

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE PAGE.....	ii
APPROVAL PAGE.....	iii
DESIGNATION OF THE EXAMINING COMMITTEE.....	iv
DECLARATION.....	v
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS.....	vi
LIST OF FIGURES.....	vii
LIST OF TABLES.....	viii
ABSTRACT.....	ix
<b>CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Background.....	1
1.2. Research Problem.....	6
1.3. Research Questions.....	8
1.4. Research Objectives.....	9
1.5. Research Contributions.....	10
1.6. Scope of the Study.....	11
<b>CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	<b>13</b>
2.1. Theoretical Review.....	13
2.1.1. Theory of Cognitive Dissonance.....	13
2.1.2. Agency Theory.....	14
2.1.3. Relationship Between Cognitive Dissonance and Agency Theory.....	16
2.2. Previous Research.....	18
2.3. Conceptual Framework.....	23
2.4. Hypothesis Development.....	25
<b>CHAPTER 3 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....</b>	<b>31</b>
3.1. Type of Research.....	31
3.2. Operational Definition and Variable Measurement.....	31
3.2.1. Dependent Variable.....	31
3.2.2. Independent Variables.....	31

3.2.3.	Operational Definition.....	32
3.2.3.1.	Audit Quality.....	32
3.2.3.2.	Auditor Independence.....	33
3.2.3.3.	Auditor Competence.....	34
3.2.3.4.	Auditor Professional Scepticism.....	35
3.2.3.5.	Auditor Professional Ethics.....	36
3.3.	Data Types and Sources.....	40
3.4.	Study Population and Samples.....	40
3.5.	Data Collection Methods.....	41
3.6.	Data Analysis Techniques.....	41
3.6.1.	Research Model.....	42
3.7.	Hypothesis Testing Criteria.....	42
3.7.1.	Mitigating Biasness.....	43
	<b>CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....</b>	<b>44</b>
4.1.	General Description of Research Object.....	44
4.2.	Descriptive Analysis.....	44
4.2.1.	Demographic Analysis.....	44
4.2.2.	Descriptive Statistic.....	47
4.2.2.1.	Validity and Reliability Testing.....	47
4.3.	Hypothesis Testing.....	49
4.3.1.	Partial Test (T-Test).....	49
4.3.2.	Determination Analysis.....	50
4.4.	Discussion.....	52
4.4.1.	The Effect of Auditor Professional Scepticism to Audit Quality.....	52
4.4.2.	The Effect of Auditor Independence to Audit Quality.....	53
4.4.3.	The Effect of Auditor Competence to Audit Quality.....	53
4.4.4.	The Effect of Auditor Professional Ethics as a Moderation.....	55
	<b>CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>56</b>
5.1.	Conclusion.....	56
5.2.	Implications of the Research.....	56

5.3. Limitations and Future Research..... 57

**REFERENCES..... 59**

**APPENDIX..... 67**

## LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK.....	25
-------------------------------------	----

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>TABLE 3.1: SUMMARY OF VARIABLES AND THEIR MEASUREMENTS.....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>TABLE 4.1: GENDER.....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>TABLE 4.2: EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND.....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>TABLE 4.3: YEARS OF EXPERIENCE AS AN AUDITOR.....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>TABLE 4.4: CURRENT POSITION.....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>TABLE 4.5: AGE.....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>TABLE 4.6: DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS.....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>TABLE 4.7: RELIABILITY TEST FOR AUDITOR COMPETENCE (P).....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>TABLE 4.8: RELIABILITY TEST FOR AUDITOR INDEPENDENCE (Q).....</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>TABLE 4.9: RELIABILITY TEST FOR AUDITOR PROFESSIONAL SCEPTICISM (R).....</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>TABLE 4.10: RELIABILITY TEST FOR AUDITOR PROFESSIONAL ETHICS (S)....</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>TABLE 4.11: RELIABILITY TEST FOR AUDIT QUALITY (T).....</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>TABLE 4.12: PARTIAL TEST (T-TEST) COEFFICIENT.....</b>	<b>49</b>
<b>TABLE 4.13: SUMMARY OF HYPOTHESIS TESTING.....</b>	<b>52</b>
<b>TABLE 1.1: SUMMARY OF LITERATURE REVIEW.....</b>	<b>67</b>