

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdul-Rahman, A. R., & Goddard, A. (1998). An Interpretive Inquiry of Accounting Practices in Religious Organisations. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 14(3), 183–201. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0408.00060>
- Abdul Rahman, A. R. (1998). Issues in Corporate Accountability and Governance: An Islamic Perspective. *American Journal of Islam and Society*, 15(1), 55–69. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.35632/ajis.v15i1.2215>
- Abu Talib, N. Y., Abdul Latiff, R., & Aman, A. (2020). An institutional perspective for research in waqf accounting and reporting: A case study of Terengganu state Islamic Religious Council in Malaysia. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 11(2), 400–427. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-11-2016-0132>
- Ahmad, Z. A., & Rusdianto, R. (2018). The Analysis of Amil Zakat Institution/Lembaga Amil Zakat (LAZ) Accountability toward Public Satisfaction and Trust. *Muqtasid: Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Perbankan Syariah*, 9(2), 109. <https://doi.org/10.18326/muqtasid.v9i2.109-119>
- Ahrens, T., & Chapman, C. S. (2006). Doing qualitative field research in management accounting: Positioning data to contribute to theory. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 31(8), 819–841. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2006.03.007>
- Ahrens, T., & Khalifa, R. (2015). The impact of regulation on management control: Compliance as a strategic response to institutional logics of university accreditation. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management*, 12(2), 106–126. <https://doi.org/10.1108/QRAM-04-2015-0041>
- Al-Ghazi, M. bin Q. (2021). *Fath al-Qarib al-Mujib* (2nd ed.). Maktabah at-Turmusy Litturots.
- Al-Husaini, A. B. bin M. (2019). *Kifayatul Akhyar: Memahamai Fikih Madzhab Syafi'i* (2nd ed.). Al-Qawam.
- Al-Utsaimin, M. S. (2020). *Ensiklopedi Zakat: Kumpulan Fatwa Zakat Syaikh Muhammad Shalih Al-Utsaimin*. Pustaka As-Sunnah.
- Al Faizin, A. W. (2022). *Pengantar Lengkap Zakat Kontemporer*. ANP Books.
- Albrecht, K. (2018). Institutional Logics and Accountability: Advancing an Integrated Framework in Nonprofit–Public Partnerships. *Journal of Public and Nonprofit Affairs*, 4(3), 284–305. <https://doi.org/10.20899/jpna.4.3.284-305>
- Ali, A. J. (2005). *Islamic Perspectives on Management and Organization*. Edward Elgar.
- Amadea, M. Z., Nurasyiah, A., Sastra, R. H., & Aulia, R. (2025). Determinants of Muzakki Decisions in Choosing Zakat Payment Through Formal Institutions. *International Journal of Zakat*, 10(3), 41–57. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.37706/ijaz.v10i3.672>
- Anoraga, B. (2024). A Decade of Charitable Crowdfunding and Its Impacts on the Social Justice Trajectory of Islamic Philanthropy in Indonesia. *Advances in Southeast Asian Studies*, 17(1), 5–24. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.14764/10.ASEAS-0094>

- Askary, S., & Clarke, F. L. (1997). Accounting in the Koranic verses. *The Accounting, Commerce and Finance: The Islamic Perspective International Conference*, 138–152.
- Baehaqi, A., Prabowo, T. J. W., & Chariri, A. (2025). Accountability in Zakat Institutions: A Bibliometric Analysis and Systematic Literature Review. *International Journal of Economics and Management*, 19(1), 43–56. <https://doi.org/10.47836/ijeam.19.1.03>
- Basri, H., & Siti-Nabiha, A. K. (2016). Accounting system and accountability practices in an Islamic setting: A grounded theory perspective. *Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 24(May), 59–78.
- BAZNAS. (2023). *Outlook Zakat Indonesia 2023*. Puskas BAZNAS.
- Benthall, J. (2022). Commentary on Philanthropy in Indonesia. *Journal of Muslim Philanthropy & Civil Society*, 6(2), 91–100.
- Bisman, J. (2010). Postpositivism and Accounting Research: A (Personal) Primer on Critical Realism. *Australasian Accounting Business & Finance Journal*, 4(4), 3–25.
- Bitektine, A., & Song, F. (2023). On the Role of Institutional Logics in Legitimacy Evaluations: The Effects of Pricing and CSR Signals on Organizational Legitimacy. *Journal of Management*, 49(3), 1070–1105. <https://doi.org/10.1177/01492063211070274>
- Borchgrevink, K. (2020). NGOization of Islamic Charity: Claiming Legitimacy in Changing Institutional Contexts. *Voluntas*, 31(5), 1049–1062. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-017-9892-7>
- Bovens, M. (2007). Analysing and Assessing Accountability: A Conceptual Framework. *European Law Journal*, 13(4), 447–468. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199465330.003.0009>
- Bovens, M., Goodin, R. E., & Schillemans, T. (2014). *The Oxford Handbook of Public Accountability*. Oxford University Press.
