

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ahadiansyah, F., Dewi, K., & Fuadah, L. (2019). *The Effect of Workload, Experience, Personality and Professional Scepticism on The Accuracy of Giving Audit Opinion*. *Seabc 2018*, 458–467.  
<https://doi.org/10.5220/0008441304580467>
- Ajzen, I. (1991). *The Theory of Planned Behavior*. 50(2)(Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes).
- Al Bawwat, I. E., Al Hajaia, M. E., & Al Frijat, Y. S. (2021). The Relationship Between Internal Auditors' Personality Traits, Internal Audit Effectiveness, and Financial Reporting Quality: Empirical Evidence from Jordan. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(4), 797–808.  
<https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no4.0797>
- Allport, G. (1961). Pattern and Growth in Personality. In *Holt, Rinehart & Winston*.
- Ananda, R. (2014). Pengaruh Skeptisme Profesional, Kepatuhan pada Kode Etik dan Inedendensi Terhadap Kualitas Audit. *Pontificia Universidad Catolica del Peru*, 8(33), 44.
- Anderson-Gough, F., Edgley, C., Robson, K., & Sharma, N. (2022). Organizational responses to multiple logics: Diversity, identity and the professional service firm. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 103, 101336. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2022.101336>
- Asare, S. K., van Brenk, H., & Demek, K. C. (2024). Evidence on the homogeneity of personality traits within the auditing profession. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 99(March), 102584.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2023.102584>
- Balasingam, S., Arumugam, D., & Sanatova, A. (2019). Auditors acceptance of dysfunctional behaviour in Kazakhstan. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 7(5), 134–140.
- Bujaki, M., Durocher, S., Brouard, F., Neilson, L., & Pyper, R. (2018). Protect, profit, profess, promote: Establishing legitimacy through logics of diversity in Canadian accounting firm recruitment documents. *Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences*, 35(1), 162–178. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cjas.1392>
- Chariri, Anis. Darsono, & Juniarti, I. (2022). *Karakter Psikopati Auditee Dan Skeptisisme Profesional Auditor* (M. Khafid (ed.)). fastindo.
- Chen, Y.-H., Wang, K.-J., & Liu, S.-H. (2023). How Personality Traits and Professional Skepticism Affect Auditor Quality? A Quantitative Model. *Sustainability*, 15(2), 1547. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15021547>

- Church, A. T. (2016). Personality traits across cultures. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 8, 22–30. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2015.09.014>
- Coram, P., Ng, J., & Woodliff, D. (2003). A survey of time budget pressure and reduced audit quality among Australian auditors. *Australian Accounting Review*, 13(29), 38–44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1835-2561.2003.tb00218.x>
- Costa, P. T., & McCrae, R. R. (2008). The revised NEO personality inventory (NEO-PI-R). In *The SAGE Handbook of Personality Theory and Assessment: Volume 2 - Personality Measurement and Testing* (Nomor January 2008). <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781849200479.n9>
- Damayanti, N. N. S. R. (2021). Pengaruh Sifat Kepribadian Pada Kinerja Auditor. *Accounting Profession Journal*, 3(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.35593/apaji.v3i1.19>
- DeAngelo, L. E. (1981). Auditor size and audit fees. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 3(3), 183–199.
- Emerson, D., & Yang, L. (2012). Perceptions of Auditor Conscientiousness and Fraud Detection. *Journal of Forensic & Investigative Accounting*, 4(2), 110–141.  
<http://scholar.google.com/scholar?hl=en&btnG=Search&q=intitle:Perception+of+Auditor+Conscientiousness+and+Fraud+Detection#0>
- Farag, M. S., & Elias, R. Z. (2016). The relationship between accounting students' personality, professional skepticism and anticipatory socialization. *Accounting Education*, 25(2), 124–138. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09639284.2015.1118639>
- Fisher, R. T. (2001). *Role stress, the Type A behavior pattern, and external auditor job satisfaction and performance*. 13(Behav. Res. Account.), 143-70.
- Fiske, S. T., & Taylor, S. E. (1991). *Social Cognition*. 2nd ed.(McGraw-Hill).
- Ghozali, I. (2021). *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate* (Undip).
- Gul, F. A., Wu, D., & Yang, Z. (2013). Do individual auditors affect audit quality? Evidence from archival data. *Accounting Review*, 88(6), 1993–2023. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-50536>
- Gundry, L. C., & Liyanarachchi, G. A. (2007). Time budget pressure, auditors' personality type, and the incidence of reduced audit quality practices. *Pacific Accounting Review*, 19(2), 125–152. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01140580710819898>
- Handayani, K. A. T., & Merkusiwati, L. A. (2015). Pengaruh Independensi Auditor dan Kompetensi Auditor pada Skeptisisme Profesional Auditor dan Implikasinya terhadap Kualitas Audit. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Udayana*, 10, 1–15.
- Heider, F. (1958). *The Psychology of Interpersonal Relations*. John Wiley and

Sons.

