

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdul-Rahman, A. R., & Goddard, A. (1998). An Interpretive Inquiry of Accounting Practices in Religious Organisations. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 14(3), 183–201. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0408.00060>
- Adams, C. A., & Evans, R. (2004). Accountability, Completeness, Credibility and the Audit Expectations Gap. *Journal of Corporate Citizenship*. <https://doi.org/10.9774/GLEAF.4700.2004.su.00010>
- Agle, B. R., Mitchell, R. K., & Sonnenfeld, J. A. (1999). Who Matters to CEOs An Investigation of Stakeholder Attributes. *Academy of Management Journal*, 42(5), 507–525.
- Alasuutari, P. (1996). Theorizing in Qualitative Research: A Cultural Studies Perspective. *Qualitative Inquiry*, 2(4), 371–384.
- Alesina, A., & La Ferrara, E. (2002). Who trusts others? *Journal of Public Economics*, 85(2), 207–234. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0047-2727\(01\)00084-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0047-2727(01)00084-6)
- Alford, R. R., & Friedland, R. (1985). *Powers of theory: Capitalism, the state, and democracy*. Cambridge University Press.
- Algan, Y., & Cahuc, P. (2014). Trust, Growth, and Well-Being: New Evidence and Policy Implications. *Handbook of Economic Growth*, 2(7464), 49–120. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-53538-2.00002-2>
- Algan, Y., Gurjev, S., Papajoannou, E., & Passari, E. (2017). The European Trust Crisis and the Rise of Populism. *Brooking Papers on Economis Activity, Fall*(July), 309–382.
- Allport, G. W., & Ross, J. M. (1967). Personal religious orientation and prejudice. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 5(4), 432–443. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0021212>
- Anthony, R. N. (1977). Can nonprofit organizations be well managed. *Managing Nonprofit Organizations*, 7–15.
- Arrighetti, A., Bachmann, R., & Deakin, S. (1997). Contract law, social norms and inter-firm cooperation. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 21(2), 171–195. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.cje.a013665>
- Aucoin, P., & Heintzman, R. (2000). The dialectics of accountability for performance in public management reform. *International Review of ....* <https://doi.org/10.1177/0020852300661005>

- Bachmann, R. (2001). Trust, power and control in trans-organizational relations. *Organization Studies*, 22(2), 337–365.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840601222007>
- Bachmann, R., & Inkpen, A. C. (2011). Understanding institutional-based trust building processes in inter-organizational relationships. *Organization Studies*, 32(2), 281–301. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840610397477>
- Banister, P., Burman, E., Parker, I., Taylor, M., & Tindal, C. (1994). *Qualitative Methods in Psychology, A research Guide*. Open University Press.
- Barlev, B. (2006). A Biblical statement of accountability. *Accounting History*, 11(2), 173–197. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1032373206063113>
- Baumann, M., Ecker, A., & Gross, M. (2020). Party competition and dual accountability in multi-level systems. *Journal of Elections, Public Opinion and Parties*, 0(0), 542–549. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17457289.2020.1780434>
- Behn, R. D. (2001). Rethinking Democratic Accountability. In *Brooking Institution Press*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Beit-Hallahmi, B., & Argyle, M. (1997). *The psychology of religious behaviour, belief and experience*. Taylor & Francis.
- Benedictus XVI. (2005). *Deus Caritas Est* (pp. 1–29).
- Benedictus XVI. (2008). *Address of His Holiness Benedict XVI to the Participants in the 14th Session of the Pontifical Academy of Social Sciences* (pp. 1–4).
- Bentz, V., & Shapiro, J. (1998). *Mindful enquiry in social research*. (Thousand Oaks (ed.)). SAGE Publicarions.
- Berggren, N., & Bjørnskov, C. (2011). Is the importance of religion in daily life related to social trust? Cross-country and cross-state comparisons. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 80(3), 459–480.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2011.05.002>
- Bernard, H. . (1988). *Research Methods in Cultural Anthropology*. SAGE Publicarions.
- Bernt, F. M. (1989). Being religious and being altruistic: A study of college service volunteers. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 10(6), 663–669.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869\(89\)90225-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0191-8869(89)90225-0)
- Berry, A. J. (2005). Accountability and control in a cat's cradle. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 18(2), 255–297.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570510588751>

- Blumer, H. (1969). *Social Interactionism: Perspective and Method*. University of California Press.  
[https://y9sboj6qb4.pdcdn1.top/dl2.php?id=189526541&h=aca5e545802618cdd5d51bf64167b19a&u=cache&ext=pdf&n=Symbolic interactionism perspective and method](https://y9sboj6qb4.pdcdn1.top/dl2.php?id=189526541&h=aca5e545802618cdd5d51bf64167b19a&u=cache&ext=pdf&n=Symbolic%20interactionism%20perspective%20and%20method)
- Boesso, G., & Kumar, K. (2009). An investigation of stakeholder prioritization and engagement: Who or what really counts. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 5(1), 62–80.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/18325910910932214>
- Bograd, H. (1994). *The role of state attorneys general in relation to troubled nonprofits*. Program on Non-Profit Organizations, Institution for Social and Policy ....
- Booth, P. (1993). Accounting in Churches: A Research Framework and Agenda. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 6(4), 37–68.  
<https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/MRR-09-2015-0216>
- Bornstein, B. H., & Tomkins, A. J. (2015). Institutional Trust: An Introduction. *Nebraska Symposium on Motivation. Nebraska Symposium on Motivation*, 62, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-16151-8>
- Bourdieu, P. (2018). Distinction: A social critique of the judgement of taste. *Inequality*, 287–318. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315680347-10>
- Bovens, M., T. (2005). Public Accountability. In *Public Accountability* (pp. 182–208).
- Bovens, M, Schillemans, T., & Hart, P. (2008). Does public accountability work? An assessment tool. *Public Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2008.00716.x>
- Bovens, Mark. (2007). Analysing and Assessing Accountability: A Conceptual Framework. *European Law Journal*, 13(4), 447–468.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0386.2007.00378.x>
- Bovens, Mark. (2010). Two Concepts of Accountability: Accountability as a Virtue and as a Mechanism. *West European Politics*, 33(5), 946–967.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2010.486119>
- Bowrin, A. R. (2004). *Internal control in Trinidad and Tobago religious organizations*. 17(1), 121–152. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570410525238>
- Boyce, C., & Neale, P. (2006). Conducting in-depth interviews: A Guide for designing and conducting in-depth interviews for Evaluation Input. In *Pathfinder International* (Vol. 2, Issue May).

- Bracci, E. (2009). Autonomy, responsibility and accountability in the Italian school system. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 20(3), 293–312. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2008.09.001>
- Bradach, J. L., & Eccles, R. G. (1989). Price , Authority , and Trust : From Ideal Types to Plural Forms A. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 15(1989), 97–118.
- Bradshaw, M., Kent, B. V., Henderson, W. M., & Setar, A. C. (2019). Attachment to God and Social Trust. *Sociological Perspectives*, 62(6), 1001–1021. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0731121419870775>
- Brakman, S. (2020). Guiding Principles of Community Engagement and Global Health Research : Solidarity and Subsidiarity Guiding Principles of Community Engagement and Global Health Research : *The American Journal of Bioethics*, 20(5), 57–81. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15265161.2020.1745946>
- Brañas-Garza, P., Rossi, M., & Zalcicever, D. (2009). Individual's religiosity enhances trust: Latin american evidence for the puzzle. *Journal of Money, Credit and Banking*, 41(2–3), 555–566. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1538-4616.2009.00222.x>
- Brehm, J., & Rahn, W. (1997). Individual-Level Evidence for the Causes and Consequences of Social Capital. *American Journal of Political Science*, 41(3), 999–1023.
- Broadbent, J., & Laughlin, R. (2013). Accounting Control and Controlling Accounting; Interdisciplinary and Critical Perspectives. *Accounting Control and Controlling Accounting*, 300. [https://ledproxy2.uwindsor.ca/login?url=https://www.proquest.com/books/accounting-control-controlling/docview/2130987380/se-2?accountid=14789%0Ahttps://uwindsor.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/openurl/01UTON\\_UW/01UTON\\_UW:UWINDSOR?&genre=book&issn=&title=Accountin](https://ledproxy2.uwindsor.ca/login?url=https://www.proquest.com/books/accounting-control-controlling/docview/2130987380/se-2?accountid=14789%0Ahttps://uwindsor.primo.exlibrisgroup.com/openurl/01UTON_UW/01UTON_UW:UWINDSOR?&genre=book&issn=&title=Accountin)
- Brown, L. D., & Moore, M. H. (2001). New Roles and Challenges for NGOs. Accountability, Strategy, and International Nongovernmental Organizations. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 30(3), 569–587.
- Brown, M., & Xie, Y. (2015). Between heaven and earth: Dual accountability in Han China. *Chinese Journal of Sociology*, 1(1), 56–87. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2057150X14568768>
- Bundt, J. (2000). Strategic Stewards: Managing Accountability, Building Trust. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 10(4), 757–778. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.jpart.a024290>

