

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- A.Colyvas, & W.Powell, W. (2006). Roads to institutionalization: The remaking of boundaries between public and private science. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 27, 305 - 353.
- Aldrich, H. E., & Fiol, C. M. (1994). Fools rush in? The Institutional context of industry creation. *The Academy of Management Review*, 19(4).
- Anggriawan, F. T., & Yudianto, I. (2018). Factors Affecting Information Quality of Local Government Financial Statement. *Journal of Accounting Auditing and Business*, 1(1), 30 - 42.
- Aral, S., & Weill, P. (2007). IT Assets, Organizational Capabilities, and Firm Performance: How Resource Allocations and Organizational Differences Explain Performance Variation. *Organization Science*, 18(5), 763 - 780.
- Ashforth, B. E., & Anand, V. (2003). The Normalization of corruption in organization. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 25, 1 - 52.
- Ashforth, B. E., & Gibbs, B. W. (1990). The Double-edge of organizational legitimation. *Organization Science*, 1(2), 177 - 194.
- Ashworth, R., Boyne, G., & Delbridge, R. (2009). Escape from the Iron Cage? Organizational Change and Isomorphic Pressures in the Public Sector. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 19(1), 165 - 187.
- Avgerou, C. (2000). IT and organizational change: an institutionalist perspective. *Information Technology & People*, 13(4), 234-262.
- Avgerou, C. (2002). The institutional nature of ICT and organizational change. In C. Avgerou (Ed.), *Information Systems and Global Diversity* (pp. 23 - 49). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Backhouse, J., Hsu, C. W., & Silva, L. (2006). Circuits of Power in Creating De Jure Standards: Shaping an international information systems security standard. *MIS Quarterly*, 30, 413-438.
- Baptista, J. (2009). Institutionalisation as a Process of Interplay between Technology and Its Organisational Context of Use. *Journal of Information Technology*, 24(4), 305 - 319.
- Baptista, J., Newell, S., & Currie, W. (2010). Paradoxical effects of institutionalisation on the strategic awareness of technology in organisations. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 19(2010), 171-183.

- Barley, S. R. (2008). Coalface Institutionalism. In R. Greenwood, C. Oliver, K. Sahlin & R. Suddaby (Eds.), *The SAGE handbook of organizational institutionalism* (pp. 491 - 518). London: SAGE Publication Ltd.
- Barley, S. R., & Tolbert, P. S. (1997). Institutionalization and Structuration: Studying the Links Between Action and Institution. *Organization Studies*, 18(1), 93-117.
- Behn, R. D. (2003). Why Measure Performance? Different Purposes Require Different Measures. *Public Administration Review*, 63(5), 586-606.
- Berger, P., & Luckman, T. (1967). *Social Construction of Reality*. New York: Anchor Books.
- Boudreau, M.-C., & Robey, D. (2005). Enacting Integrated Information Technology: A Human Agency Perspective. *Organization Science*, 16(1), 3 - 18.
- Breul, J. D. (2007). GPRA: A Foundation for Performance Budgeting. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 30(3), 312 - 331.
- Brown, A. D. (1998). Narrative, Politics and Legitimacy in an IT Implimentation. *Journal of Management Studies*, 35(1), 35 - 58.
- Brynjolfsson, E., & Hitt, L. M. (2000). Beyond Computation: Information Technology, Organizational Transformation and Business Performance. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 14(4), 23 - 48.
- Bush, P. D. (1987). The Theory of institutional change. *Journal of Economic Issues*, 21(3), 1075 - 1116.
- Carter, N., Klein, R., & Day, P. (1992). *How Organisations Measure Success: The Use of Performance Indicators in Government*. London: Routledge.
- Chae, H.-C., Koh, C. E., & Prybutok, V. R. (2014). Information Technology Capability and Firm Performance: Contradictory Findings and Their Possible Causes. *MIS Quarterly*, 38(1), 305 - 326.
- Cohen, M. D., & Bacdayan, P. (1994). Organizational Routines Are Stored as Procedural Memory: Evidence from a Laboratory Study. *Organization Science*, 5(4), 479-625.
- Cooper, D. R., & Schindler, P. S. (2013). *Business Research Methods* (12th ed.). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Cousins, K. C., & Robey, D. (2005). The social shaping of electronic metals exchanges: an institutional theory perspective. *Information Technology & People*, 18(3), 212 - 229.

