

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdelmalek, M. 2020. Difference in audit quality between Big 4 and Non-Big 4: Evidence from accelerated and non-accelerated filers. *Master Thesis Accounting, Auditing and Control*, 24(8), 1–41.
- Al Fath, N. D. dan P. Sugito. 2020. Penerimaan Opini Audit: Studi Keterkaitannya dengan Debt Default, Pertumbuhan Perusahaan dan Ukuran Perusahaan. *Buletin Studi Ekonomi*, 25(2), 265–286. <https://doi.org/10.24843/bse.2020.v25.i02.p06>
- Andrian, T., B. L. Handoko, dan Z. P. Wijaya. 2019. The acceptance of going concern: Does audit opinion matter? *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 9(10), 1–13.
- Auladi, I. A. T., D. Azizah., D. W. Suwaji, dan G. Harventy. 2019. Pengaruh Audit Delay, Reputasi Auditor terhadap Penerimaan Opini Audit Going Concern. *Jurnal Akademi Akuntansi*, 2(2), 93–103.
- Averio, T. 2020. The analysis of influencing factors on the going concern audit opinion – a study in manufacturing firms in Indonesia. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 6(2), 152–164. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJAR-09-2020-0078>
- Barney, J. 1991. Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17, 120 - 99.
- Boynton, W., R. Johnson, dan W. Kell. 2006. *Modern auditing: Assurance services and the integrity of financial reporting* (8th ed.).
- Carcello, J. V. and Z. V. Palmrose. 1994. Discussion of Auditor Litigation and Modified Reporting on Bankrupt Clients. *Journal of Accounting Research*, Vol. 32. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2491436>
- Caroline, H. I., B. Minarso, dan N. Nurcahyono. 2023. Determinan Opini Audit Going Concern: Studi Kasus Perusahaan Sektor Industri Barang Konsumsi. *Reviu Akuntansi dan Bisnis Indonesia*, 7(1), 48–61. <https://doi.org/10.18196/rabin.v7i1.17082>

- Chen, K. C. W. and B. K. Church. 1992. Default on Debt Obligations and the Issuance of Going-Concern Opinions. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 11(2), 30–49.
- DeAngelo, L. E. (1981). Auditor size and audit quality. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 3(3), 183–199.
- Djunaedi, B. S. A., F. Manurung, J. Tomy, T. Regina, dan S. Maratno. 2022. The Effect of Company Size, Company Profitability, Previous Audit Opinion, and Kap Size as A Determiner of Going Concern Audit Opinions. *Journal of Sosial Science*, 3(2), 266–275. <https://doi.org/10.46799/jss.v3i2.309>
- Effendi, B. 2019. Kualitas Audit, Kondisi Keuangan, Ukuran Perusahaan dan Penerimaan Opini Audit Going Concern. *Riset dan Jurnal Akuntansi*, 3(1), 9. <https://doi.org/10.33395/owner.v3i1.80>
- Endiana, I. D. M. dan N. N. A. Suryandari. 2021. Opini Going Concern: Ditinjau Dari Agensi Teori Dan Pemicunya. *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Keuangan*, Vol. 5, Issue 2, 224–242. <https://doi.org/10.24034/j25485024.y2021.v5.i2.4490>
- Firmansjah, E. dan C. Meiden. 2020. Beberapa Faktor yang Berpengaruh terhadap Kemungkinan Penerimaan Opini Audit Going Concern pada Perusahaan. *Jurnal Akuntansi Bisnis dan Ekonomi*, Vol. 7, Issue 1.
- Francis, J. R. and M. D. Yu. 2009. Big 4 Office Size and Audit Quality. *The Accounting Review*, Vol. 84, Issue 5, 1521–1552. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr.2009.84.5.1521>
- Ghozali, I. 2018. Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program IBM SPSS 25. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Hani, Cleary, dan Mukhlisin. 2003. Going Concern dan Opini Audit: Suatu Studi pada Perusahaan Perbankan di BEJ. *Simposium Nasional Akuntansi*, 197-207.
- Haryanto, Y. A. dan Sudarno. 2019. Pengaruh Profitabilitas, Solvabilitas, Likuiditas, dan Rasio Pasar terhadap Opini Audit Going Concern pada Perusahaan Manufaktur di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *Diponegoro Journal of Accounting*, 8(4), 1–13.