- Hrazdil, K., Novak, J., Rogo, R., Wiedman, C., & Zhang, R. (2020). Measuring executive personality using machine-learning algorithms: A new approach and audit fee-based validation tests. *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, 47(3–4), 519–544. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbfa.12406>
- Hurtt, R. K, Brown-liburd, H., Earley, C. E., & Krishnamoorthy, G. (2013). Research on auditor professional skepticism: literature synthesis and opportunities for future research. *A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 45–97.
- Hurtt, R. K. (2010). *Development of a Scale to Measure Professional Skepticism*. 29(1), 149–171. <https://doi.org/10.2308/aud.2010.29.1.149>
- John, O. P., & Srivastava, S. (1999). . *The big five trait taxonomy: History, measurement, and theoretical perspectives*. (hal. 102–138). <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315742113-17>
- John, O. P., Donahue, E. M., & Kentle, R. L. (1991). *The Big Five Inventory - Version 4a and 54* (Berkeley). University Of California at Berkeley, Institute of Personality and Social Research.
- John, O. P., Naumann, L. P., & Soto, C. J. (2008). Paradigm shift to the integrative Big Five Trait taxonomy: History, measurement, and conceptual issues. *Handbook of personality: Theory and research, January 2008*, 114–158.
- Jones, E., & Davis, K. (1965). From acts to dispositions: the attribution process in social psychology. *Advances in experimental social psychology*, 2, 219–266.
- Jum, N. (1994). *Psychometric Methods*. McGraw-Hill.
- Kathy Hurtt, R., Brown-Liburd, H., Earley, C. E., & Krishnamoorthy, G. (2013). Research on auditor professional skepticism: Literature synthesis and opportunities for future research. *Auditing*, 32(SUPPL.1), 45–97. <https://doi.org/10.2308/ajpt-50361>
- Kelley, T. and Margheim, L. (1990). (1990). *The impact of time budget pressure, personality and leadership variables on dysfunctional auditor behaviour*. 9(Audit. A J. Pract. Theory), 21–42.
- Kelley. (1967). *Attribution theory in social psychology: Vol. Vol. 2*. University of Nebraska Press.
- Knechel, W. R., Krishnan, G. V., Pevzner, M., Shefchik, L. B., & Velury, U. (2012). Audit Quality Indicators: Insights from the Academic Literature. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2040754>
- Kuldeep, K. & Arti B. (n.d.). *Personality and Organizational Commitment.pdf*.
- Kusuma, H. D., Silfi, A., & Odiatma, F. (2022). Pengaruh kompetensi, independensi, pengalaman kerja, dan skeptisisme profesional terhadap

