Judgment and decision-making research in accounting. *Accounting Horizons*, 13(4), pp.385–398. <https://doi.org/10.2308/acch.1999.13.4.385>.

Brody, R.G., Golen, S.P., Brody, R.G., Golen, S.P. and Reckers, P.M.J., 1998. An Empirical Investigation of the Interface Between Internal and External Auditors. *Accounting and Business Research*, 28(3), pp.160–171. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00014788.1998.9728907>.

Brown-Liburd, H., Issa, H. and Lombardi, D., 2015. Behavioral implications of big data's impact on audit judgment and decision making and future research directions. *Accounting Horizons*, 29(2), pp.451–468. <https://doi.org/10.2308/acch-51023>.

Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants, C., 1995. *Professional Judgment and the Auditor*. Toronto.

Carey, P., Simnett, R. and Tanewski, G., 2000. Voluntary Demand for Internal and External Auditing by Family Businesses. *Auditing: Journal of Practice and Theory*, 19, pp.37–51.

Chen, T.T.Y., Zhang, F. (Frank) and Zhou, G. (Stephen), 2016. Secrecy Culture and Audit Opinion: Some International Evidence. *Journal of International Financial Management & Accounting*, [online] 28(3), pp.274–307.

<https://doi.org/10.1111/jifm.12057>.

Chung, J. and Monroe, G.S., 1999. The Effects of Counterexplanation and Source of Hypothesis on Developing Audit Judgment. *Accounting Education*, 8(2), pp.111–126. <https://doi.org/10.1080/096392899330964>.

Chung, J. and Monroe, G.S., 2001. A Research Note on the Effects of Gender and Task Complexity on an Audit Judgment. *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, 13(1), pp.111–125. <https://doi.org/10.2308/bria.2001.13.1.111>.

Cooper, H.M., 2016. *Research synthesis and meta analysis a step by step approach*. SAGE Publications, Inc.

Deliu, D., 2020. Elevating professional reasoning in auditing. Psycho-professional factors affecting auditor's professional judgement and skepticism. *Journal of Accounting and Auditing: Research & Practice*, 2020, pp.1–17. <https://doi.org/10.5171/2020.804680>.

Donnelly, D.P., Quirin, J.J. and O'Bryan, D., 2003. Auditor Acceptance of Dysfunctional Audit Behavior: An Explanatory Model Using Auditors' Personal Characteristics. *Behavioral Research In Accounting*, 15(1), pp.87–110. <https://doi.org/10.2308/bria.2003.15.1.87>.

El-Masry, E.H.E. and Hansen, K.A., 2008. Factors affecting auditors' utilization of evidential cues: Taxonomy and future research directions. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 23(1), pp.26–50. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02686900810838155>.

Favere-Marchesi, M., 2000. Audit quality in ASEAN. *International Journal of Accounting*, 35(1), pp.121–149. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0020-7063\(99\)00049-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0020-7063(99)00049-7).

Felix, W.L. and Kinney, W.R., 1982. Research in the Auditor's Opinion Formulation Process: State of the Art. *The Accounting Review*, [online] 57(2), pp.245–271. Available at: <<https://www.jstor.org/stable/247013>>.

Feng, N.C., 2020. Individual auditor characteristics and audit quality: evidence from nonprofits in the US. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting and Financial Management*, 32(4), pp.551–575. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JPBAFM-10-2019-0157>.

Garg, A.X., Hackam, D. and Tonelli, M., 2008. Systematic review and meta-analysis: When one study is just not enough. *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, 3(1), pp.253–260. <https://doi.org/10.2215/CJN.01430307>.

Gibbins, M., 1984. Propositions about the Psychology of Professional Judgment in Public Accounting. *Journal of Accounting Research*, [online] 22(1), pp.103–125. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2307/2490703>.

Gissel, J., Robertson, J.C. and Stefaniak, C.M., 2010. Formation and Consequences of Going Concern Opinions: A Review of the Literature. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, [online] 29, pp.59–141. Available at: <<http://libaccess.mcmaster.ca.libaccess.lib.mcmaster.ca/login?url=http://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=bth&AN=61158762&site=ehost-live&scope=site>>.