- IAPI. 2020. Auditor's Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic: Regarding Financial Statements, Audit Procedures, and Practical Considerations to Support Audit Quality. *Institut Akuntan Publik Indonesia*, 1–20. [https://iapi.or.id/uploads/article/76-TECH NEWSFLASH APRIL 2020.pdf](https://iapi.or.id/uploads/article/76-TECH_NEWSFLASH_APRIL_2020.pdf)
- IAPI. 2021. Standar Audit 570 (Revisi 2021) Kelangsungan Usaha. Institut Akuntan Publik Indonesia. <https://iapi.or.id/cpt-special-content/standar-audit-sa/>
- IAPI. 2021. Standar Audit 700 (Revisi 2021) Perumusan Suatu Opini dan Pelaporan atas Laporan Keuangan. Institut Akuntan Publik Indonesia. <https://iapi.or.id/cpt-special-content/standar-audit-sa/>
- Imani, G. K., M. R. Nazar, dan E. Budiono. 2017. Pengaruh Debt Default, Audit Lag, Kondisi Keuangan, dan Opini Audit Tahun Sebelumnya Terhadap Penerimaan Opini Audit Going Concern. *E-Proceeding of Management*, Vol. 4, No. 2.
- Jensen, M. C. and W. H. Meckling. 1976. Theory of The Firm: Managerial Behavior, Agency Costs and Ownership Structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 3, 305-360.
- Kesumojati, S. C. I., T. Widyastuti, dan Darmansyah. 2017. Pengaruh Kualitas Audit, Financial Distress, Debt Default Terhadap Penerimaan Opini Audit Going Concern. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi Fakultas Ekonomi*, 3(1), 62–76. <https://doi.org/10.34204/jiafe.v3i1.434>
- Khaddafi, M. 2015. Effect of Debt Default, Audit Quality and Acceptance of Audit Opinion Going Concern in Manufacturing Company in Indonesia Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences*, 5(1), 80–91. <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarafms/v5-i1/1461>
- Kurnia, P. dan N. F. Mella. 2018. Opini Audit Going Concern: Kajian Berdasarkan Kualitas Audit, Kondisi Keuangan, Audit Tenure, Ukuran Perusahaan, Pertumbuhan Perusahaan dan Opini Audit Tahun Sebelumnya pada Perusahaan yang Mengalami Financial Distress pada Perusahaan Manufaktur. *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi dan Keuangan*, 6(1), 105–122. <http://ejournal.upi.edu/index.php/JRAK/article/view/8937/7437>

- Kusumawardhani, I. 2018. Pengaruh Kondisi Keuangan, Financial Distres, Profitabilitas dan Ukuran Perusahaan Terhadap Opini Audit Going Concern. *Buletin Ekonomi*, 16(1), 121–136.
- Margareta, A., V. Ilat, dan N. S. Budiarmo. 2023. Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Opini Audit Going Concern Perusahaan Manufaktur Bursa Efek Indonesia. *National Conference on Accounting and Finance*, Vol. 5, 427–440. <https://doi.org/10.20885/ncaf.vol5.art49>
- Mulyadi, M. S. and S. Budiawan. 2018. Analysis of going concern modified audit report in Indonesia and Singapore. *International Journal of Business and Globalisation*, 20(1), 120. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijbg.2018.10009524>
- Oktaviana, I. R. dan Y. Karnawati. 2020. Pengaruh Profitabilitas, Ukuran Perusahaan, dan Opini Audit Tahun Sebelumnya terhadap Opini Audit Going Concern. *Jurnal Civitas Academica*, Vol. 1, No. 1, 115–123. <https://jca.esaunggul.ac.id/index.php/jeco/article/view/58>
- Pasaribu, A. M. 2015. Pengaruh Kualitas Auditor, Likuiditas, Solvabilitas dan Profitabilitas terhadap Opini Audit Going Concern pada Sub Sektor Makanan dan Minuman yang terdaftar di Bursa Efek Indonesia. *Jurnal of Accounting Research and Computerized Accountin*, Vol. 6, Issue 2.
- Praptitorini, M. D. dan I. Januari. 2011. Analisis Pengaruh Kualitas Audit, Debt Default Dan Opinion Shopping Terhadap Penerimaan Opini Going Concern. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan Indonesia*, 8(1), 78–93. <https://doi.org/10.21002/jaki.2011.05>
- Pratiwi, R. H. 2019. The Effect of Audit Lag, Opinion Shopping, Leverage, and Profitability to the Going Concern Audit Opinion. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 16(2), 89–104.
- Priyono, A. 2018. Analisis Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Penerimaan Opini Audit Going Concern. *Jurnal Informasi, Perpajakan, Akuntansi, dan Keuangan Publik*, 13(1), 31–54. <https://doi.org/10.25105/jipak.v13i1.5150>
- Purba, M. 2016. *Asumsi Going Concern*. Yogyakarta: Ekuilibria.