- Brown, P. A. (2008). A Review of the Literature on Case Study Research. *Canadian Journal for New Scholars in Education*, 1(1), 1–13.
- Bryman, A. (2012). *Social Research Methods* (Fourth). Oxford University Press.
- Bulutoding, L., Alwi, Z., & Dwiayuparmitasari, R. (2020). Akhlaq of Zakat Behavior among Determinant Factors of Intention in Malaysia: A Perspective of Prophet Muhammad Tradition. *Journal of Advanced Research in Dynamical and Control Systems*, 12(6), 902–912. <https://doi.org/10.5373/JARDCS/V12I6/S20201109>
- Cai, Y. (2026). Institutional logics analysis of management and leadership in higher education. In Y. Cai, P. Yang, F. Yan, & J. Kivistö (Eds.), *Organisational and management theories in higher education: Understanding the changing landscape* (pp. 131–165). Edward Elgar Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781035339686.00016>
- Canning, M., & O'Dwyer, B. (2016). Institutional work and regulatory change in the accounting profession. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 54, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2016.08.001>
- Chapra, M. U. (2008). *The Islamic Vision of Development in the Light of Maqasid al-Shariah* (A. S. A. S. Ali & S. Khan (eds.)). The International Institute of

Islamic Thought.

- Chariri, A. (2009). Landasan Filsafat dan Metode Penelitian Kualitatif. *Paper Disajikan Pada Workshop Metodologi Penelitian Kuantitatif Dan Kualitatif, Laboratorium Pengembangan Akuntansi (LPA), Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Diponegoro Semarang, 31 Juli – 1 Agustus 2009*, 1–27.
- Charmaz, K. (2006). *Constructing Grounded Theory: A Practical Guide Through Qualitative Analysis*. SAGE Publications.
- Charmaz, K. (2014). *Constructing Grounded Theory* (2nd ed.).
- Christensen, R. A., & Ebrahim, A. (2006). How Does Accountability Affect Mission? The Case of a Nonprofit Serving Immigrants and Refugees. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 17(2), 195–209. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nml>
- Chua, W. F. (1986). Radical Development in Accounting Thaought. In *The Accounting Review* (Vol. 61, Issue 4, pp. 601–632). <http://www.jstor.org/stable/247360>
- Conaty, F., & Robbins, G. (2023). Accountability logics in disability service NPOs – Incorporating the role of service user advocacy in accountability and management control systems. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 36(4), 1110–1136. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-08-2021-5395>
- Cooper, S. M., & Owen, D. L. (2007). Corporate social reporting and stakeholder accountability: The missing link. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 32(7–8), 649–667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2007.02.001>
- Cordery, C., Belal, A. R., & Thomson, I. (2019). NGO accounting and accountability: past, present and future. *Accounting Forum*, 43(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01559982.2019.1593577>
- Cordery, C. J., Crawford, L., Breen, O. B., & Morgan, G. G. (2019). International practices, beliefs and values in not-for-profit financial reporting. *Accounting Forum*, 43(1), 16–41. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01559982.2019.1589906>
- Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches* (Third). SAGE.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Procedures* (4th ed.). SAGE.
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2018). *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Research* (Fifth). SAGE. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11229-017-1319-x>
- Diab, A. (2021). The Accountability Process During The Time Of Covid-19 Pandemic And The Emerging Role Of Non-Profit Associations. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, 20(1), 1–9.
- Diab, A. (2025). The professional logics of accounting education in MENA countries: evidence from Egypt and KSA. *Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies*, 15(2), 360–384. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAEE-12-2023-0384>
- Dillard, J., & Vinnari, E. (2019). Critical dialogical accountability: From accounting-based accountability to accountability-based accounting. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 62, 16–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2018.10.003>
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The Iron Cage Revisited : Institutional

- Isomorphism and Collective Rationality in Organizational Fields Author (s): Paul J . DiMaggio and Walter W . Powell Published by : American Sociological Association Stable URL : <https://www.jstor.org/stable/209510>. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147–160.