- Burchell, S., Clubb, C., & Hopwood, A. G. (1985). Accounting in its Social Context: Towards a History of Value Added in the United Kingdom. *Accounting Organizations and Society*, 10(4), 381–413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spmi.2011.03.015>
- Burrel, G., & Morgan, G. (1979). Sociological Paradigms and Organisational Analysis. In *Heinemann Educational Books Ltd (1st ed., Vol. 1). London.* <https://doi.org/10.1143/JJAP.44.L1466>
- Bushman, R. M., Piotroski, J. D., & Smith, A. J. (2004). What determines corporate transparency? In *Journal of Accounting Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-679X.2004.00136.x>
- Caldwell, C., & Karri, R. (2005). Organizational governance and ethical systems: A covenantal approach to building trust. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 58(1), 249–259. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-005-1419-2>
- Carter, B. (2013). *Budget accountability and participation*. 1–29.
- Cartwright, C. ., & Cartwright, G. . (1984). *Developing Observation Skill* (2nd ed.). McGraw-Hill.
- Cassell, C., & Johnson, P. (2006). Action research: Explaining the diversity. *Human Relations*, 59(6), 783–814. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726706067080>
- Castelfranchi, C, & Falcone, R. (2010). Socio-Cognitive Theory of Trust. *Wiley, October 2011*, 58–89. <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.199.259&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Castelfranchi, Cristiano, & Falcone, R. (1998). Principles of trust for MAS: Cognitive anatomy, social importance, and quantification. *Proceedings - International Conference on Multi Agent Systems, ICMAS 1998*, 72–79. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICMAS.1998.699034>
- Castelfranchi, Cristiano, & Falcone, R. (2010). *Trust Theory: A Social Cognitive and Computational Model*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-39987-2\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-39987-2_4)
- Cavana, R., Delahaye, B., & Sekaran, U. (2001). *Applied business research: Qualitative and quantitative methods*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Chen, J., Harrison, G., & Jiao, L. (2018). Who and What Really Count? An Examination of Stakeholder Salience in Not-for-Profit Service Delivery Organizations. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 77(4), 813–828. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8500.12322>
- Child, J., & Möllering, G. (2003). Contextual Confidence and Active Trust

- Development in the Chinese Business Environment. *Organization Science*, 14(1), 69–80.
- Chirban, J. . (1996). *Interviewing in depth: The Interactive Relational Approach* (C. Thousand Oaks (ed.)). Sage publications.
- Choudhury, N. (1986). Responsibility Accounting and Controllability. *Accounting and Business Research*, 16(63), 189–198.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00014788.1986.9729317>
- Christense, R. A., & Ebrahim, A. (2008). How Does Accountability Affect Mission? The case of nonprofit serving immigrants and Refugees. *Nonprofit Managemenent and Leadershio*, 19(2), 141–153. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nml>
- Christensen, T., & Lægreid, P. (2014). Performance and Accountability—A Theoretical Discussion and an Empirical Assessment. *Public Organization Review*, 15(2), 207–225. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11115-013-0267-2>
- Chua, W. F. (1986). Radical Developments in Accounting Thought. *Accounting, the Social and the Political*, 61(4), 55–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-008044725-4/50009-6>
- Chumbler, N. R. (1996). An Empirical Test of a Theory of Factors Affecting Life Satisfaction: Understanding the Role of Religious Experience. *Journal of Psychology and Theology*, 24(3), 220–232.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/009164719602400304>
- Cohen, A. B. (2009). Many Forms of Culture. *American Psychologist*, 64(3), 194–204. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015308>
- Cohen, M. Z. (1987). *Historical Overview of the Phenomenologic Movement*. 19(1), 31–34.
- Coleman, J. S. (1990). Foundations of social theory. *Choice Reviews Online*, 27(11), 27-6637-27-6637. <https://doi.org/10.5860/choice.27-6637>
- Collier, P. M. (2008). Stakeholder accountability: A field study of the implementation of a governance improvement plan. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570810907429>
- Connolly, C., & Dhanani, A. (2009). Narrative Reporting by UK Charities. In *The Association of Chartered Certified Accountants*. <https://research-repository.st-andrews.ac.uk/bitstream/10023/3798/1/ACCA-2009-Reporting-Charities.pdf>
- Corbett, D. C. (1996). *Australian public sector management*. Allen & Unwin.
- Cordery, C., Baskerville, R., & Porter, B. (2011). NOT REPORTING A PROFIT:

- CONSTRUCTING A NON-PROFIT ORGANISATION. *Financial Accountability & Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0408.2011.00529.x>
- Cornwall, M., Albrecht, S. L., Cunningham, P. H., & Pitcher, B. L. (1986). The Dimensions of Religiosity : A Conceptual Model with an Empirical Test. *Review of Religious Research*, 27(3), 226–244.
- Costa, A. C., & Bijlsma-Frankema, K. (2007). Trust and Control Interrelations: New Perspectives on the Trust–Control Nexus. *Group & Organization Management*, 32, 392–406.
- Crabtree, B. F., & Miller, W. L. (1999). *Doing qualitative research*. sage publications.
- Creswell, J. W. (2007a). *Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design: Chosing Among Five Approach* (second).
- Creswell, J. W. (2007b). Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches. In *SAGE Publications* (Vol. 16, Issue 4). <https://doi.org/10.1177/1524839915580941>
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational Research: Planning, Conducting and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research* (fourth). Pearson.
- Creswell, J. W. (2015). *Educational Research:Planning, Conducting and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative*. Pearson Education.
- Dacombe, R. (2011). Can we argue against it? Performance management and state funding of voluntary organizations in the UK. *Public Money and Management*, 31(3), 159–166. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540962.2011.573224>
- Davis, James H., Schoorman, F. D., & Donaldson, L. (1997). The Distinctiveness of Agency Theory and Stewardship Theory. *The Academy of Management Review*, 22(3), 611–613.
- Davis, C. (1995). Extensive reading an expensive extravagance. *ELT Journal*, 49(October), 1–8.
- Davison, J. (2004). Sacred vestiges in financial reporting. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 17(3), 476–497. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570410545821>
- Davison, J. (2011). Barthesian perspectives on accounting communication and visual images of professional accountancy. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 24(2), 250–283. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513571111100708>

- Day, P., & Klein, R. (1987). *Accountabilities: five public services*. Tavistock London.
- Dent, J. F. (1991). Accounting and organizational cultures: A field study of the emergence of a new organizational reality. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 16(8), 705–732. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(91\)90021-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(91)90021-6)
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2008). *Introduction: The discipline and practice of qualitative research*.
- Deutsch, M. (1958). Trust and Suspicion. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 2(4), 265–279.
- Dezutter, J., Soenens, B., & Hutsebaut, D. (2006). Religiosity and mental health: A further exploration of the relative importance of religious behaviors vs. religious attitudes. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 40(4), 807–818. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2005.08.014>
- Dhavamony, M. (1973). *Phenomenology of Religion*. Casa Editrice Universita Gregoriana.
- Dicke, L. A. (2002). Ensuring Accountability In Human Services Contracting: Can Stewardship Theory Fill the Bill? *American Review of Public Administration*, 32(4), 455–470. <https://doi.org/10.1177/027507402237870>
- Diener, E., Tay, L., & Myers, D. G. (2011). The religion paradox: If religion makes people happy, why are so many dropping out? *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 101(6), 1278–1290. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024402>
- Dillard, J., & Vinnari, E. (2019). Critical dialogical accountability: From accounting-based accountability to accountability-based accounting. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 62, 16–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2018.10.003>
- Dilmaghani, M. (2017). Religiosity and social trust: evidence from Canada. *Review of Social Economy*, 75(1), 49–75. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00346764.2016.1186820>
- DiMaggio and Powell. (1983). The Iron Cage Revisited : Institutional Isomorphism and Collective Rationality in Organizational Fields Author ( s ): Paul J . DiMaggio and Walter W . Powell Published by : American Sociological Association Stable URL : <https://www.jstor.org/stable/209510>. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147–160.