- Glover, S.M., 1997. The Influence of Time Pressure and Accountability on Auditors' Processing of Nondiagnostic Information. *Journal of Accounting Research*, [online] 35(2), pp.213–226. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2491361>.
- Habib, A., 2013. A meta-analysis of the determinants of modified audit opinion decisions. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 28(3), pp.184–216. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02686901311304349>.
- Hackenbrack, K., 1992. Implications of Seemingly Irrelevant Evidence in Audit Judgment. *Journal of Accounting Research*, [online] 30(1), pp.126–136. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2307/2491095>.
- Hadi, S., Thahjono, H.K. and Palupi, M., 2020. *Systematic Review: Meta Sintesis Untuk Riset Perilaku Organisasional*. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*. Yogyakarta.
- Hamdam, A., Jusoh, R., Yahya, Y., Abdul Jalil, A. and Zainal Abidin, N.H., 2022. Auditor judgment and decision-making in big data environment: a proposed research framework. *Accounting Research Journal*, 35(1), pp.55–70. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ARJ-04-2020-0078>.
- Haron, H., Chambers, A., Ramsi, R. and Ismail, I., 2004. The reliance of external auditors on internal auditors. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 19(9), pp.1148–1159. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02686900410562795>.
- Harvey, N., 1997. Confidence in judgment. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 1(2), pp.78–82. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613\(97\)01014-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1364-6613(97)01014-0).
- Hasan, B.T., Chand, P. and Lu, M., 2020. Influence of auditor's gender, experience, rule observance attitudes and critical thinking disposition on materiality judgements. *International Journal of Auditing*, 25(1), pp.188–205. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijau.12216>.
- Heider, F., 1946. Attitudes and Cognitive Organization. *The Journal of Psychology*, 21(1), pp.107–112. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980.1946.9917275>.
- Henrizi, P., Himmelsbach, D. and Hunziker, S., 2021. Anchoring and adjustment effects on audit judgments: experimental evidence from Switzerland. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 22(4), pp.598–621. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAAR-01-2020-0011>.
- IAPI, 2021. Standar Audit 701 (2021) Pengomunikasian Hal Audit Utama dalam Laporan Auditor Independen. *Standar Profesional Akuntan Publik*, 701(Revisi), pp.1–22.
- International Standard on Auditing 200*. International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board. [online] Available at: <[http://www.ifac.org/sites/default/files/publications/files/2012\\_IAASB\\_Handbook\\_Part\\_I\\_Web.pdf](http://www.ifac.org/sites/default/files/publications/files/2012_IAASB_Handbook_Part_I_Web.pdf)>.
- Jamil, A.H., Mohd Sanusi, Z., Yaacob, N.M., Mat Isa, Y. and Tarjo, T., 2021. The Covid-19 impact on financial crime and regulatory compliance in Malaysia. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 2021(April 2021). <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFC-05-2021-0107>.

- Johnson, L.P.Q., 2005. Corporate Officers and the Business Judgment Rule. *The Business Lawyer*, [online] 60(2), pp.439–469. Available at: <<https://www.jstor.org/stable/40688275>>.
- Johnson, P.E., Jamal, K. and Glen Berryman, R., 1989. Audit judgment research. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 14(1–2), pp.83–99. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(89\)90035-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(89)90035-4).
- Jollineau, S.J. and Durkin, M.P., 2018. Understanding and improving judgment and decision-making in accounting. *The Routledge Companion to Behavioural Accounting Research*, 1(4), pp.37–56. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315710129-4>.
- Kahle, J., Pinsker, R. and Pennington, R., 2005. Belief Revision in Accounting: A Literature Review of the Belief-Adjustment Model. *Advances in Accounting Behavioral Research*, 8, pp.1–40. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1488\(04\)08001-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1475-1488(04)08001-9).
- Kennedy and Jane, S., 1992. Debiasing audit judgment with accountability: A framework and experimental results. *ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global*, [online] Order No. Available at: <<https://www.proquest.com/dissertations-theses/debiasing-audit-judgment-with-accountability/docview/303974973/se-2>>.
- Kent, P., Munro, L. and Gambling, T., 2006. Psychological Characteristics Contributing to Expertise in Audit Judgment. *International Journal of Auditing*, [online] 10(2), pp.125–141. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1099-1123.2006.00346.x>.
- Kim, S., Mayorga, D.M. and Harding, N., 2017. Can I Interrupt You? Understanding and Minimizing the Negative Effects of Brief Interruptions on Audit Judgment Quality. *International Journal of Auditing*, 21(2), pp.198–211. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijau.12089>.
- Kitchenham, B., 2004. *Procedures for Performing Systematic Reviews*. Software Engineering Group Department of Computer Science Keele University Keele, Staffs, 33. United Kingdom.
- Kitchenham, B. and Chartes, S., 2007. *Guidelines for performing systematic literature reviews in software engineering*. Technical report, Ver. 2.3 EBSE Technical Report. EBSE.
- Lee, H., 2012. Incentive contracts and time pressure on audit judgment performance. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 27(3), pp.263–283. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02686901211207492>.
- Lehmann, C.M. and Norman, C.S., 2006. The Effects of Experience on Complex Problem Representation and Judgment In Auditing: An Experimental Investigation. *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, 18(1), pp.65–83. <https://doi.org/10.2308/bria.2006.18.1.65>.
- Liberati, A., Altman, D.G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gøtzsche, P.C., Ioannidis, J.P.A., Clarke, M., Devereaux, P.J., Kleijnen, J. and Moher, D., 2009. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *BMJ (Clinical research ed.)*, 339. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2700>.