- Ebrahim, A. (2003a). Accountability in practice: Mechanisms for NGOs. *World Development*, 31(5), 813–829. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X\(03\)00014-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(03)00014-7)
- Ebrahim, A. (2003b). Making sense of accountability: Conceptual perspectives for northern and southern nonprofits. *Nonprofit Management and Leadership*, 14(2), 191–212. <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/nml.29>
- Ebrahim, A. (2005). Accountability myopia: Losing sight of organizational learning. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 34(1), 56–87. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0899764004269430>
- Ebrahim, A. (2016). The Many Faces of Nonprofit Accountability. In D. O. Renz & R. D. Herman (Eds.), *The Jossey-Bass Handbook of Nonprofit Leadership and Management* (pp. 102–123). John Wiley & Sons, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119176558.ch4>Digital Object Identifier (DOI)
- Fadilah, S. (2016). *Tata Kelola dan Akuntansi Zakat*. Manggu Makmur Tanjung Lestari.
- Fauzia, A. (2016). *Filantropi Islam: Sejarah dan Kontestasi Masyarakat Sipil dan Negara Indonesia*. Gading Publishing.
- Fisher, E. (2004). The European Union in the Age of Accountability. *Oxford Journals of Legal Studies*, 24(3), 495–515.
- Fitri, Y., Rini, R., & Amilin, A. (2024). Analisis Pelaporan Berbasis Website untuk Peningkatan Transparansi dan Akuntabilitas Organisasi Pengelola Zakat. *Jurnal Bimas Islam*, 17(1), 215–243.
- Fox, J. A., & Brown, L. D. (1998). Introduction. In J. A. Fox & L. D. Brown (Eds.), *The struggle for accountability: the World Bank, NGO, and grassroots movements*. (p. 570). Massachusetts Institute of Technology. <http://books.google.com/books?id=4tjmDICqUOYC&pgis=1>
- Freeman, R. E., & Reed, D. L. (1983). Stockholders and Stakeholders: A New Perspective on Corporate Governance. *California Management Review*, 25(3), 88–106. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41165018>
- Friedland, R., & Alford, R. R. (1991). Bringing Society Back in: Symbols, Practices, and Institutional Contradictions. In W. W. Powell & P. J. DiMaggio (Eds.), *The New Institutionalism in Organizational Analysis* (pp. 232–263). University of Chicago Press.
- Frink, D. D., & Klimoski, R. J. (2004). Advancing accountability theory and practice: Introduction to the human resource management review special edition. *Human Resource Management Review*, 14(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2004.02.001>
- Ghafran, C., & Yasmin, S. (2025). Reconceptualizing accountability in NGO-corporate partnerships: an institutional logics perspective. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 38(1), 349–380. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-02-2023-6276>
- Goncharenko, G. (2021). The multiplicity of logics, trust, and interdependence in

- donor-imposed reporting practices in the nonprofit sector. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 37(2), 124–141. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12241>
- Greenwood, R., Raynard, M., Kodeih, F., Micelotta, E. R., & Lounsbury, M. (2011). Institutional complexity and organizational responses. *Academy of Management Annals*, 5(1), 317–371. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19416520.2011.590299>
- Gudono. (2012). *Teori Organisasi* (2nd ed.). BPFE Yogyakarta.
- Hafidhuddin, D. (2004). *Zakat dalam Perekonomian Modern* (I. Kelana (ed.)). Gema Insani Press.
- Hafidhuddin, D., & Tanjung, H. (2019). *Pengantar Manajemen Syariah* (Rajawali Pers (ed.)).
- Hakim, R., Sawarjuwono, T., & Djalaluddin, A. (2019). Proposing the value of amanah as the foundation of zakah organizational culture. *Opcion*, 35(Special Issue 21), 20–36.
- Hammer, M., & Lloyd, R. (2011). *Pathways to accountability II: The 2011 revised global accountability framework. Report on stakeholder consultation and the new indicator framework*. One World Trust. http://oneworldtrust.org/publications/cat_view/65-global-accountability-project/99-pathways-to-accountability-the-framework
- Haniffa, R. (2002). Social Reporting Disclosure: an Islamic Perspective. *Indonesian Management & Accounting Research*, 1(2), 128–146.
- Haniffa, R., & Hudaib, M. (2007). Exploring the Ethical Identity of Islamic Banks via Communication in Annual Reports. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 76(1), 97–116. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-006-9272-5>
- Haniffa, R., & Hudaib, M. (2010). Editorial: The two Ws of Islamic accounting research. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 1(1), 5–9. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17590811011033370>
- Hengevoss, A. (2023). Nonprofit Management Researchers' Reconceptualization of Comprehensive INGO Accountability: Toward an Institutional Logics Approach. *Journal of Public and Nonprofit Affairs*, 9(3), 364–385. <https://doi.org/10.20899/jpna.9.3.1-22>
- Holipah, S., Baehaqi, A., & Handoko, L. H. (2024). Sejauh Manakah Penelitian Akuntabilitas Pengelolaan Zakat? Sebuah Analisis Bibliometrik. *AKTSAR: Jurnal Akuntansi Syariah*, 7(1), 39–58. <https://doi.org/10.21043/aktsar.v7i1.25836>
- Hopwood, A. G. (2013). On trying to study accounting in the contexts in which it operates. *Accounting From the Outside (RLE Accounting): The Collected Papers of Anthony G. Hopwood*, 8(213), 159–177.