- Dive, B. (2020). *Accountability and Leadership in the Catholic Church: What Needs to be Improved*. books.google.com.  
<https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=Fb7KDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PR5&dq=accountability+in+church+accountability+in+church&ots=yZJLYpcdO5&sig=xjNISdWznkW-86E0MkWVVFH-GuQ>
- Donaldson, L., & Davis, J. H. (1991). Stewardship Theory or Agency Theory: CEO Governance and Shareholder Returns. *Australian Journal of Management*, 16(1), 49–64. <https://doi.org/10.1177/031289629101600103>
- Dubnick, M J. (2002). Seeking salvation for accountability. In *annual meeting of the American Political Science ....* academia.edu.  
<https://www.academia.edu/download/13725356/salv2002.pdf>
- Dubnick, Melvin J, & Romzek, B. (1998). Accountability. *International Encyclopaedia of Public Policy and Administration*.
- Dunk, A. S., & Perera, H. (1997). The incidence of budgetary slack: A field study exploration. In *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513579710367935>
- Ebrahim, A. (2003). Accountability: Conceptual Perspectives for Northern and Southern Nonprofits. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 14(2), 191–212. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nml.29>
- Ebrahim, A. (2005). Accountability Myopia : Losing Sight of Organizational Learning. In *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly* (Vol. 34, Issue 1).  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0899764004269430>
- Ehren, M., Paterson, A., & Baxter, J. (2020). Accountability and trust: Two sides of the same coin? In *Journal of Educational Change* (Vol. 21, Issue 1). Springer Netherlands. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10833-019-09352-4>
- Eisenberg, N. (2004). Prosocial and Moral Development in the Family. *Nurturing Morality*, 1988, 119–135. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-4163-6\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4757-4163-6_7)
- Ekici, T., & Yucel, D. (2015). What Determines Religious and Racial Prejudice in Europe? The Effects of Religiosity and Trust. *Social Indicators Research*, 122(1), 105–133. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11205-014-0674-y>
- Ellison, C., Gay, D. A., & Glass, T. A. (1989). Does Religious Commitment Contribute to Individual Life Satisfaction? *Social Forces*, 68(1), 100–123.
- Elster, J. (1999). Accountability in Athenian politics. *Democracy, Accountability, and Representation*, 253–278.
- Emirbayer, M., & Mische, A. (1998). What is agency? *American Journal of Sociology*, 103(4), 962–1023. <https://doi.org/10.1086/231294>

- Etziona, A. (2014). The Limits of Transparency. *Public Administration Review*, 74(6), 687–688. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12276>.
- Ezzamel, M., & Hart, H. (1987). *Advanced management accounting: An organisational emphasis*. Cassell.
- Fernandez-Revuelta Perez, L., & Robson, K. (1999). Ritual legitimation, de-coupling and the budgetary process: Managing organizational hypocrisies in a multinational company. *Management Accounting Research*, 10(4), 383–407. <https://doi.org/10.1006/mare.1999.0114>
- Ferry, L., & Eckersley, P. (2015). Accountability and transparency: A nuanced response to Etzioni. *Public Administration Review*, 75(1), 11–12. <https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.12303>
- Finer, H. (1941). Administrative Responsibility in Democratic Government. *Administrative Leadership in the Public Sector*, 1(4), 335–350. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315497976-16>
- Fisher, E. (2004). The European Union in the Age of Accountability. *Oxford Journal of Legal Studies*, 24(3), 495–515. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ojls/24.3.495>
- Fishman, J. J. (2007). The faithless fiduciary: and the quest for charitable accountability, 1200-2005. (*No Title*).
- Foubert, J. D., Durham, A., Houston, M., & Vanderwoerd, J. (2021). Sexual Violence at Christian and Secular Universities: Does Institutional Type Matter? *Christian Higher Education*, 20(4), 257–270. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15363759.2020.1806143>
- Fox, M. A., & Hamilton, R. T. (1994). Ownership and Diversification: Agency Theory or Stewardship Theory. *Journal of Management Studies*, 31(1), 69–81. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.1994.tb00333.x>
- Fredholm, R. L. (1998). *Effects of Dual Accountability and Purpose of Appraisal on Accuracy*.
- Freeman, R. E., & Evan, W. M. (1990). Corporate Governance: A Stakeholder Interpretation. *The Journal of Behavioral Economics*, 19(4), 337–359. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-5720\(90\)90022-Y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0090-5720(90)90022-Y)
- Freeman, T., & Peck, E. (2007). Performing governance: A partnership board dramaturgy. *Public Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2007.00683.x>

- Freitag, M., & Bauer, P. C. (2013). Testing for measurement equivalence in surveys: Dimensions of social trust across cultural contexts. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 77(S1), 24–44. <https://doi.org/10.1093/poq/nfs064>
- Friedland, R. (1991). Bringing society back in: Symbols, practices, and institutional contradictions. *The New Institutionalism in Organizational Analysis*, 232–263.
- Friedrich. (1940). *Public Policy*. Harvard University Press.
- Fukuyama, F. (1995). Social Capital and the Global Economy. *Foreign Affairs*, 74(5), 89. <https://doi.org/10.2307/20047302>
- Fukuyama, Y. (1960). The Major Dimensions of Church Membership. *Religious Research Association, Inc.*, 2(4), 154–161.
- Gallie, W. (1955). Essentially Contested Concepts. *Aristotelian Society*.
- Gani, A. W., & Gani, H. A. (2024). Culture of Siri ' Na Pacce As Part of Legal Awareness of the Bugis-Makassar Community. *The 3rd International Conference on Humanities Education, Lawand Social Sciences*, 3, 687–701. <https://doi.org/10.18502/kss.v9i2.14891>
- Garfinkel, H. (1963). A conception of and experiments with “trust” as a condition of concerted stable actions. *The Production of Reality: Essays and Readings on Social Interaction*, 381–392.
- Gebauer, J. E., Leary, M. R., & Neberich, W. (2012). Big Two Personality and Big Three Mate Preferences: Similarity Attracts, but Country-Level Mate Preferences Crucially Matter. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 38(12), 1579–1593. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167212456300>
- Gebauer, J. E., Nehrlich, A. D., Sedikides, C., & Neberich, W. (2013). The Psychological Benefits of Income are Contingent on Individual-Level and Culture-Level Religiosity. *Social Psychological and Personality Science*, 4(5), 569–578. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1948550612469819>
- Gebauer, J. E., & Sedikides, C. (2021). Cultural religiosity: A neglected but powerful dimension of culture. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 40, 73–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2020.08.027>
- Gebauer, J. E., Sedikides, C., & Schrade, A. (2017). Christian self-Enhancement. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology Pers Soc Psychol* 2017, 113:786-809., 113, 789–809.
- Giddens, A. (1990). *The consequences of modernity* (Standford CA (ed.)). Blackwell.

