

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Alwi, M. H., Kalam, H. A., Megawaty, R., Daga, S. R., Bakri, S. W., Katti, B., Sudirman, S., Sujatmiko, D., Qur'ani, B., & Jaya, A. K. (2023). *Are we ready to face society 5.0?* Tangguh Denara Jaya.
- Bank Central Asia. (2024). CS Digital. Dalam Bank Central Asia. Diakses pada 20 November 2024, dari <https://www.bca.co.id/id/Individu/layanan/e-banking/cs-digital>
- Bank Central Asia. (2024). Hari Pelanggan Nasional 2024, Presiden Direktur BCA: “Momen Refleksi untuk Melayani Seluruh Segmen Nasabah”. Diakses pada 20 November 2024, dari <https://www.bca.co.id/id/tentang-bca/media-riiset/pressroom/siaran-pers/2024/09/06/03/59/hari-pelanggan-nasional-2024>
- Albashrawi, M., & Motiwalla, L. (2020). An Integrative Framework on Mobile Banking Success. *Information Systems Management*, 37(1), 16–32. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530.2020.1696530>
- Al-Otaibi, S., Aljohani, N. R., Hoque, Md. R., & Alotaibi, F. S. (2018). The Satisfaction of Saudi Customers Toward Mobile Banking in Saudi Arabia and the United Kingdom: *Journal of Global Information Management*, 26(1), 85–103. <https://doi.org/10.4018/JGIM.2018010105>
- Altin Gumussoy, C., Kaya, A., & Ozlu, E. (2018). Determinants of Mobile Banking Use: An Extended TAM with Perceived Risk, Mobility Access, Compatibility, Perceived Self-efficacy and Subjective Norms. In F. Calisir & H. Camgoz Akdag (Eds.), *Industrial Engineering in the Industry 4.0 Era* (pp. 225–238). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71225-3\\_20](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71225-3_20)
- Batucan, G. B., Gonzales, G. G., Balbuena, M. G., Pasaol, K. R. B., Seno, D. N., & Gonzales, R. R. (2022). An Extended UTAUT Model to Explain Factors Affecting Online Learning System Amidst COVID-19 Pandemic: The Case of a Developing Economy. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence*, 5, 768831. <https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2022.768831>
- Cetak Biru Transformasi Digital Perbankan (Long Version)*. (2022). Otoritas Jasa Keuangan.
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and User Acceptance of Information Technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 13(3), 319. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249008>

- Dowling, G. R., & Staelin, R. (1994). A Model of Perceived Risk and Intended Risk-Handling Activity. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 21(1), 119. <https://doi.org/10.1086/209386>
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Rana, N. P., Jeyaraj, A., Clement, M., & Williams, M. D. (2019). Re-examining the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology (UTAUT): Towards a Revised Theoretical Model. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 21(3), 719–734. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-017-9774-y>
- F. Hair Jr, J., Sarstedt, M., Hopkins, L., & G. Kuppelwieser, V. (2014). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM): An emerging tool in business research. *European Business Review*, 26(2), 106–121. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-10-2013-0128>
- Featherman, M. S., & Pavlou, P. A. (2003). Predicting e-services adoption: A perceived risk facets perspective. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 59(4), 451–474. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1071-5819\(03\)00111-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1071-5819(03)00111-3)
- Ghozali, I., & Aprilia K, K. (2023). *Partial Least Squares Konsep, Teknik Dan Aplikasi Menggunakan Program SmartPLS 4.0 Untuk Penelitian Empiris* (1st ed.). Yoga Pratama.
- Guo, H., Ling, Q., Nan, X., Wei, Y.-C., & Wunsuk, P. (2023). Technology Acceptance Model to Intention of Use the Mobile Banking Services in Chiang Mai Province, Thailand. *International Journal of Sociologies and Anthropologies Science Reviews*, 3(4), 131–140. <https://doi.org/10.60027/ijdsar.2023.3077>
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a Silver Bullet. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 19(2), 139–152. <https://doi.org/10.2753/MTP1069-6679190202>
- Hair, J. F., Risher, J. J., Sarstedt, M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). When to use and how to report the results of PLS-SEM. *European Business Review*, 31(1), 2–24. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-11-2018-0203>
- Haryono, S. (2016). *Metode SEM Untuk Penelitian Manajemen AMOS LISREL PLS*. PT Intermedia Personalia Utama.
- Henseler, J., & Sarstedt, M. (2013). Goodness-of-fit indices for partial least squares path modeling. *Computational Statistics*, 28(2), 565–580. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00180-012-0317-1>
- Julia, L., Linggam, P. S., Hibatullah, R., & Justianto, J. S. (2023). The Impact of Perceived Risk and Technology Acceptance Model on Gen Z's Adoption of

Digital Banking. *WSEAS TRANSACTIONS ON COMPUTER RESEARCH*, 12, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.37394/232018.2024.12.1>