- kualitas audit dengan etika auditor sebagai pemoderasi (studi empiris pada kantor perwakilan badan pengawasan keuangan dan pembangunan Provinsi Riau). *SYNERGY: Jurnal Bisnis dan Manajemen*, 2(2), 53–69.  
<https://doi.org/10.52364/synergy.v2i2.22>
- Larimbi, D., Subroto, B., & Rosidi, R. (2017). Pengaruh Faktor-Faktor Personal Terhadap Skeptisisme Profesional Auditor. *EKUITAS (Jurnal Ekonomi dan Keuangan)*, 17(1), 89.  
<https://doi.org/10.24034/j25485024.y2013.v17.i1.2229>
- Mahfud, S. (2013). *Analisis Pengaruh Tipe Kepribadian Auditir Terhadap Kualitas Audit dengan Skeptisisme Profesional sebagai Variabel Pemediasi*.
- Malone, C. F., & Roberts, R. W. (1996). *Factors associated with the incident of reduced audit quality behaviors*. 15(2)(A J. Pract. Theory), 49–64.
- Margheim, L., & Pany, K. (1986). Quality control, premature signoff, and underreporting of time: Some empirical findings. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice and Theory*, 5, 50–63.
- McCrae, R. R., & Costa, P. T. (1987). Validation of the Five-Factor Model of Personality Across Instruments and Observers. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 52(1), 81–90. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.52.1.81>
- Nelson, M. W. (2009). *A Model and Literature Review of Professional Skepticism in Auditing*. 28(2), 1–34.
- Pierce, B., & Sweeney, B. (2004). Cost–quality conflict in audit firms: an empirical investigation. *European Accounting Review*, 13(3), 415–441.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/0963818042000216794>
- Pikar Setiawan, A. I. E. S. I. (2019). Analysis of the Influence of Role Stress on Reduced Audit Quality. *Jurnal Akuntansi*, 23(2), 301.  
<https://doi.org/10.24912/ja.v23i2.593>
- Puspitasari, A., Baridwan, Z., & Rahman, A. F. (2019). the Effect of Audit Competence, Independence, and Professional Skepticism on Audit Quality With Auditor’S Ethics As Moderation Variables. *International Journal of Business, Economics and Law*, 18(5), 135–144.
- Rachmad, Y. E., Rusman, H., PD Anantadjaya, S., Hernawan, M. A., & Metris, D. (2023). The Role Of Computer Assisted Audit Techniques, Professional Skepticism And Remote Auditing On Quality Of Audit In Public Accountant Office. *Journal of Economic, Bussines and Accounting (COSTING)*, 6(2), 2180–2192. <https://doi.org/10.31539/costing.v6i2.6108>
- Refita, W. S., Febrianto, R., & Kartika, R. (2018). Factors Affecting the Reduced Audit Quality Behavior. *International Journal of Innovative Science and Research Technology*, 3(10). [www.ijisrt.com](http://www.ijisrt.com)645
- Regbiyantari, T. A., & Narsa, N. P. D. R. H. (2021). The Role of Anticipatory

- Socialization as A Mediating Variable between The Big Five Personality Traits and Professional Skepticism. *Jurnal Manajemen Teori dan Terapan/ Journal of Theory and Applied Management*, 14(1), 83.  
<https://doi.org/10.20473/jmtt.v14i1.26228>
- Rose, J. M. (2007). Attention to Evidence of Aggressive Financial Reporting and Intentional Misstatement Judgments: Effects of Experience and Trust. *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, 19(1), 215–229.  
<https://doi.org/10.2308/bria.2007.19.1.215>
- Rustiarini, N. W. (2013). Pengaruh Kompleksitas Tugas, Tekanan Waktu, dan Sifat Kepribadian pada Kinerja. *Makara Human Behavior Studies in Asia*, 17(2), 126. <https://doi.org/10.7454/mssh.v17i2.2961>
- Samagaio, A., & Felício, T. (2022). The influence of the auditor's personality in audit quality. *Journal of Business Research*, 141(November 2021), 794–807.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.11.082>
- Samagaio, A., Francisco, P. M., & Felício, T. (2024). *The relationship between soft skills , stress and reduced audit quality practices*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1108/RAF-06-2023-0186>
- Saraswati, P. B., & Latrini, M. Y. (2023). Big Five Personality Traits dan Skeptisisme Profesional Auditor. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi*, 33(6), 1628.  
<https://doi.org/10.24843/eja.2023.v33.i06.p016>
- Siegel G, & Marconi, H. R. (1989). *Behavioral Accounting*. South Western Publishing Co.
- Smith, K. J., & Emerson, D. J. (2017). An analysis of the relation between resilience and reduced audit quality within the role stress paradigm. *Advances in Accounting*, 37(April), 1–14.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adiac.2017.04.003>
- Sobel, M. (1986). *Sociological Methodology*. American Sociological Association.
- Steel, P. (2007). The nature of procrastination: A meta-analytic and theoretical review of quintessential self-regulatory failure. *Psychological Bulletin*, 133(1), 65–94. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.133.1.65>
- Sugiyono. (2016). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif dan R&D*. PT. Alfabeta.
- Toegel, G., & Barsoux, J. L. (2012). How to become a better leader. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 53(3), 51–60.
- Yahya, F., Yustina, A. I., & Mahmudah, H. (2021). The mediating role of independence in the relationship between auditors' conscientiousness & openness and professional skepticism. *Jurnal Pengurusan*, 61, 121–131.  
<https://doi.org/10.17576/pengurusan-2021-61-10>