- Lombardi, D., Bloch, R. and Vasarhelyi, M.A., 2014. The future of audit. *Journal of Information Systems and Technology Management*, [online] 11(1), pp.21–32. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1807-17752014000100002>.
- Lombardi, R., de Villiers, C., Moscarriello, N. and Pizzo, M., 2022. The disruption of blockchain in auditing – a systematic literature review and an agenda for future research. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 35(7), pp.1534–1565. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-10-2020-4992>.
- Lu, B., 2020. Literature Review of Audit Opinion. *Modern Economy*, [online] 11(01), pp.28–36. <https://doi.org/10.4236/me.2020.111004>.
- Mala, R. and Chand, P., 2015. Judgment and decision-making research in auditing and accounting: Future research implications of person, task, and environment perspective. *Accounting Perspectives*, 14(1), pp.1–50. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1911-3838.12040>.
- Martinov-Bennie, N. and Pflugrath, G., 2009. The Strength of an Accounting Firm's Ethical Environment and the Quality of Auditors' Judgments. *Journal of Business Ethics*, [online] 87(2), pp.237–253. Available at: <<http://www.jstor.com/stable/40294919>>.
- Miledi, A., 2022. The construction of audit partner's judgment. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, 20(1), pp.24–52. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFRA-03-2020-0087>.
- Miller, C.L., 1998. Time pressure, Accountability and Attitudes: Effects on auditor judgments. [online] Available at: <<https://www.proquest.com/dissertations-theses/time-pressure-accountability-attitudes-effects-on/docview/304452365/se-2>>.
- Moalla, H. and Baili, R., 2019. Credit ratings and audit opinion: evidence from Tunisia. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 9(1), pp.103–125. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAEE-03-2018-0022>.
- Mohammadi, M.K., Makrani, K.F. and Doji, J.G., 2021. Modeling the professional judgment of the auditor. *International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications (IJNAA)*, [online] 12(Special Issue), pp.2367–2384. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.22075/ijnaa.2021.6272>.
- MohammadRezaei, F., Mohd-saleh, N., Jaffar, R. and Hassan, M.S., 2016. The Effects of Audit Market Liberalisation and Auditor Type on Audit Opinions: The Iranian Experience. *International Journal of Auditing*, 20(1), pp.87–100. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijau.12059>.
- Naslmosavi, S., Sofian, S. and Saat, M.B.M., 2013. The effect of audit firm size on independent auditor's opinion: Conceptual framework. *Asian Social Science*, [online] 9(9), pp.243–248. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v9n9p243>.
- Nasution, D. and Östermark, R., 2012. The impact of social pressures, locus of control, and professional commitment on auditors' judgment: Indonesian evidence. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 20(2), pp.163–178. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13217341211242204>.