- Gillespie, N. (2015). Survey measures of trust in organizational contexts: An overview. In *Handbook of Research Methods on Trust: Second Edition* (pp. 175–188). <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781782547419.00031>
- Gitowiratmo. (2009). Gereja yang Kredibel! Mengapa? In Martasudjita (Ed.), *Gereja yang Melayani dengan Rendah Hati* (pp. 67–77). Kanisius.
- Glanville J.L., P. P. (2007). How Do We Learn to Trust ? A Confirmatory Tetrad Analysis of the Sources of Generalized Trust Author ( s ): Jennifer L . Glanville and Pamela Paxton How do We learn to Trust ? fi Confirmatory Tetrad Analysis of the Sources of Generalized Trust \*. *Social Psychology Quarterly*, 70(3), 230–242.
- Glennon, R., Ferry, L., Murphy, P., & Greenhalgh, K. (2019). But What is Accountability? In *Public Service Accountability* (pp. 1–25). Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-93384-9>
- Glock, C. Y., & Stark, R. (1965). *Religion and society in tension: a publi. from the research program in the Sociology of Religion Survey Research Center, Univ. of Calif., Berkeley*.
- Goastellec, G., & Picard, F. (2014). Higher education in societies: A multi scale perspective. In *Higher Education in Societies: A Multi Scale Perspective* (Issue February). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6209-746-9>
- Gray, R., Owen, D., & Maunders, K. (1987). *Corporate social reporting: Accounting and accountability*. Prentice-Hall International.
- Guba, E. .., & Lincoln, Y. . (1994). Competing Paradigms in Qualitative Reseach. In *Handbook of qualitative research* (pp. 105–117). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. [http://steinhardtapps.es.its.nyu.edu/create/courses/3311/reading/10-guba\\_lincoln\\_94.pdf](http://steinhardtapps.es.its.nyu.edu/create/courses/3311/reading/10-guba_lincoln_94.pdf)
- Guerci, M., & Shani, A. B. (Rami. (2013). Moving toward stakeholder-based HRM: A perspective of Italian HR managers. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 24(6), 1130–1150. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2012.706821>
- Guiso, L., Sapienza, P., & Zingales, L. (2006). Does culture affect economic outcomes? *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 20(2), 23–48. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.20.2.23>
- Gur, N., & Bjørnskov, C. (2017). Trust and delegation: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 45(3), 644–657. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jce.2016.02.002>

- Gurwitsch, A. (1970). Problem of the Life-World. In *Phenomenology and Social Reality: Essays in Theory of Alfred Schutz* (pp. 35–61). Springer Science + Business Media.
- Hallak, J., & Poisson, M. (2006). *Governance in education: transparency and accountability*.
- Hansen, H. F., Geschwind, L., Kivistö, J., Pekkola, E., Pinheiro, R., & Pulkkinen, K. (2019). Balancing accountability and trust: university reforms in the Nordic countries. *Higher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-019-0358-2>
- Hardy, L., & Ballis, H. (2005). Does one size fit all? The sacred and secular divide revisited with insights from Niebuhr's typology of social action. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 18(2), 238–254. <https://doi.org/10.1179/str.2005.52.4.006>
- Hardy, S. A., & Carlo, G. (2005). Religiosity and prosocial behaviours in adolescence: The mediating role of prosocial values. *Journal of Moral Education*, 34(2), 231–249. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057240500127210>
- Harmon, M. M., & Mayer, R. T. (1986). Organization theory for public administration. (*No Title*).
- Hart, K. M., Capps, H. R., Cangemi, J. P., & Caillouet, L. M. (1986). Exploring organizational trust and its multiple dimensions: A case study of General Motors. *Organization Development Journal*, 4(2), 31–39.
- Hasselbladh, H., & Kallinikos, J. (2000). The Project of Rationalization: A Critique and Reappraisal of Neo-Institutionalism in Organization Studies. *Organizational Studies*, 21(4), 697–720.
- Haveman, H. A., & Rao, H. (1997). Structuring a theory of moral sentiments: Institutional and organizational coevolution in the early thrift industry. *American Journal of Sociology*, 102(6), 1606–1651. <https://doi.org/10.1086/231128>
- Helen Irvine. (1999). *Who 's counting ?: an institutional analysis of expectations of accounting in a nonprofit religious / charitable organization within a changing environment*.
- Hemerijck, A., & Visser, J. (2003). Policy learning in European welfare states. *Unpublished Paper, Leiden University and University of Amsterdam*.
- Henn, H. G., & Alexander, J. R. (1983). *Laws of corporations and other business enterprises*. West Academic.

- Herdiansyah, H. (2012). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif untuk Ilmu-ilmu Sosial* (Edisi Keti). Salemba Humanika.
- Hilary, G., & Hui, K. W. (2009). Does religion matter in corporate decision making in America? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 93(3), 455–473. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2008.10.001>
- Hinckley, J. J. (2013). Phenomenology. In *Handbook of Qualitative in Communication Disorder* (pp. 91–100). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-90689-8\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-90689-8_4)
- Hirschi, T., & Stark, R. (1969). Hellfire and Delinquency. *Social Problems*, 17(2), 202–213.
- Hofstede, G. (1980). Motivation, Leadership, and Organization: Do American Theories Apply Abroad? *American Management Association*, 42–63. <https://pdf.sciencedirectassets.com/272151/1-s2.0-S0090261600X01088/1-s2.0-0090261680900133/main.pdf?X-Amz-Security-Token=IQoJb3JpZ2luX2VjEB8aCXVzLWVhc3QtMSJHMEUCIGJMyj4W6enrOfcvS0MZePqLPBWrDcGqy7Ygk3ww1E9MAiEAn3DwzNF6F8VYD9L9dTP3AeXUZwyKzhX13p3FHsCKT9Mqv>
- Holdcroft, B. B. (2006). What is Religiosity. *Journal of Catholic Education*, 10(1), 89–103. <https://doi.org/10.15365/joce.1001082013>
- Holmgren, R. A., Eisenberg, N., & Fabes, R. A. (1998). The Relations of Children's Situational Empathy-related Emotions to Dispositional Prosocial Behaviour. *International Journal of Behavioral Development*, 22(1), 169–193. <https://doi.org/10.1080/016502598384568>
- Hood, C. (2010). Accountability and transparency: Siamese twins, matching parts, awkward couple? *West European Politics*, 33(5), 989–1009. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01402382.2010.486122>
- Hopwood, A. G. (1987). The archeology of accounting systems. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 12(3), 207–234. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(87\)90038-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(87)90038-9)
- Howell, J., Fisher, K. R., & Shang, X. (2019). Accountability and legitimacy of NGOs under authoritarianism: the case of China. *Third World Quarterly*, 0(0), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2019.1658520>
- Hung, H. (1998). A typology of the theories of the roles of governing boards. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 6(2), 101–111. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8683.00089>

- Irlbeck, S., & Berry-st, T. R. (2021). *Religiosity and risk taking : Is there a demand-side effect ?* 71(October).
- <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2021.102117>
- Irvine, H. (2003). *Trust me ! A personal account of confidentiality issues in an organisational research project* (Vol. 27).
- Irvine, H. (2005). *Balancing money and mission in a Balancing local church budget.* 18(2), 211–237. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570510588733>
- Jackall, R. (1988). Moral Mazes : The World of Corporate Managers. *International Journal of Politics, Culture, and Society*, 1(4), 598–614.
- Jacobs, K. (2005). The sacred and the secular: Examining the role of accounting in the religious context. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 18(2), 189–210. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570510588724>
- Jacobs, K., & Walker, S. P. (2004). Accounting and accountability in the Iona Community. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 17(3), 361–381. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570410545786>
- Jayasinghe, K., & Soobaroyen, T. (2009). Religious “spirit” and peoples’ perceptions of accountability in Hindu and Buddhist religious organizations. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 22(7), 997–1028. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570910987358>
- Jefferies. (1983). Reviewed Work ( s ): Local Government : The Conditions of Local Choice by J . D . Stewart. *Journal of Public Policy*, 3(4), 443–445.
- Johansen, T. R. (2008). “Blaming oneself”: Examining the dual accountability role of employees. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 19(4), 544–571. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2006.10.002>
- John Paul II. (1987). *Sollicitudo Rei Socialis* (pp. 1–48).
- John Paul II. (1991). Centesimus Annus. In *The Holy See* (pp. 1–55).
- John Paul II. (1999). *Ecclesia in America* (pp. 1–75).
- Jones, T. M. (1995). Instrumental Stakeholder Theory : A Synthesis of Ethics and Economics. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(2), 404–437.
- Joshanloo, M. (2019). Cultural religiosity as the moderator of the relationship between affective experience and life satisfaction: A study in 147 countries. *Emotion*, 19(4), 629–636. <https://doi.org/10.1037/emo0000469>