- Hoque, N., Khan, M. A., & Mohammad, K. D. (2015). Poverty alleviation by Zakah in a transitional economy: a small business entrepreneurial framework. *Journal of Global Entrepreneurship Research*, 5(7), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40497-015-0025-8>
- Hotimah, H., & Suprayogi, N. (2023). Meta-Analysis Study: The Effects of Accountability and Transparency on Muzaki 's Trust in Zakat Institutions in Indonesia. *Review of Islamic Economics and Finance*, 6(2), 139–154.

- <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.17509/rief.v6i2.58653>
- Ikhwandha, M. F., & Hidayati, A. (2019). The influence of accountability, transparency, affective and cognitive trust toward the interest in paying zakat. *Jurnal Akuntansi & Auditing Indonesia*, 23(1), 39–51. <https://doi.org/10.20885/jaai.vol23.iss1.art5>
- Indrarini, R., & Nanda, A. S. (2017). Transparansi dan Akuntabilitas Laporan Keuangan Lembaga Amil Zakat: Perspektif Muzaki UPZ BNI Syariah. *AKRUAL: Jurnal Akuntansi*, 8(2), 166. <https://doi.org/10.26740/jaj.v8n2.p65-77>
- IRTI-IsDB. (2014). Islamic Social Finance Report - 2014. In *IRTI-IsDB*.
- Jancsary, D., Meyer, R. E., Höllerer, M. A., & Barberio, V. (2017). Toward a Structural Model of Organizational-level Institutional Pluralism and Logic Interconnectedness. *Organization Science*, 28(6), 1150–1167. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.2017.1160>
- Jatmiko, W., Azizon, A., & Sukmana, R. (2025). On the Design of Islamic Blended Microfinancing for Refugee Entrepreneurship: An Institutional Logic Perspective. *Global Policy*, 16(S1), 25–37. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1758-5899.13475>
- Jayanto, P. Y., & Munawaroh, S. (2019). The Influences of Reputation, Financial Statement Transparency, Accountability, Religiosity, and Trust on Interest in Paying Zakat of Profession. *Jurnal Dinamika Akuntansi*, 11(1), 59–69.
- Jayasinghe, K., Adhikari, P., Soobaroyen, T., Wynne, A., Malagila, J., & Abdurafiu, N. (2021). Critical Perspectives on Accounting Government accounting reforms in Sub-Saharan African countries and the selective ignorance of the epistemic community : A competing logics perspective. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 78, 102246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2020.102246>
- Kamayanti, A. (2021). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif Akuntansi: Pengantar Religiositas Keilmuan (Edisi Revisi)* (A. D. Mulawarman (ed.)). Penerbit Peneleh.
- Kamla, R., Gallhofer, S., & Haslam, J. (2006). Islam, nature and accounting: Islamic principles and the notion of accounting for the environment. *Accounting Forum*, 30(3), 245–265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2006.05.003>
- Kemenag. (2024a). *Bingung Mau Berzakat? Ini 170 Lembaga Amil Zakat Kantongi Izin dari Kemenag*. <https://kemenag.go.id/nasional/bingung-mau-berzakat-ini-170-lembaga-amil-zakat-kantongi-izin-dari-kemenag-p1AAY>
- Kemenag. (2024b). Overview Pemberdayaan Zakat dan Wakaf. In *Outlook Filantropi Islam 2024: Kepercayaan Masyarakat, Meningkat atau Menurun?*
- Khaerunisah, K., & Baehaqi, A. (2023). Penelitian Akuntabilitas Zakat di Indonesia: Tinjauan Literatur Tahun 2017-2021. *Jurnal Riset Keuangan Dan Akuntansi (JRKA)*, 9(2), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.25134/jrka.v9i2.8746>
- Khairi, K. F., Laili, N. H., Sabri, H., Ahmad, A., Pham, V. H., & Tran, M. D. (2023). the Development and Application of the Zakat Collection Blockchain System. *Journal of Governance and Regulation*, 12(1 special issue), 294–306. <https://doi.org/10.22495/jgrv12i1siart9>

- Knutsen, W. L., & Brower, R. S. (2010). Managing expressive and instrumental accountabilities in nonprofit and voluntary organizations: A qualitative investigation. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 39(4), 588–610. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0899764009359943>
- Koppell, J. G. (2005). Pathologies of Accountability: ICANN and the Challenge of “ Multiple Accountabilities Disorder .” *Public Administration Review*, 65(1), 94–108.