- Nelson, M.W., 2009a. A Model and Literature Review of Professional Skepticism in Auditing. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 28(2), pp.1–34. <https://doi.org/10.2308/aud.2009.28.2.1>.
- Nelson, M.W., 2009b. A Model and Literature Review of Professional Skepticism in Auditing. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, 28(2), pp.1–34. <https://doi.org/10.2308/aud.2009.28.2.1>.
- Nelson, M.W. and Tan, H., 2005. Judgment and Decision Making Research in Auditing: A Task, Person, and Interpersonal Interaction Perspective. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 24, pp.41–71. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.761706>.
- O'Donnell, E. and Johnson, E.N., 2001. The Effects of Auditor Gender and Task Complexity on Information Processing Efficiency. *International Journal of Auditing*, 5(2), pp.91–105. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1099-1123.2001.00328.x>.
- Page, M.J., McKenzie, J.E., Bossuyt, P.M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T.C., Mulrow, C.D., Shamseer, L., Tetzlaff, J.M., Akl, E.A., Brennan, S.E., Chou, R., Glanville, J., Grimshaw, J.M., Hróbjartsson, A., Lalu, M.M., Li, T., Loder, E.W., Mayo-Wilson, E., McDonald, S., McGuinness, L.A., Stewart, L.A., Thomas, J., Tricco, A.C., Welch, V.A., Whiting, P. and Moher, D., 2021. The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *The BMJ*, [online] 372. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>.
- Pawitra, D.A.K. and Suhartini, D., 2019. The influence of individual behavioral aspects toward audit judgment: the mediating role of self-efficacy. *Journal of Economics, Business, & Accountancy Ventura*, 22(2), pp.264–273. <https://doi.org/10.14414/jebav.v22i2.1755>.
- Perry, A. and Hammond, N., 2002. Systematic Reviews: The Experiences of a PhD Student. *Psychology Learning & Teaching*, 2(1), pp.32–35. <https://doi.org/10.2304/plat.2002.2.1.32>.
- Petticrew, M. and Roberts, H., 2006. *Systematic Reviews in the Social Sciences: A Practical Guide*. [online] *Systematic Reviews in the Social Sciences: A Practical Guide*. Blackwell Pub. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470754887>.
- Pflugrath, G., Martinov-Bennie, N. and Chen, L., 2007. The impact of codes of ethics and experience on auditor judgments. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 22(6), pp.566–589. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02686900710759389>.
- Pitz, G.F. and Sachs, N.J., 1984. Judgment and Decision: Theory and Application. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 35(1), pp.139–164. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ps.35.020184.001035>.
- Popova, V., 2013. Exploration of skepticism, client-specific experiences, and audit judgments. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 28(2), pp.140–160. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02686901311284540>.
- Pratt, M.J. and Peurse, K. Van, 1996. Auditing Risk, Materiality & Judgement Standards: An International Comparison. *Managerial Finance*, 22(9), pp.86–99. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/eb018582>.

Reeve, R., Holmes, H., Li, P. and Patel, C., 2001. Debiassing the curse of knowledge and audit judgement: Experience reconsidered. *South African Journal of Accounting Research*, 15(2), pp.1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10291954.2001.11461406>.

Rich, J.S., Solomon, I. and Trotman, K.T., 1997. Multi-auditor judgment / decision making research : A decade later. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 16, pp.86–126.

Rigsby, J.T., Lambert, K.R. and Alexander, E.R., 1989. Experience And The Quality Of Managerial Decision Making : The Case of Auditors ' Materiality Judgments. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 1(1), pp.44–65.

Roberts, M., 1998. Tax accountants' judgment/decision-making research: A review and synthesis. *The Journal of the American Taxation Association*, 20(1), pp.78–121.

Robertson, J.C., 2010. The effects of ingratiation and client incentive on auditor judgment. *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, 22(2), pp.69–86. <https://doi.org/10.2308/bria.2010.22.2.69>.

Roszkowska, P., 2021. Fintech in financial reporting and audit for fraud prevention and safeguarding equity investments. *Journal of Accounting and Organizational Change*, 17(2), pp.164–196. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAOC-09-2019-0098>.

Rudkhani, T.M.G. and Jabbari, H., 2014. The effect of financial ratios on auditor opinion in the companies listed on TSE. *European Online Journal of Natural and Social Sciences*, [online] 2(3), pp.1363–1373. Available at: <<http://european-science.com/eojnss/article/view/756>>.

Saiewitz, A. and Wang, E. (Ying), 2019. Using Cultural Mindsets to Reduce Cross-National Auditor Judgment Differences. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, [online] 37(3), pp.1854–1881. <https://doi.org/doi.org/10.1111/1911-3846.12566>.

Sanusi, Z.M. and Iskandar, T.M., 2007. Audit judgment performance : assessing the effect of performance incentives , effort and task complexity. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 22(1), pp.34–52. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02686900710715639>.

Sanusi, Z.M., Iskandar, T.M., Monroe, G.S. and Saleh, N.M., 2018. Effects of goal orientation, self- efficacy and task complexity on the audit judgement performance of Malaysian auditors. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 31(1), pp.75–95. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-12-2015-2362>.

Saputra, K.A.K. and Kawisana, P.G.W.P., 2021. Analysis of the Influence of Power , Auditor Experience and Task Complexity on Audit Judgment. *Palarch's Journal Of Archaeology Of Egypt/Egyptology*, 18(4), pp.6370–6379.

Schneider, A., Church, B.K. and Ely, K.M., 2006. Non-Audit Service and Auditor Independence: A Review of the Literature. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 25, pp.169–211.

Shanteau, J., 1988. Psychological characteristics and strategies of expert decision makers. *Acta Psychologica*, 68, pp.203–215. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918\(88\)90056-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918(88)90056-x).

Shelton, S.W., 1999. The effect of experience on the use of irrelevant evidence in

auditor judgment. *Accounting Review*, [online] 74(2), pp.217–224. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr.1999.74.2.217>.