- Kohli, R., Devaraj, S., & Mahmood, M. A. (2004). Understanding Determinants of Online Consumer Satisfaction: A Decision Process Perspective. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 21(1), 115–136. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2004.11045796>
- Kumar, R., Singh, R., Kumar, K., Khan, S., & Corvello, V. (2023). How Does Perceived Risk and Trust Affect Mobile Banking Adoption? Empirical Evidence from India. *Sustainability*, 15(5), 4053. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15054053>
- Lee, A. T., Ramasamy, R. K., & Subbarao, A. (2025). Understanding Psychosocial Barriers to Healthcare Technology Adoption: A Review of TAM Technology Acceptance Model and Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology and UTAUT Frameworks. *Healthcare*, 13(3), 250. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare13030250>
- Lee, K. C., & Chung, N. (2009). Understanding factors affecting trust in and satisfaction with mobile banking in Korea: A modified DeLone and McLean's model perspective. *Interacting with Computers*, 21(5–6), 385–392. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intcom.2009.06.004>
- Ly, B., & Ly, R. (2022). Internet banking adoption under Technology Acceptance Model—Evidence from Cambodian users. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, 7, 100224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbr.2022.100224>
- Marangunić, N., & Granić, A. (2015). Technology acceptance model: A literature review from 1986 to 2013. *Universal Access in the Information Society*, 14(1), 81–95. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10209-014-0348-1>
- Muppudathi, E., & Abdullah, D. S. S. (2024). *The Impact of E-Banking Services on Customer Satisfaction: A Theoretical Approach*. 20.
- Mutahar, A. M., Aldholay, A., Isaac, O., Jalal, A. N., & Kamaruddin, F. E. B. (2022). The Moderating Role of Perceived Risk in the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM): The Context of Mobile Banking in Developing Countries. In M. Al-Emran, M. A. Al-Sharafi, M. N. Al-Kabi, & K. Shaalan (Eds.), *Proceedings of International Conference on Emerging Technologies and Intelligent Systems* (Vol. 299, pp. 389–403). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-82616-1\\_34](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-82616-1_34)
- Nihayah, N., & Purnama, N. (2024). Evaluation Of Digital Banking Application Adoption Based On The Technology Acceptance Model (Tam). *International Journal of Science, Technology & Management*, 5(2), 424–430. <https://doi.org/10.46729/ijstm.v5i2.1083>

- Noureldin, A. A., & Moawad, R. R. (2023). The Impact of Perceived Risks on Using Digital Finance Services in Egypt: An Empirical Study. *Academy Journal of Social Sciences*, 1(1), 70–81. <https://doi.org/10.21608/ajss.2023.295003>
- Prastiawan, D. I., Aisjah, S., & Rofiaty, R. (2021). The Effect of Perceived Usefulness, Perceived Ease of Use, and Social Influence on the Use of Mobile Banking through the Mediation of Attitude Toward Use. *Asia Pacific Management and Business Application*, 009(03), 243–260. <https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.apmba.2021.009.03.4>
- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Hair, J. F. (2017). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling. In C. Homburg, M. Klarmann, & A. Vomberg (Eds.), *Handbook of Market Research* (pp. 1–40). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-05542-8\\_15-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-05542-8_15-1)
- Schermelleh-Engel, K., Moosbrugger, H., & Müller, H. (2003). *Evaluating the Fit of Structural Equation Models: Tests of Significance and Descriptive Goodness-of-Fit Measures*.
- Schorr, A. (2023). The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and its Importance for Digitalization Research: A Review. In N. Gerber & V. Zimmermann (Eds.), *International Symposium on Technikpsychologie (TecPsy) 2023* (pp. 55–65). Sciendo. <https://doi.org/10.2478/9788366675896-005>
- Sugiyono, D. (2023). *METODE PENELITIAN KUANTITATIF, KUALITATIF, DAN R&D*.
- Venkatesh, Morris, Davis, & Davis. (2003). User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425. <https://doi.org/10.2307/30036540>
- Venkatesh, Thong, & Xu. (2012). Consumer Acceptance and Use of Information Technology: Extending the Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 36(1), 157. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41410412>
- Venkatesh, V., & Bala, H. (2008). Technology Acceptance Model 3 and a Research Agenda on Interventions. *Decision Sciences*, 39(2), 273–315. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5915.2008.00192.x>
- Venkatesh, V., & Davis, F. D. (2000). A Theoretical Extension of the Technology Acceptance Model: Four Longitudinal Field Studies. *Management Science*, 46(2), 186–204. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.46.2.186.11926>
- Wetzels, Odekerken-Schröder, & Van Oppen. (2009). Using PLS Path Modeling for Assessing Hierarchical Construct Models: Guidelines and Empirical Illustration. *MIS Quarterly*, 33(1), 177. <https://doi.org/10.2307/20650284>

Yin, L.-X., & Lin, H.-C. (2022). Predictors of customers' continuance intention of mobile banking from the perspective of the interactivity theory. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istraživanja*, 35(1), 6820–6849. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2022.2053782>