- Latief, N. F., & Sandimula, N. S. (2022). How Accountable is Zakat Management in Indonesia? An Evidence from BAZNAS of North Sulawesi. *Share: Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Keuangan Islam*, 11(1), 42. <https://doi.org/10.22373/share.v11i1.11194>
- Laughlin, R. C. (1990). A Model of Financial Accountability and The Church of England. *Financial Accountability & Management*, 6(2), 93–114. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0408.1990.tb00427.x>
- Lawrence, T. B., & Suddaby, R. (2006). Institutions and Institutional Work. In S. R. Clegg, C. Hardy, T. B. Lawrence, & W. R. Nord (Eds.), *Sage Handbook of Organization Studies* (2nd ed., pp. 215–254). SAGE Publications.
- Lewis, M. K. (2001). Islam and accounting. *Accounting Forum*, 25 No.25, 25.
- Lewis, M. K. (2006). Accountability and Islam. *Fourth International Conference on Accounting and Finance in Transition*, 1–16.
- Madsen, C. U., & Hasle, P. (2017). Commitment or Compliance? Institutional Logics of Work Environment Management. *Nordic Journal of Working Life Studies*, 7(S2), 17–38. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.18291/njwls.v7iS2.96688>
- Mahmudi, M., & Prastmawati, R. L. (2020). The Effect of Sharia Governance and Customer Relationship Marketing on Muzakki Loyalty. *AKRUAL: Jurnal Akuntansi*, 12(1), 1. <https://doi.org/10.26740/jaj.v12n1.p1-17>
- Maine, J., Uman, T., & Florin-Samuelsson, E. (2024). Actors constructing accountability in hybrid organisations: The case of a Swedish municipal corporation. *British Accounting Review*, 56(5), 101207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2023.101207>
- Maitlis, S. (2005). The Social Process of Organizational Sensemaking. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48(1), 21–49. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMJ.2005.15993111>
- Merriam, S. B. (2009). *Qualitative Research: A Guide to Design and Implementation* (Revised an). John Wiley & Sons.
- Messner, M. (2009). Accounting, Organizations and Society The limits of accountability. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 34(8), 918–938. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2009.07.003>
- Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. (1977). *Institutionalized Organizations : Formal Structure as Myth and Ceremony*. 83(2), 340–363.
- Miles, M. B., & Huberman, A. M. (1994). *Qualitative Data Analysis* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Milosevic, I., Bass, A. E., & Schulte, B. (2023). The Interplay of Conflicting and Complementing Institutional Logics in Sustainability Practices. *Management International Review*, 63, 469–506. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11575-023->

00503-7

- Mohaiyadin, M. N. H., Aman, A., Palil, M. R., & Said, S. M. (2022). Addressing Accountability and Transparency Challenges in Waqf Management Using Blockchain Technology. *Journal of Islamic Monetary Economics and Finance*, 8(Special Issue), 53–80. <https://doi.org/10.21098/jimf.v8i0.1413>
- Mohaiyadin, N. M. H. J., & Aman, A. (2021). Understanding the Issues of Waqf at Public University: Preliminary Findings. *International Journal of Islamic Thought*, 20, 95–108. <https://doi.org/10.24035/ijit.20.2021.214>
- Moleong, L. J. (2019). *Metode Penelitian Kualitatif* (39th ed.). PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Mountford, N., & Cai, Y. (2026). Institutional logic relationships and sustainable change in healthcare: a systematic review of the literature. *Public Management Review*, 00(00), 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2025.2609173>
- Muhammad, S. A., & Saad, R. A. J. (2016). the Impact of Public Governance Quality, Accountability and Effectiveness on Intention To Pay Zakat: Moderating Effect of Trust on Zakat Institution. *International Journal of Management Research & Review*, 6(1), 1–8.
- Mulgan, R. (2000). “Accountability”: An Ever-expanding Concept. *Public Administration*, 78(3), 555–573.
- Mutmainah, L. (2015). The Influence of Accountability, Transparency, and Responsibility of Zakat Institution on Intention to Pay Zakat. *Global Review of Islamic Economics and Business*, 3(2), 108–119.