- Joshanloo, M., & Gebauer, J. E. (2020). Religiosity's Nomological Network and Temporal Change. *European Psychologist*, 25(1), 26–40.  
<https://doi.org/10.1027/1016-9040/a000382>
- Kahija, L. Y. (2017). *Penelitian Fenomenologis: Jalan Memahami Pengalaman Hidup*. Kanisius.
- KAS. (2018). *Pengelolaan Harta Benda Gereja-Paroki: Semangat, Norma Hukum dan Penjelasannya* (Second). Kantor Keuskupan Agung Semarang.
- KAS. (2020). *Pedoman Dasar Pelayanan Pastoral Paroki Keuskupan Agung Semarang*.
- Khodyakov, D. (2007). Trust as a Process : A Three-Dimensional Approach. *Sociology*, 41(1), 115–132.
- Kieso, Weygant, & Warfield. (2012). *Intermediate Accounting*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Kluvers, R. (2001a). BUDGETING IN CATHOLIC PARISHES : AN EXPLORATORY STUDY. *Fiancial Accountability & MAnagement*, 17(February), 41–58.
- Kluvers, R. (2001b). Budgeting in Chatholic parishes : An exploration study. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 17(1), 41–58.
- Kluvers, R. (2001c). Program budgeting and accountability in local government. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 60(2), 35–43.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8500.00207>
- Knights, D., & McCabe, D. (2003). Governing through Teamwork: Reconstituting Subjectivity in a Call Centre. *Journal of Management Studies*, 40(7), 1587–1619. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6486.00393>
- Kofi, K., Nkrumah-Young, & Powell, P. (2008). Resource allocation models and accountability : a Jamaican case study. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management*, 30(3), 249–259.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/13600800802155036>
- Koppell, J. G. S. (2005). Pathologies of accountability: ICANN and the challenge of “multiple accountabilities disorder.” *Public Administration Review*, 65(1), 94–108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2005.00434.x>

- Kreander, N., McPhail, K., & Molyneaux, D. (2004a). God's fund managers. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 17(3), 408–441. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570410545803>
- Kreander, N., McPhail, K., & Molyneaux, D. (2004b). God's fund managers. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570410545803>
- Kren, L. (1992). Budgetary Participation and Performance : of Information Managerial The and Impact Environmental Volatility. *The Accounting Review*, 67(3), 511–526.
- Kuhn, T. S. (1970). *The Structure of scientific revolutions* (Second Edi). Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Kuruppu, S., & Milne, M. J. (2010). Dolphin deaths, organizational legitimacy and potential employees' reactions to assured environmental disclosures. *Accounting Forum*, 34(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2009.12.001>
- KWI. (1983). *Kitab Hukum Kanonik*. <https://www.imankatolik.or.id/khk.php?q=370>
- La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1996). Trust in Large Organizations. In *National Bureau of Economis Research* (Vol. 87, Issue 2).
- Laughlin, R. C. (1988a). Accounting in its Social Context: An Analysis of the Accounting of the Church of England. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 1(2), 19–42. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MBE-09-2016-0047>
- Laughlin, R. C. (1988b). “Accounting in its Social Context: An Analysis of the Accounting Systems of the Church of England.” *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 1(2), 19–42.
- Laughlin, R. C. (1990). A Model of Financial Accountability and the Church of England. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 6(2), 267–4424.
- Lepage, M. (2015). The Phenomenology of Religion. *The Religious Studies Prohect*, 1(September), 1–10.
- Lerner, J. S., & Tetlock, P. E. (1999). Accounting for the effects of accountability. *Psychological Bulletin*, 125(2), 255–275. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.125.2.255>

- Lester, S. W., & Brower, H. H. (2003). In the Eyes of the Beholder: The Relationship Between Subordinates' Felt Trustworthiness and their Work Attitudes and Behaviors. *Journal of Leadership & Organizational Studies*, 10(2), 17–33. <https://doi.org/10.1177/107179190301000203>
- Lewicki, R. J., & Bunker, B. B. (1996). Developing and maintaining trust in work relationships. *Trust in Organizations: Frontiers of Theory and Research*, 114(139), 30.
- Lewicki, R. J., McAllister, D. J., & Bies, R. J. (1998). Trust and Distrust : New Relationships and Realities. *The Academy of Management Review*, 23(3), 438–458.
- Lewis, J. D. (1985). Trust as a Social Reality , Social Forces, 63:4 (1985:June) p.967. *Social Forces*, 4(1), 967–985.
- Lewis, J. D., & Weigert, A. (1985). Trust as a social reality. *Social Forces*, 63(4), 967–985. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sf/63.4.967>
- Lewis, J. M., O' Flynn, J., & Sullivan, H. (2014). Accountability: To whom, in relation to what, and why? *Australian Journal of Public Administration*, 73(4), 401–407. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8500.12104>
- Lieberman, J. K. (1981). *The litigious society*.
- Lightbody, M. (2000). Storing and shielding: financial management behaviour in a church organization. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 13(2), 156–174. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570010323245>
- Lightbody, M. (2003a). On Being a Financial Manager in a Church Organisation: Understanding the Experience. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 19(2), 117–138. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0408.00166>
- Lightbody, M. (2003b). On Being a Financial Manager in a Church Organisation: Understanding the Experience. *Financial Accountability and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0408.00166>
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic Inquiry*. sage publications.
- Lipsky, M. (1990). Street-Level Bureaucracy: Dilemmas of the Individual in Public Services. In *New York Russel Sage* (Issue 48).
- Littleton, A. (1974). *Structure of Accounting Theory*. American Accounting Association.

- Lu, E. Y., Mohr, Z., & Ho, A. T. K. (2015). Taking stock: Assessing and improving performance budgeting theory and practice. *Public Performance and Management Review*, 38(3), 426–458.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15309576.2015.1006470>
- Mahon, K. (2020). *Pedagogy, Education, and Praxis in critical times*. <https://link-springer-com.ezproxy.is.ed.ac.uk/book/10.1007%2F978-981-15-6926-5>
- Maleong, L. . (2008). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif* (edisi revi). Rosda.
- Marshall, C., & Rossman, G. B. (2014). *Designing qualitative research*. Sage publications.
- Mayer, R. C., Davis, J. H., & Schoorman, F. D. (1995). An Integrative Model of Organizational Trust. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(3), 709–734.
- McFall, L. (1987). Integrity. *Ethics*, 98(1), 5–20.
- McKenna, C. D. (1988). Review: The System of Professions: An Essay on the Division of Expert Labor. In *University of Chicago Press* (Vol. 14, Issue 1).  
<https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1989.4279006>
- McKernan, J. F., & Kosmala, K. (2007). Doing the truth: Religion - Deconstruction - Justice, and accounting. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 20(5), 729–764.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570710779027>
- McKnight, D. Harrison, Cummings, L. L., & Chervany, N. L. (1998). Initial trust formation in new organizational relationships. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(3), 473–490. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.1998.926622>
- McKnight, D H, & Chervany, N. L. (2000). What is Trust ? A Conceptual Analysis and an Interdisciplinary Model. *Proceedings of the 2000 Americas Conference on Information Systems AMCI2000 AIS Long Beach CA August 2000*, 346, 382.  
[http://aisel.aisnet.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1876&context=amcis\\_2000](http://aisel.aisnet.org/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1876&context=amcis_2000)
- Mcnally, G. M. (1980). Responsibility Accounting and Organizational Control: Some Perspectives and Prospects. *Journal of Business Fianance & Accounting*, 2(7), 165–181.
- McPhail, K., Gorringe, T., & Gray, R. (2004). Accounting and theology, an introduction: Initiating a dialogue between immediacy and eternity. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 17(3), 320–326.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570410545768>

- Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized Organizations: Formal Structure as Myth and Ceremony. *American Journal of Sociology*, 83(2), 340–363. <https://doi.org/10.1086/226550>
- Mishra, A. K. (1996). Organizational responses to crisis: The centrality of trust. *Trust in Organizations: Frontiers of Theory and Research*, January 1996, 261–287.
- Mishra, Aneil K., & Mishra, K. E. (1994). The role of mutual trust in effective downsizing strategies. *Human Resource Management*, 33(2), 261–279. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.3930330207>
- Mishra, K. E., Schwarz, G. M., & Mishra, A. K. (2011). The Evolution of Trust and Control as seen through an organization's human resource practices. In *Trust and Human Resource Management* (pp. 42–64). <https://doi.org/10.4337/9780857932006>
- Mitchell, R. K., Agle, B. R., & Wood, D. J. (1997). Toward a theory of stakeholder identification and salience: Defining the principle of who and what really counts. *Academy of Management Review*, 22(4), 853–886. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.1997.9711022105>
- Mohanty, J. . (1994). What is Special About Phenomenology of Religion? In *From The Sacred to The Divine* (pp. 253–264). Kluwer Academic Publisher.
- Möllering, G. (2006). Trust: Reason, Routine, Reflexivity. In *Trust Beyond Risk: The Leap of Faith* (Issue March, pp. 105–126).
- Monev, T. L. P. dan. (2021). *Arahan Programasi Tahunan Kevikepan Kedu*.
- Morgan, G., & Willmott, H. (1993). The “New” Accounting Research: On Making Accounting More Visible. In *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal* (Vol. 6, Issue 4). <https://doi.org/10.1179/str.2006.53.4.005>
- Mouck, T. (1992). The Rhetoric of Science and the rhetoric of Revolt in the “Story” of Positive Accounting Theory. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 5(4), 35–56.
- Moustakas, C. (1994). *Phenomenological Research Methods*. Sage Publications, Inc.
- Mulgan, R. (2000). Comparing accountability in the public and private sectors. *Australian Journal of Public Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8500.00142>
- Mulgan, Richard. (2000). ‘Accountability’: An Ever Expanding Concept? *Public Administration*, 78(3), 555–573.

- Mulgan, Richard. (2003). "Accountability": An Ever-Expanding Concept? *Public Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9299.00218>
- Musick, M. A., & Wilson, J. (2007). *Volunteers: A social profile*. Indiana University Press.
- Myers, M. D., & Newman, M. (2007). The qualitative interview in IS research: Examining the craft. *Information and Organization*, 17(1), 2–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoandorg.2006.11.001>
- Nahar, H. S., & Yaacob, H. (2011). *Accountability in the sacred context*. 2(2), 87–113. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17590811111170520>
- Natanson, M. (1970a). Alfred Schultz on Social Reality and Social Science. In *Phenomenology and Social Reality: Essays in Theory of Alfred Schultz* (pp. 101–121). Springer Science + Business Media.
- Natanson, M. (1970b). Phenomenology and Social Reality. In Ma. Nijhoff (Ed.), *Springer Science*. Springer. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40969357>
- Neu, D. (1992). The social construction of positive choices. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 17(3–4), 223–237. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(92\)90022-K](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(92)90022-K)
- Neuman, W. Lawrence (2007). *Basics of Social Research: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*. Pearson Education.
- Neuman, W. L. (2014). *Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*. Pearson Education.
- Noormansyah, I., Wulandari, B., Saumi, S., & Herdiana, V. (2018). Formal vs Informal Systems of Fund M. *Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research*, 73(Aicar 2018), 83–87. <https://doi.org/10.2991/aicar-18.2019.19>
- Nooteboom, B., & Six, F. (2003). The Trust Process in Organizations: Empirical Studies of the Determinants and the Process of Trust Development. In *Edward Elgar* (Issue January). <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781843767350.00011>
- Norenzayan, A., Shariff, A. F., Gervais, W. M., Willard, A. K., McNamara, R. A., Slingerland, E., & Henrich, J. (2014). The cultural evolution of prosocial religions. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, 39, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X14001356>

- O'Loan, N. (2010). Transparency , accountability and the exercise of power in the Church of the future. *Studies: An Irish Quarterly Review*, 99(395), 267–275.
- Oakes, L. S., & Young, J. J. (2008). Accountability re-examined: Evidence from Hull House. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 21(6), 765–790. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570810893245>
- Olson, D. V. A., & Li, M. (2015). Does a Nation ' s Religious Composition Affect Generalized Trust? The Role of Religious Heterogeneity and the Percent Religious. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 54(4), 756–773.
- Osioma, B. C. (2013). Accountability in the Church. *Conference of Chancellors, Registrars, And Legal Officers, Church of Nigeria (Anglican Communion)*, August 2013, 2200.
- Osmani, S. R. (2002). Expanding Voice and Accountability through the Budgetary Process. *Journal of Human Development*, 3(2), 231–250. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14649880220147329>
- Pallot, J. (1992). Elements of a Theoretical Framework for Public Sector Accounting. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09513579210008244>
- Parent, M. M., & Deephouse, D. L. (2007). A case study of stakeholder identification and prioritization by managers. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 75(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-007-9533-y>
- Parker, Lee D. (2014). Corporate social accountability through action: Contemporary insights from British industrial pioneers. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 39(8), 632–659. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2014.10.001>
- Parker, Lee David. (2003). *Qualitative research in accounting and management: the emerging agenda*.
- Patton, M. Q. (2015). *Qualitative research & evaluations methods: Integrating Theory and practice* (Fourth edi). SAGE Publicarions.
- Petrovic, K., Stukas, A. A., & Marques, M. D. (2020). *Religiosity , motivations , and volunteering : A test of two theories of religious prosociality*. October 2019, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jts5.68>
- Pfang, R. (2015). Management in the catholic church: Corporate governance. *Journal of Management, Spirituality and Religion*, 12(1), 38–58. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14766086.2014.933708>

- Pietkiewicz, I., & Smith, J. A. (2014). A practical guide to using Interpretative Phenomenological Analysis in qualitative research psychology. *Czasopismo Psychologiczne Psychological Journal*, 20(1).  
<https://doi.org/10.14691/cppj.20.1.7>
- Pollitt, C. (2003). *The essential public manager*. McGraw-Hill Education (UK).
- Posner, P., & Fantone, D. (2007). Assessing Federal Program Performance: Observations on the U.S. Office of Management and Budget's Program Assessment Rating Tool and Its Use in the Budget Process. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 30(3), 351–368.  
<https://doi.org/10.2753/pmr1530-9576300303>
- Putnam, R., Putnam, R., Putnam, R., Putnam, D., & PUTNAM, R. (1993). “The prosperous community: Social capital and public life.” *The American Prospect*, 4(13), 35–42.
- Putnam, Robert, & Campbell, D. (2010). *American Grace: How Religion Divides and Unites us*. [http://tannerlectures.utah.edu/\\_documents/a-to-z/p/Putnam\\_10.pdf%5Cnpapers2://publication/uuid/D2C45DF7-C26A-48E1-AB39-BCA3A557F767](http://tannerlectures.utah.edu/_documents/a-to-z/p/Putnam_10.pdf%5Cnpapers2://publication/uuid/D2C45DF7-C26A-48E1-AB39-BCA3A557F767)
- Quattrone, P. (2004). Accounting for God: Accounting and accountability practices in the Society of Jesus (Italy, XVI-XVII centuries). *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 29(7), 647–683.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j-aos.2004.03.001>
- Quattrone, P. (2006). Erratum: “Accounting for God: Accounting and accountability practices in the Society of Jesus (Italy, XVI-XVII centuries)” (*Accounting, Organizations and Society* (2004) vol. 28 (169-249) 10.1016/j-aos.2004.03.001). *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 31(1), 105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j-aos.2006.02.001>
- Rached, D. H. (2016). The Concept(s) of Accountability: Form in Search of Substance. *Leiden Journal of International Law*, 29(2), 317–342.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0922156516000042>
- Rana, T., & Hoque, Z. (2020). Institutionalising multiple accountability logics in public services: Insights from Australia. *British Accounting Review*, 52(4), 100919. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2020.100919>
- Randa, F., Triyuwono, I., Ludigdo, U., & Sukoharsono, eko ganis. (2011). Studi etnografi: akuntabilitas Spiritual pada Organisasi gereja katolik yang terinkulturasi Budaya lokal. *Jurnal Akuntansi Multiparadigma*, 2(1), 35–51.
- Rappaport, R. A. (1999). *Ritual and Religion in the Making of Humanity* (Vol. 110). Cambridge University Press.