- Covaleski, M. A., & Dirsmith, M. W. (1988). An Institutional Perspective on the Rise, Social Transformation, and Fall of a University Budget Category. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 33(4), 562-587.
- Crossan, M. M., Lane, H. W., & White, R. E. (1999). An Organizational Learning Framework: From Intuition to Institution. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(3), 522 - 537.
- Currie, W. L. (2004). The Organizing Vision of Application Service Provision: a process-oriented analysis. *Information and Organization*, 14(4), 237-267.
- Curristine, T. (2005). Government Performance: Lesson and Challenges. *OECD Journal on Budgeting*, 5(1), 127-151.
- Dacin, M. T., Goodstein, J., & Scott, W. R. (2002). Institutional Theory and Institutional Change: Introduction to the Special Research Forum. *Academy of Management Journal*, 45(1), 45-57.
- Dambrin, C., Lambert, C., & Sponem, S. (2007). Control and Change: Analysing the Process of Institutionalisation. *Management Accounting Research*, 18(2), 172-208.
- Dehning, B., Richardson, V. J., & Zmud, R. W. (2003). The Value Relevance of Announcements of Transformational Information Technology Investments. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(4), 637 - 656.
- Devaraj, S., & Kohli, R. (2000). Information Technology Payoff in the Health-Care Industry: A Longitudinal Study. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 16(4), 41 - 67.
- Devaraj, S., & Kohli, R. (2003). Performance Impacts of Information Technology: Is Actual Usage the Missing Link? *Management Science*, 49(3), 273 - 289.
- DeVaujany, F.-X., Carton, S., Mitev, N., & Romeyer, C. (2014). Applying and theorizing institutional frameworks in IS research: A systematic analysis from 1999 to 2009. *Information Technology & People*, 27(3), 280-317.
- Dillard, J. F., Rigsby, J. T., & Goodman, C. (2004). The making and remaking of organization context: Duality and the institutionalization process. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 17(4), 506 - 542.
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48, 147-160.
- Dorado, S. (2005). Institutional entrepreneurship, partaking, and convening. *Organization Studies*, 26(3), 385 - 414.
- Dorotinsky, W., & Watkins, J. (2013). Government Financial Management Information Systems. In R. Allen, R. Hemming & B. H. Potter (Eds.), *The*

- International Handbook of Public Financial Management* (pp. 797 - 816). London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Dowling, J., & Pfeffer, J. (1975). Organizational Legitimacy: Social Values and Organization. *The Pacific Sociological Review*, 18(1), 122-136.
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Wastell, D., Laumer, S., Henriksen, H. Z., Myers, M. D., Bunker, D., . . . Srivastava, S. C. (2015). Research on information systems failures and successes: Status update and future directions. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 17(1), 143 - 157.
- Earl, M. J. (1992). Putting IT in its place: a polemic for the nineties. *Journal of Information Technology*, 7(2), 100 - 108.
- Edquist, C., & Johnson, B. (1997). Institutions and organizations in systems of innovation. In C. Edquist (Ed.), *Systems of Innovation: Technologies, Institutions and Organizations* (pp. 41 - 63). New York: Routledge.
- El-Haddadeh, R., Weerakkody, V., & Al-Shafi, S. (2013). The Complexities of Electronic Services Implementation and Institutionalisation in The Public Sector. *Information & Management*, 50(4), 135-143.
- Etzioni, A. (1968). Mobilization as a Macrosociological Conception. *The British Journal of Sociology*, 19(3), 243 - 253.
- Feldman, M. S., & Orlikowski, W. J. (2011). Theorizing practice and practicing theory. *Organization Science*, 22(5), 240 - 253.
- Figlio, D. N., & Kenny, L. W. (2009). Public sector performance measurement and stakeholder support. *Journal of Public Economics*, 93, 1069–1077.
- Fligstein, N. (1997). Social Skill and Institutional Theory. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 40(4), 397 - 405.
- Fligstein, N. (2001). *The architecture of markets: an economic sociology of twenty-first-century capitalist societies*. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Flynn, D., & Puarungroj, W. (2006). *The legitimation-seeking process in information systems development*. Paper presented at the European Conference on Information Systems (ECIS), Sweden.
- Frumkin, P., & Galaskiewicz, J. (2004). Institutional Isomorphism and Public Sector Organization. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 14(3), 283-307.
- Ghozali, I. (2014). *Model Persamaan Struktural Konsep dan Aplikasi dengan Program Amos 22.0 Update Bayesian SEM*. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.