- Nahar, H. S. (2018). Exploring stakeholders’ views on a corporatized zakat institution’s management performance. *International Journal of Ethics and Systems*, 34(4), 608–631. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOES-08-2018-0115>
- Nahar, H. S., & Yaacob, H. (2011). Accountability in the sacred context: The case of management, accounting and reporting of a Malaysian cash awqaf institution. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 2(2), 87–113. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17590811111170520>
- Nasution, E. J. A. H. (2021). *Akuntabilitas Zakat Muhammadiyah: Antara Keikhlasan dan Profesionalisme* (1st ed.). Media Baca Anasti.
- Neuman, W. L. (2014). *Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches* (Seventh). Pearson Education Limited. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3211488>
- Noviana, A., Arifudin, O., Indah, D. Y., Tanjung, R., & Sofyan, Y. (2020). Effect of accountability, transparency of management amil zakat institutions and poverty alleviation of Muzakki trust. *Journal of Advanced Research in Dynamical and Control Systems*, 12(6), 199–208. <https://doi.org/10.5373/JARDCS/V12I6/S20201022>
- O’Dwyer, B., & Unerman, J. (2007). From functional to social accountability: Transforming the accountability relationship between funders and non-governmental development organisations. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 20(3), 446–471. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570710748580>
- O’Dwyer, B., & Unerman, J. (2008). The paradox of greater NGO accountability:

- A case study of Amnesty Ireland. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 33(7–8), 801–824. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2008.02.002>
- O'Dwyer, B., & Unerman, J. (2010). Enhancing the role of accountability in promoting the rights of beneficiaries of development NGOs. *Accounting and Business Research*, 40(5), 251–271. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00014788.2010.9995323>
- Patton, J. M. (1992). Accountability and Governmental Financial Reporting. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 8(3), 165–180. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0408.1992.tb00436.x>
- Puskas BAZNAS. (2025). *Konsep dan Indikator 3 Aman Pengelolaan Zakat*. Puskas BAZNAS.
- Putri, R. A., Bahri, E. S., & Romansyah, D. (2022). Interests of Muzaki to Pay Zakat: The Role of Accountability, Transparency, Service Quality, and Financial Technology. *Iqtishadia*, 15(1), 63–88. <https://doi.org/10.21043/iqtishadia.v15i1.10713>
- Qadariyah, L. (2022). the Accountability of Zakat Al-Fitr Management By Religious Figures As Âmil Al-Zakât. *ULUL ALBAB Jurnal Studi Islam*, 23(1), 119–136. <https://doi.org/10.18860/ua.v23i1.15507>
- Qardawi, Y. (2002). *Hukum Zakat* (6th ed.). Litera AntarNusa.
- Ramli, N. M., & Kamaruddin, M. I. H. (2017). Disclosure on Web-Based Accountability: Evidence from Zakat Institutions in Malaysia. *Contemporary Issues and Development in Islamic Social Finance*, February, 285–298.
- Rana, T., & Hoque, Z. (2020). Institutionalising multiple accountability logics in public services: Insights from Australia. *British Accounting Review*, 52(4), 100919. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2020.100919>
- Rini, R. (2016). Penerapan Internet Financial Reporting untuk Meningkatkan Akuntabilitas Organisasi Pengelola Zakat. *Jurnal Akuntansi Multiparadigma*, 95, 288–306. <https://doi.org/10.18202/jamal.2016.08.7022>
- Rini, R., Purwanti, A., & Farah, W. (2021). Accountability index for zakat management institutions in Indonesia. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences*, 24(3), 1–10.
- Riyanti, Y. R., & Irtianto, G. (2011). Akuntabilitas pada Lembaga Amil Zakat, Infaq dan Shadaqah (Studi Kasus pada Yayasan Dana Sosial Al-falah (YDSF) Malang). *Jurnal Ekonomi & Keuangan Islam*, 1(2), 169–180.
- Roberts, J. (1991). The possibilities of accountability. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 16(4), 355–368. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(91\)90027-C](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(91)90027-C)
- Roberts, J., & Scapens, R. (1985). Accounting systems and systems of accountability - understanding accounting practices in their organisational contexts. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 10(4), 443–456. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(85\)90005-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(85)90005-4)
- Roziq, A., Sulistiyo, A. B., Shulthoni, M., & Anugerah, E. G. (2021). An Escalation Model of Muzakki's Trust and Loyalty towards Payment of Zakat at BAZNAS Indonesia. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 8(3), 551–559. <https://doi.org/10.13106/jafeb.2021.vol8.no3.0551>
- Ryan, C., & Irvine, H. (2012). Accountability Beyond the Headlines: Why Not-

- for-profit Organisations Need to Communicate Their Own Expenditure Stories. *Australian Accounting Review*, 22(4), 353–370. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1835-2561.2012.00192.x>
- Ryan, G. (2018). Introduction to positivism, interpretivism and critical theory. *Nurse Researcher*, 25(4), 14–20. <https://doi.org/10.7748/nr.2018.e1466>
- Saad, R. A. J., Aziz, N. M. A., & Sawandi, N. (2014). Islamic accountability framework in the zakat funds management. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 164(August), 508–515. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.11.139>
- Sabiq, S. (2016). *Fiqih Sunnah*. Insan Kamil.