- Putri, A. 2018. The Effect of Non Financial Factors on Acceptance of Going Concern Audit Opinion in Manufacturing Companies Listed in Indonesian Stock Exchange. *Russian Journal of Agricultural and Socio-Economic Sciences*, Vol. 11, 151–160.
- Putri, R. L., A. K. Lambut., E. Wahyono, dan A. H. Hadi. 2022. Factors Influencing Auditors' Going Concern Opinion. *The International Journal of Accounting and Business Society*, 30(3), 1–10.
- Rahim, S. 2016. Pengaruh Kondisi Keuangan Perusahaan, Kualitas Audit Dan Opinion Shopping Terhadap Penerimaan Opini Going Concern. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi dan Bisnis*, Vol. 11, No. 2, 75–83. <https://doi.org/10.24843/JIAB.2016.v11.i02.p02>
- Ramadhan, A. P. dan M. Sumardjo. 2021. Previous Years Audit Opinions, Profitability, Audit Tenure and Quality Control System on Going Concern Audit Opinion. *European Journal of Business and Management Research*, 6(2), 140–145. <https://doi.org/10.24018/ejbmr.2021.6.2.817>
- Ritonga, H. H, Erlina, dan Y. Absah. 2023. The Effect of Debt Default, Audit Lag, Profitability, and Financial Distress on Going Concern Audit Opinion with Firm Size as a Moderating Variable in Mining Companies Listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange 2016-2020. *International Journal of Research and Review*, 10(2), 461–473. <https://doi.org/10.52403/ijrr.20230255>
- Rizky, M. Y. dan D. N. Triyanto. 2021. Pengaruh Kualitas Audit, Financial Distress dan Debt Default Terhadap Penerimaan Opini Audit Going Concern. *E-Proceeding of Management*, 8(5), 5170–5177.
- Satriani, E. dan Y. D. Alfia. 2020. Influence of Profitability, Solvability, and Company Growth on Going Concern Audit Opinions. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Sistem Informasi*, 5(2), 120–128. <https://doi.org/10.32486/aksi.v5i2.608>
- Senjaya, K. dan I. K. Budiarta. 2022. Opini Audit Sebelumnya, Financial Distress, Auditor Switching dan Opini Audit Going Concern. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi*, 32(1), 198-208. <https://doi.org/10.24843/eja.2022.v32.i01.p14>
- Seyam, Achraf A. and S. Brickman. 2016. The Going Concern Assumptions and Presentation on Financial Statements. *International Journal of Accounting Research*, 4(1), 241–244. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2472-114x.1000123>

- Simamora, R. A. dan H. Hendarjatno. 2019. The effects of audit client tenure, audit lag, opinion shopping, liquidity ratio, and leverage to the going concern audit opinion. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 4(1), 145–156. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJAR-05-2019-0038>
- Srimindarti, C., T. Suwarti., R. M. Oktaviani, dan J. A. Fajar. 2019. Determinants of Going Concern Audit Opinion. *Advances in Economics, Business and Management Research*, Vol. 86, 96–99. <https://doi.org/10.2991/icobame-18.2019.21>
- Standar Audit Seksi 341. 2001. *Pertimbangan Auditor atas Kemampuan Entitas dalam Mempertahankan Kelangsungan Hidupnya*.
- Standar Audit Seksi 508. 2001. *Laporan Auditor atas Laporan Keuangan Auditan*.
- Subarkah, J. dan M. H. Ma’ruf. 2020. Analisis Faktor-Faktor Yang Mempengaruhi Auditor Dalam Memberikan Opini Audit Going Concern BEI Tahun 2014-2017. *Edunomika – Vol. 04, No. 01, 04(01), 227–235*.
- Surbakti, Y. S., M. V. Crisia, dan T. T. U. Sipahatur. 2022. Effect of Profitability, Liquidity, Solvency and Firm Size on Going Concern Opinion. *Budapest International Research and Critics Institute Journal*, 5(1), 1114–1125. <https://www.bircu-journal.com/index.php/birci/article/view/3712>
- Suwarji, S. F., Tri. W., Sailendra, dan Darmansyah. 2022. Determinan Opini Audit Going Concern dengan Ukuran Perusahaan sebagai Variabel Moderasi pada Perusahaan Infrastruktur. *Jurnal Multidisiplin Ilmu*, Vol. 1, Issue 6. <https://journal.mediapublikasi.id/index.php/bullet>
- Syahputra, F. dan M. R. Yahya. 2017. Pengaruh Audit Tenure, Audit Delay, Opini Audit Tahun Sebelumnya dan Opinion Shopping terhadap Penerimaan Opini Audit Going Concern pada Perusahaan Manufaktur. *Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa Ekonomi Akuntansi*, Vol. 2, No. 3, 39–47.
- Venuti, E. K. 2007. The Going Concern Assumption Revisited: Assessing a Company’s Future Viability. *The CPA Journal*, Vol. 74, No. 5, 40–43.

- Winata, A., C. Meiden., S. Suhartono., Y. Dema, dan P. Apriwenni. 2022. Determinants of the Acceptance of Going Concern Audit Opinions. *Budapest International Research and Critics Institute Journal*, Vol. 5, No. 2, 8132–8140. <https://doi.org/10.33258/birci.v5i2.4596>
- Zuhroh, S., Y. Prasetyo., N. Nugraheni, dan E. I. Riyani. 2023. Effect of Leverage, Previous Year's Audit Opinion and Company Growth on Going Concern Audit Opinion. *International Journal of Business and Applied Economics*, 2(2), 149–162. <https://doi.org/10.55927/ijbae.v2i2.3314>