- Ghozali, I., & Latan, H. (2014). *Partial Least Squares Konsep Metode dan Aplikasi Menggunakan Program WarpPLS 4.0*. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Glazer, E. M. (1981). Durability of Innovations in Human Service Organizations: A Case-Study Analysis. *Knowledge: Creation, Diffusion, Utilization*, 3(2), 167-185.
- Goodman, R. M., & Steckler, A. (1989). A Framework for assessing program institutionalization. *Knowledge in Society*, 2(1), 57-71.
- Gosain, S. (2004). Enterprise Information Systems as Objects and Carriers of Institutional Forces: The New Iron Cage? *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 5(4), 151-182.
- Granovetter, M. (1985). Economic action and social structure: The Problem of embeddedness. *American Journal of Sociology*, 91(3).
- Granovetter, M., & McGuire, P. (1998). The making of an industry: electricity in the United States. *The Sociological Review*, 46(1 supplement), 147 - 173.
- Greenfield, G., & Rohde, F. (2009). Technology acceptance: Not all organisations or workers may be the same. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 10(4), 263-272.
- Greenwood, R., & Hinings, C. R. (1996). Understanding Radical Organizational Change: Bringing Together the Old and the New Institutionalism. *Academy of Management Review*, 21(4), 1022 - 1054.
- Greenwood, R., Hinings, C. R., & Whetten, D. (2014). Rethinking institutions and organizations. *Journal of Management Studies*, 51(7), 1206 - 1220.
- Greenwood, R., Oliver, C., Sahin, K., & Suddaby, R. (2008). Introduction *The SAGE handbook of Organizational institutionalism*. London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Greif, A. (2006). *Institutions and the path to the modern economy: Lessons from medieval trade*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Grizzle, G. A., & Pettijohn, C. D. (2002). Implementing Performance-Based Program Budgeting: A System-Dynamics Perspective. *Public Administration Review*, 62(1), 51 - 62.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2009). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. New Jersey: Person Education, Inc.
- Hannan, M. T., & Freeman, J. (1986). Where Do Organizational Forms Come From? *Sociological Forum*, 1(1), 50 - 72.