- Salle, I. Z. (2015). Akuntabilitas Manuntungi: Memaknai Nilai Kalambusang pada Lembaga Amil Zakat Kawasan Adat Ammatoa. *Jurnal Akuntansi Multiparadigma*, 4. <https://doi.org/10.18202/jamal.2015.04.6004>
- Sapingi, R. (2020). Disclosure Practices and Efforts Toward Proposing I-Zkdi: a Case of Malaysian Religious Non-Profit Organisation. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(4), 4712–4728. <https://doi.org/10.37200/ijpr/v24i4/pr201571>
- Sapingi, R., Nelson, S. P., & Obid, S. N. S. (2020). Disclosure Practices and Efforts Toward Proposing I-Zkdi: a Case of Malaysian Religious Non-Profit Organisation. *International Journal of Psychosocial Rehabilitation*, 24(4), 4712–4728. <https://doi.org/10.37200/ijpr/v24i4/pr201571>
- Sapingi, R., Nelson, S. P., & Obid, S. N. S. (2023). Assessing The Extent of Disclosure and Information Asymmetry in Malaysian Zakat Institutions. *International Journal of Economics and Management*, 17(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.47836/ijeam.17.1.01>
- Sawandi, N., & Aziz, N. M. A. (2020). Accountability Forms and Mediums of a Zakat Institution in Malaysia. *The 5 Th International Case Study Conference*, 154–165.
- Sawandi, N., Aziz, N. M. A., & Saad, R. A. J. (2017). Zakat accountability practices: Case study of zakat institution AA. *International Journal of Economic Research*, 14(16), 223–232.
- Sawandi, N., Aziz, N. M. A., & Saad, R. A. J. (2019). Discharging accountability: A case study of a zakat institution in Malaysia. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 8(1), 676–682.
- Schildt, H. (2022). The institutional logic of digitalization. In *Digital transformation and institutional theory* (pp. 235–251). Emerald Publishing Limited. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S0733-558X20220000083010>
- Scott, W. R. (2014). *Institutions and Organizations: Ideas, Interests, and Identities* (Fourth). SAGE Publications.
- Selznick, P. (1949). *TVA and the Grass Roots: A Study in the Sociology of Formal Organization*. University of California Press.
- Sibbald, S. L., Paciocco, S., Fournie, M., Van Asseldonk, R., & Scurr, T. (2021). Continuing to enhance the quality of case study methodology in health services research. *Healthcare Management Forum*, 34(5), 291–296. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08404704211028857>
- Sinclair, A. (1995). *The Chameleon of Accountability: Forms and Discourses*.

- Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 20(2/3), 219–237.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(93\)E0003-Y](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(93)E0003-Y)
- Siti-Nabiha, A. K., & Siti-Nazariah, A. Z. (2022). Performance measurement in Islamic microfinance institutions: does it change social norms and values? *Qualitative Research in Financial Markets*, 14(2), 306–323.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/QRFM-09-2020-0186>
- Stake, R. E. (1995). *The Art of Case study Research*. SAGE Publications.
- Stake, R. E. (2005). *Multiple Case Study Analysis* (1st ed.). Guilford Publications.
- Stake, R. E. (2008). Case Studies. In N. K. Denzin & Y. S. Lincoln (Eds.), *Strategies of Qualitative Inquiry* (3rd ed., pp. 134–164). SAGE Publications.
<https://doi.org/10.12691/ajphr-6-2-2>
- Stewart, E., & Dodworth, K. (2023). “The Biggest Charity You’ve Never Heard of”: Institutional Logics of Charity and the State in Public Fundraising in Scotland’s NHS. In *Journal of Social Policy* (Vol. 52, Issue 2, pp. 215–236).
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0047279421000520>
- Stewart, J. D. (1984). The role of information in public accountability. In *Issues in Public Sector Accounting*. Philip Allen.
- Suchman, M. C. (1995). Managing Legitimacy: Strategic and Institutional Approaches. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(3), 571–610.