Simunic, D.A. and Wu, X., 2009. China-Related Research in Auditing: A Review and Directions for Future Research. *China Journal of Accounting Research*, 2(2), pp.1–25. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1755-3091\(13\)60012-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1755-3091(13)60012-x).

Singleton, T.W. and Singleton, A.J., 2010a. *Fraud Auditing and Forensic Accounting. Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, .

Singleton, T.W. and Singleton, A.J., 2010b. *Fraud Auditing and Forensic Accounting*. 4th ed. New Jersey, Canada: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Soe, A.K., Gavurova, B., Olah, J. and Hasan, M., 2022. Does Auditor's Attributes Impact on Professional Judgement in A Financial Audit? Empirical Evidence from Myanmar Sai. *Business: Theory and Practice*, 23(1), pp.218–230. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3846/btp.2022.12976>.

Sucher, P. and Kosmala-MacLulich, K., 2004. A construction of auditor independence in the Czech Republic: Local insights. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 17(2), pp.276–305. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570410532456>.

Sultanoglu, B., Mugan, C.S., Sekerdag, U. and Oran, A., 2018. The auditor's opinion modifications around domestic and global financial crises. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 26(4), pp.622–639. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MEDAR-08-2017-0199>.

Suyono, E. and Farooque, O. Al, 2019. Auditors' professionalism and factors affecting it: insights from Indonesia. *Journal of Asia Business Studies*, 13(4), pp.543–558. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JABS-03-2018-0073>.

Tiron-Tudor, A., Deliu, D., Farcane, N. and Dontu, A., 2021. Managing change with and through blockchain in accountancy organizations: a systematic literature review. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 34(2), pp.477–506. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JOCM-10-2020-0302>.

Trotman, K.T., 1998. Audit judgment research - Issues addressed, research methods and future directions. *Accounting and Finance*, 38(2), pp.115–156. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-629X.00007>.

Trotman, K.T., Bauer, T.D. and Humphreys, K.A., 2015. Group judgment and decision making in auditing: Past and future research. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, [online] 47, pp.56–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2015.09.004>.

Trotman, K.T., Tan, H.C. and Ang, N., 2011. Fifty-year overview of judgment and decision-making research in accounting. *Accounting and Finance*, 51(1), pp.278–360. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-629X.2010.00398.x>.

Vagner, B., 2022. The effect of personalized audit communication and evidence inconsistency on auditor judgments and decision-making. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 37(8), pp.1038–1061. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MAJ-09-2020-2857>.

Wahab, E.A.A., Zain, M.M. and Rahman, R.A., 2015. Political connections: a threat

to auditor independence? *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 5(2), pp.222–246. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAEE-03-2012-0013>.

Weber, E.U. and Hsee, C.K., 2000. Culture and individual judgment and decision making. *Journal of Applied Psychology: An International Review*, 49(1), pp.32–61.

Webster, J. and Watson, R.T., 2002. Analyzing the Past to Prepare for the Future: Writing a Literature Review. *MIS Quarterly*, 26(2), pp.xiii–xxiii. <https://doi.org/10.1.1.104.6570>.

Wedemeyer, P.D., 2010. A discussion of auditor judgment as the critical component in audit quality- A practitioner's perspective. *International Journal of Disclosure and Governance*, 7(4), pp.320–333. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jdg.2010.19>.

Widyakusuma, A., Sudarma, M. and Roekhudin, R., 2019. The Effect of Professionalism and Experience on Audit Judgment with Task Complexity as a Moderating Variable. *International Journal of Multicultural and Multireligious Understanding*, 6(2), pp.97–112. <https://doi.org/10.18415/ijmmu.v6i2.644>.

Xiao, T., Geng, C. and Yuan, C., 2020. How audit effort affects audit quality: An audit process and audit output perspective. *China Journal of Accounting Research*, [online] 13(1), pp.109–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjar.2020.02.002>.

Yang, L., Brink, A.G. and Wier, B., 2017. The impact of emotional intelligence on auditor judgment. *International Journal of Auditing*, 22(1), pp.83–97. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijau.12106>.

Zarei, H., Yazdifar, H., Ghaleno, M.D. and Azhmaneh, R., 2020. Predicting auditors' opinions using financial ratios and non-financial metrics: evidence from Iran. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 10(3), pp.425–446. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAEE-03-2018-0027>.

Zheng, B.Z., Patel, C. and Evans, E., 2019. The influence of construal of self on internal auditors' judgments on whistle - blowing: Evidence from China. *International Journal of Auditing*, 23(1), pp.73–85. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ijau.12146>.