- Rest, J. R. (1984). Research on Moral Development: Implications for Training Counseling Psychologist. *SAGE Social Sciences Collection*, 19–29.
- Richardson, W. (1963). *Heidegger: Through Phenomenology to Thought*. Springer Science + Business Media, B.V.
- Rinaman, W. C., Loveland, M. T., Kelly, R. F., & William, R. (2009). Dimensions of Religiosity among American Catholics : Measurement and Validation. *Review of Religious Research*, 50(4), 413–440.
- Ring, P. S., & Van de Ven, A. (1992). Structuring Cooperative Relationships between Organizations. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13(7), 483–498.
- Roberts, J., & Scapens, R. (1985). Accounting systems and systems of accountability - understanding accounting practices in their organisational contexts. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 10(4), 443–456. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(85\)90005-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(85)90005-4)
- Robinson, S. L. (1996). Trust and Breach of the Psychological Contract. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 41(4), 574–599.
- Rocca, S. (2005). Religion and value systems. *Journal of Social Issues*, 61(4), 747–759. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-4560.2005.00430.x>
- Rocca, S., Sagiv, L., Schwartz, S. H., & Knafo, A. (2002). The Big Five personality factors and personal values. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 28(6), 789–801. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167202289008>
- Rodden, J., & Wibbels, E. (2011). Dual accountability and the nationalization of party competition: Evidence from four federations. *Party Politics*, 17(5), 629–653. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354068810376182>
- Romzek, B. S., & Dubnick, M. J. (1987). Accountability in the Public Sector : Lessons from the Challenger Tragedy. *Public Administration Review*, 47(3), 227–238.
- Roosevelt, E. (2019). *Subsidiarity as a Structural Principle of International Human Rights Law* Author (s) : Paolo G. Carozza Source : The American Journal of International Law , Vol . 97 , No . 1 ( Jan ., 2003 ), pp . 38-79 Published by : Cambridge University Press Stable UR. 97(1), 38–79.
- Rose, N. (1999). *Powers of freedom: Reframing political thought*. Cambridge university press.
- Rotter, J. B. (1967). A new scale for the measurement of interpersonal trust. *Journal of Personality*, 35(4), 651–665.

- Rousseau, D. M. (1998). TRust in Organization: Frontiers of Theory and Research. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 43(1), 186–188.
- Rousseau, D. M., Sitkin, S. B., Burt, R. S., & Camerer, C. (1998). Not so different after all: A cross-discipline view of trust. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(3), 393–404. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMR.1998.926617>
- Ruffle, B. J., & Sosis, R. (2020). *Do Religious Contexts Elicit More Trust and Altruism ? Decision-Making Scenario Experiments*. 1(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S2737436X20500028>
- Ruiter, S., & Van Tubergen, F. (2009). Religious attendance in cross-national perspective: A multilevel analysis of 60 countries. *American Journal of Sociology*, 115(3), 863–895. <https://doi.org/10.1086/603536>
- Ryan, C., Mack, J., Tooley, S., & Irvine, H. (2014). Do Not-For-Profits Need Their Own Conceptual Framework? *Financial Accountability and Management*, 30(4), 383–402. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12044>
- Saas, H. (1992). *Introduction The Principle Of Solidarity*. 367–370.
- Saerang, david P. E. (2003). *Accountablilty and accounting in a religious organisation : an interpretive ethnographic study of the Pentecostal Church of Indonesia*.
- sari, pipit buana, & Dwilita, H. (2018). Prospek Financial Technology (Fintech) Di Sumatera Utara Dilihat Dari Sisi Literasi Keuangan, Inklusi Keuangan Dan Kemiskinan Prospects of Financial Technology (Fintech) in North Sumatra Viewed From the Side of Financial Literacy, Financial Inclusion and . *Kajian Akuntansi*, 19(2), 9–17. [https://ejournal.unisba.ac.id/index.php/kajian\\_akuntansi/article/view/3307](https://ejournal.unisba.ac.id/index.php/kajian_akuntansi/article/view/3307)
- Saroglou, V. (2011). Believing, bonding, behaving, and belonging: The big four religious dimensions and cultural variation. *Journal of Cross-Cultural Psychology*, 42(8), 1320–1340. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002202211412267>
- Saroglou, V., Delpierre, V., & Dernelle, R. (2004). Values and religiosity: A meta-analysis of studies using Schwartz's model. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 37(4), 721–734. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2003.10.005>
- Saunder, M. N. ., Skinner, D., Dietz, G., Gillcspic, N., & Lewicki, R. J. (2010). *Organizational Trust*. Cambridge.
- Saxton, G. D., & Guo, C. (2011). Accountability Online: Understanding the Web-Based Accounatbility Practces of Nonprofit Organizations. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 40(2), 270–295. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0899764009341086>

- Schillemans, T. (2010). Redundant Accountability: The Joint Impact Of Horizontal And Vertical Accountability On Autonomous Agencies. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 34(September 2010), 300–337. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41288351>
- Schmidt, U. (2019). Theological theory about church: a new role for empirical research? *Praktische Theologie*, 54(1), 35–38. <https://doi.org/10.14315/prth-2019-540108>
- Scholz, S. J. (2014). Solidarity as a Human Right. *Archiv Des Völkerrechts*, 52(1), 49. <https://doi.org/10.1628/000389214x14056754359509>
- Schoorman, F. D., Mayer, R. C., & Davis, J. H. (2007). AN INTEGRATIVE MODEL OF ORGANIZATIONAL TRUST : PAST, PRESENT, FUTURE. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(2), 344–354.
- Scott, W. R., Ruef, M., Mendel, P., & Caronna, C. (2000). *Institutional Change and Health Care Organizations: From Professional Dominance to Managed Care*. University of Chicago Press.  
<https://books.google.co.id/books?id=vomaHpFVcOAC&lpg=PA29&ots=GrcIs0AJvJ&dq=Scott%2C%20W.%20Richard%2C%20Martin%2C%20Peter%20Mendel%2C%20and%20Carole%20Caronna.2000.%20Institutional%20Change%20and%20Health%20Care%20Organizations%3A%20From%20Professional%20Dominance%20to%20Managed%20Care>
- Semarang, T. A. K. A. (2012). *Petunjuk Teknis Keungan dan Akuntansi Paroki* (T. A. K. A. Semarang (ed.); 05 ed.). Kanisius.
- Semarang, T. A. K. A. (2018). *Petunjuk Teknis Akuntansi Paroki no 1 Laporan Keuangan Paroki*. Kanisius.
- Semyonov, M., Rajzman, R., & Gorodzeisky, A. (2006). The rise of anti-foreigner sentiment in European societies, 1988-2000. *American Sociological Review*, 71(3), 426–449. <https://doi.org/10.1177/000312240607100304>
- Senner, E. E., Ferris, G. F., & Butterfield, A. (1971). *Trust, Culture, and Organizational Behavior*.
- Shapiro, S. P. (1987). The Social Control of Impersonal Trust Author ( s ): Susan P . Shapiro Published by : The University of Chicago Press Stable URL : <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2780293> The Social Control of Impersonal Trust '. *American Journal of Sociology*, 93(3), 623–658.  
<https://www.jstor.org/stable/2780293>
- Silke, C., Brady, B., Boylan, C., & Dolan, P. (2018). Factors influencing the development of empathy and pro-social behaviour among adolescents: A systematic review. *Children and Youth Services Review*, 94, 421–436. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.childyouth.2018.07.027>