<http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.108.2768&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Sudiana, N. (2019). *Amil Zakat Easy Going: Pemikiran dan Inisiatif Zakat di Era 4.0* (1st ed.). IZI Press.
- Sudjali, I. P. (2017). *Accounting for Zakat: The Accountability of Indonesian Zakat Agencies*. Queensland University of Technology.
- Thornton, P. H., & Ocasio, W. (2008). Institutional Logics. In R. Greenwood, C. Oliver, R. Suddaby, & K. Sahlin (Eds.), *The SAGE Handbook of Organizational Institutionalism* (pp. 99–129). SAGE Publications.
- Thornton, P. H., Ocasio, W., & Lounsbury, M. (2012). *The Institutional Logics Perspective: A New Approach to Culture, Structure and Process*. Oxford University Press.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199601936.003.0001>
- Unerman, J., & O’Dwyer, B. (2006). Theorising accountability for NGO advocacy. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 19(3), 349–376.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570610670334>
- Utomo, D. C. (2019). Responses of accountability tranformation practiced in multiple level of institutional logics for being autonomous state universities in Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Auditing*, 16(1), 1–29.
<https://doi.org/10.14710/jaa.16.1.1-29>
- Vosselman, E. (2016). Accounting, Accountability, and Ethics in Public Sector Organizations: Toward a Duality Between Instrumental Accountability and Relational Response-Ability. *Administration and Society*, 48(5), 602–627.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399713514844>
- Wahyudi, M., Herianingrum, S., & Ratnasari, R. T. (2021). Accountability Practices Based on Zakat Institutions Website in Indonesia. *Jurnal Riset Akuntansi Dan Keuangan*, 9(3), 459–466.

- <https://doi.org/10.17509/jrak.v9i3.31225>
- Wahyuni-TD, I. S., Haron, H., & Fernando, Y. (2021). The effects of good governance and fraud prevention on performance of the zakat institutions in Indonesia: a Shari'ah forensic accounting perspective. *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 14(4), 692–712. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMEFM-03-2019-0089>
- Weisband, E., & Ebrahim, A. (2007). Introduction: Forging Global Accountabilities. In *Global Accountabilities, Participation, Pluralism and Public Ethics* (pp. 1–24). Cambridge University Press.
- Wibisono, Y. (2016). *Mengelola Zakat Indonesia: Diskursus Pengelolaan Zakat Nasional dari Rezim Undang-Undang Nomor 38 Tahun 1999 ke Rezim Undang-Undang Nomor 23 Tahun 2011* (2nd ed.). Kencana Prenada Media Group.
- Yakel, E. (2001). The social construction of accountability: Radiologists and their record-keeping practices. *The Information Society: An International Journal*, 17(4), 233–245. <https://doi.org/10.1080/019722401753330832>
- Yasmin, S. (2014). *An Exploration of the Accountability Practices of Muslim Charity Organisations in the UK: A Legitimacy Perspective* [University of Hull]. <https://eje.bioscientifica.com/view/journals/eje/171/6/727.xml>
- Yasmin, S., & Ghafran, C. (2019). The problematics of accountability: Internal responses to external pressures in exposed organisations. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 64, 102070. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpa.2019.01.002>
- Yasmin, S., & Ghafran, C. (2021). Accountability and legitimacy of non-profit organisations: Challenging the current status quo and identifying avenues for future research. *Financial Accountability and Management*, 37(4), 399–418. <https://doi.org/10.1111/faam.12280>
- Yasmin, S., Ghafran, C., & Haniffa, R. (2018). Exploring de-facto accountability regimes in Muslim NGOs. *Accounting Forum*, 42(3), 235–247. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accfor.2018.07.002>
- Yasmin, S., & Haniffa, R. (2017). Accountability and narrative disclosure by Muslim charity organisations in the UK. *Journal of Islamic Accounting and Business Research*, 8(1), 70–86. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JIABR-06-2015-0024>
- Yasmin, S., Haniffa, R., & Hudaib, M. (2014). Communicated Accountability by Faith-Based Charity Organisations. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 122(1), 103–123. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-013-1759-2>
- Yazan, B. (2015). Three Approaches to Case Study Methods in Education: Yin, Merriam, and Stake. *The Qualitative Report*, 20(2), 134–152.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). Case Study Research and Applications: Design and Methods. In *Japan Marketing Journal* (6th ed., Vol. 43, Issue 2). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.7222/marketing.2023.045>
- Zhu, Z., Zhao, R., & Tao, C. (2021). Chinese NPOs in Service Contracting at the Community Level: Challenges and Strategies. *Voluntas*, 32(4), 780–794.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-021-00332-y>