- Silverman, D. (2013). *Doing qualitative research: A practical handbook*. SAGE publications limited.
- Sinclair, A. (1995). The chameleon of accountability: Forms and discourses. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*.  
<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0361368293E0003Y>
- Sinclair, Amanda. (1995). The Chameleon of Accountability: Forms and Discourses. *Accounting Organizations and Society*, 20(2/3), 219–237.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-092X\(86\)90070-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0038-092X(86)90070-8)
- Sinclair, R., Hooper, K., & Ayoub, S. (2013). Perspectives of Accountability in Charities in New Zealand. *Journal of Asia Pacific Business, October*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10599231.2013.803904>
- Sitkin, S.B, & Pablo, A. L. (1992). Reconceptualizing the Determinants of Risk Behavior Author ( s ): Sim B . Sitkin and Amy L . Pablo Published by : Academy of Management Stable URL : <http://www.jstor.com/stable/258646>  
 REFERENCES Linked references are available on JSTOR for this article : *Academy of Management Journal*, 17(1), 9–38.
- Sitkin, Sim B, & Roth, N. (1993). Explaining the Limited Effectiveness of Legalistic " Remedies " for Trust/ Distrust. *Organizational Science*, 4(3), 367–392.
- Smith, D. E. (1987). *The everyday world as problematic: A feminist sociology*. University of Toronto Press.
- Smith, P. C., & Richmond, K. A. (2007). Call for Greater Accountability within the US Nonprofit Sector. *Academy of Accounting and Financial Studies Journal*, 11(2), 75-.
- Smith, Q. (1981). Husserl's early conception of the triadic structure of the intentional act. In *Philosophy Today* (pp. 81–89).
- Solomon, R. C., & Flores, F. (2003). *Building trust: In business, politics, relationships, and life*. Oxford University Press.
- Spreitzer, G. M., & Mishra, A. K. (2002). To stay or to go: Voluntary survivor turnover following an organizational downsizing. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 23(6), 707–729. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.166>
- Stavrova, O., & Siegers, P. (2014). Religious Prosociality and Morality Across Cultures: How Social Enforcement of Religion Shapes the Effects of Personal Religiosity on Prosocial and Moral Attitudes and Behaviors. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 40(3), 315–333.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0146167213510951>

- Stewart, J. D. (1984). The role of information in public accountability. *Issues in Public Sector Accounting*.
- Stolley, K. S. (2005). *The Basics of Sociology*. Greenwood Press.
- Strøm, K. (2000). Delegation and Accountability in Parliamentary Democracies. *European Journal of Political Research*, 37, 261–289.
- Styhre, A. (2001). Kaizen, ethics, and care of the operations: Management after empowerment. *Journal of Management Studies*, 38(6), 795–810.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-6486.00259>
- Suchman, S. P., & Merseth, C. K. (2012). *Negotiating Dual Accountability Systems : Strategic Responses of Big Picture Schools to State-Mandated Standards and Assessment*.
- Sudibyo, B. (1987). Rekayasa Akuntansi dan Permasalahannya di Indonesia. *Akuntansi, Juni*.
- Suhaimi Nahar, H., & Yaacob, H. (2011). Accountability in the sacred context: THe case of management, accounting and reporting of a Malaysia cash awqah institution. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 2(2), 87–113. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17590811111170520>
- Suwardjono. (2011). *Teori Akuntansi: Perekayaan Pelaporan Keuangan* (5th ed.). BPFE-UGM.
- Swanson, A. G. A., Gardner, J. C., & Gardner, J. C. (1986). The Inception and Evolution of Financial Reporting in the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America. *The Academy of Accounting Historians*, 13(2), 55–63.
- Thornton, P. H. (2004). Markets from Culture: Institutional Logics and Organizational Decisions in Higher Education Publishing. In *Standford Universiity Press* (Issue October). <https://doi.org/10.1515/9781503619098>
- Thornton, P. H., & Ocasio, W. (1999). Institutional logics and the historical contingency of power in organizations: Executive succession in the higher education publishing industry, 1958-1990. *American Journal of Sociology*, 105(3), 801–843. <https://doi.org/10.1086/210361>
- Thornton, P., & Ocasio, W. (2008). Institutional Logics. In *Organizational Institutionalism* (first, pp. 99–130). SAGE Publicarions.
- Tolbert, P. S., & Zucker, L. G. (1983). Institutional Sources of Change in the Formal Structure of Organizations: The Diffusion of Civil Service Reform, 1880-1935. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 28(1), 22.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2392383>

- Traunmüller, R. (2009). Individual Religiosity, Religious Context, and the Creation of Social Trust in Germany. *Schmollers Jahrbuch*, 129(2), 357–365. <https://doi.org/10.3790/schm.129.2.357>
- Tuanakota, T. M. (2013). *Audit Berbasis ISA*. Salemba Empat.
- Tuckett, J. (2016). Clarifying the Phenomenology of Gerardus van der Leeuw. *Method and Theory in the Study of Religion*, 28(3), 227–263. <https://doi.org/10.1163/15700682-12341361>
- Uhr, J. (1993). Redesigning accountability: From muddles to maps. *Australian Quarterly*. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/20635716>
- Uhr, John. (1993). Redesigning Accountability: From Muddles to Maps. *Australian Institute of Policy & Science*, 65(2), 1–16.
- Unerman, J., & O'Dwyer, B. (2006). Theorising accountability for NGO advocacy. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal* .... <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570610670334>
- Unerman, Jeffrey, & O'Dwyer, B. (2006). Theorising accountability for NGO advocacy. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 19(3), 349–376. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570610670334>
- Upenieks, L., & Orfanidis, C. (2022). Social Trust, Religiosity, and Self-Rated Health in the Context of National Religious Pluralism. *Journal of Religion and Health*, 61(6), 4535–4564. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10943-021-01380-w>
- Uslaner, E. M. (2002). *The Moral Foundation of Trust*. Cambridge University Press. <https://medium.com/@arifwicaksana/pengertian-use-case-a7e576e1b6bf>
- Valente, R. R., & Okulicz-Kozaryn, A. (2021). Religiosity and Trust: Evidence from the United States. In *Review of Religious Research* (Vol. 63, Issue 3). Springer US. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13644-020-00437-8>
- van Staden, C. (2009). <Title>. *The British Accounting Review*, 41(2), 138–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2009.03.001>
- Van Staden, C., & Heslop, J. (2009). Implications of applying a private sector based reporting model to not-for-profit entities: The treatment of charitable distributions by charities in New Zealand. *Australian Accounting Review*, 19(1), 42–53. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1835-2561.2008.00039.x>
- Van Tubergen, F., Te Grotenhuis, M., & Ultee, W. (2005). Denomination, religious context, and suicide: Neo-durkheimian multilevel explanations tested with individual and contextual data. *American Journal of Sociology*, 111(3), 797–823. <https://doi.org/10.1086/497307>

- Visser, M. (2016). Management control, accountability, and learning in public sector organizations: A critical analysis. *Studies in Public and Non-Profit Governance*, 5, 75–93. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S2051-663020160000005004>
- Vosselman, E. (2016). Accounting, Accountability, and Ethics in Public Sector Organizations: Toward a Duality Between Instrumental Accountability and Relational Response-Ability. *Administration and Society*, 48(5), 602–627. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399713514844>
- Wahyuni, S. (2019). *Qualitative Research Method: Theory and Practical* (third edit). Salemba Empat.
- Welch, M. R., Sikkink, D., Sartain, E., & Bond, C. (2004). Trust in God and Trust in Man: The Ambivalent Role of Religion in Shaping Dimensions of Social Trust. *Journal for the Scientific Study of Religion*, 43(3), 317–343. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5906.2004.00238.x>
- Wicks, A. C., Berman, S. L., & Jones, T. M. (1999). The Structure of Optimal Trust : Moral and Strategic Implications. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(1), 99–116.
- Wilig, C. (2013). Introducing qualitative research in psychology Adventures in theory and method. In *Adventures in theory and method*.
- Wilson, J. Q. (2000). *Bureaucracy: What government agencies do and why they do it*. Hachette UK.
- Yagil, D., & Rattner, A. (2002). *Between commandments and laws : Religiosity , political ideology , and legal obedience in Israel*. 185–209.
- Yamagishi, T., & Yamagishi, M. (1994). Trust and Commitment in the United States and Japan. *Motivation and Emotion*, 18(2), 129–166.
- Young, D. R. (2002). The Influence of Business on Nonprofit Organizations and the Complexity of Nonprofit Accountability Looking Inside as Well as Outside. *ARPA*, 32(1), 3–19.
- Zheng, W. (2023). Cultural religiosity moderates the relationship between trust and altruism: a study in 64 countries. *Current Psychology*, 0123456789. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-023-04333-6>
- Zucker, L.G. (1986). Production of trust: Institutional sources of economic structure, 1840–1920. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 8, 53–111.
- Zucker, Lynee G. (1977). The Role of Institutionalization in Cultural Persistence. *American Sociological Review*, 42(5), 726–743.