- Hasselbladh, H., & Kallinikos, J. (2000). The Project of Rationalization: A Critique and Reappraisal of Neo-Institutionalism in Organization Studies. *Organization Studies*, 21(4), 697-720.
- Hazlett, S.-A., & Hill, F. (2003). E-government: the realities of using IT to transform the public sector. *Managing Service Quality*, 13(6), 445 - 452.
- Heeks, R. (2002). Information System and Developing Countries: Failure, Success, and Local Improvisation. *The Information Society*, 18(2), 101-112.
- Heeks, R. (2003) Most eGovernment-for-Development Project Fail: How Can Risks be Reduced? , *iGovernment Working Paper*. Manchester, UK: Institute for Development Policy and Management, University of Manchester.
- Heinrich, C. J. (2003). Measuring Public Sector Performance and Effectiveness. In B. G. Peters & J. Pierre (Eds.), *Handbook of Public Administration* (pp. 25 - 37). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publication Ltd.
- Ho, A. (2007). The Governance Challenges of the Government Performance and Results Act: A Case Study of the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Administration. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 30(3), 369 - 397.
- Ho, A. T., & Ni, A. Y. (2005). Have Cities Shifted to Outcome-Oriented Performance Reporting? FA Content Analysis of City Budgets. *Public Budgeting & Finance*, 25(2), 61 - 83.
- Hopper, T., & Major, M. (2007). Extending institutional analysis through theoretical triangulation: regulation and activity-based costing in Portuguese telecommunications. *European Accounting Review*, 16, 59 - 97.
- Huntington, S. P. (1965). Political development and political decay. *World Politics*, 17(3), 386 - 430.
- Jauhari, H., Sari, Y., & Dewata, E. (2019). Implementation of good governance, utilization of information technology and reliability of government financial statement. *Journal of Accounting and Strategic Finance*, 2(2), 117 - 126.
- Jones, M. (2000). The Moving Finger: The Use of Social Theory in WG 8.2 Conference Papers, 1975-1999. In R. Baskerville, J. Stage & J. I. DeGross (Eds.), *Organization and Social Perspectives on Information Technology* (pp. 1-12). Boston, MA: Kluwer Academic Publisher.
- Jordan, M. M., & Hackbart, M. (2005). The goals and implementation success of state performance-based budgeting. *Journal of Public Budgeting, Accounting & Financial Management*, 17(4), 471 - 487.

- Jun, K.-N., & Weare, C. (2011). Institutional Motivation in The Adoption of Innovation: The Case of E-Government. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 21(3), 495-519.
- Kaganer, E., Pawlowski, S. D., & Wiley-Patton, S. (2010). Building legitimacy for IT innovations: The Case of computerized physician order entry systems. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 11(1), 1 - 33.
- Katsikas, E., Rossi, F. M., & Orelli, R. L. (2017). Accounting change: integrated reporting through the lenses of institutional theory *Towards Integrated Reporting*: Springer, Cham.
- Klein, H. K., & Hirschheim, R. A. (1989). Legitimation in Information Systems Development' a Social Change Perspective. *Office Technology and People*, 5(1), 29-46.
- Kline, R. B. (2012). *Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling* (3rd ed.). New York: The Guilford Press.
- Kling, R., & Lacono, S. (1989). The Institutional Character of Computerized Information Systems. *Office Technology and People*, 5(1), 7 - 28.
- Kobelsky, K., Larosiliere, G., & Plummer, E. (2014). The impact of information technology on performance in the not-for-profit sector. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 15, 47-65.
- Kobelsky, K. W., Richardson, V. J., Smith, R. E., & Zmud, R. W. (2008). Determinants and Consequences of Firm Information Technology Budgets. *The Accounting Review*, 83(4), 957 - 995.
- Kock, N. (2020). *WarpPLS User Manual: Version 7.0*. Texas: ScriptWarp Systems.
- Kohli, R., & Kettinger, W. J. (2004). Informing the Clan: Controlling Physicians' Costs and Outcomes. *MIS Quarterly*, 28(3), 363 - 394.
- Kostova, T., & Roth, K. (2002). Adoption of an organizational practice by subsidiaries of multinational corporations: institutional and relational effects. *Academy of Management Journal*, 45(1), 215-233.
- Kraemer, K., & King, J. L. (2006). Information Technology and Administrative Reform: Will E-Government be Different? *International Journal of Electronic Government Research*, 2(1), 1 - 20.
- Krell, K., Matook, S., & Rohde, F. (2016). The impact of legitimacy-based motives on IS adoption success: An institutional theory perspective. *Information & Management*, 53(6), 683 - 697.
- Kumar, R., & Best, M. L. (2006). Impact and Sustainability of E-Government Services in Developing Countries: Lessons Learned from Tamil Nadu, India. *The Information Society*, 22(1), 1 - 12.

- Laudon, K. C. (1985). Environmental and institutional models of system development: a national criminal history system. *Communications of the ACM*, 28, 728 - 740.
- Lawrence, T. B., Hardy, C., & Phillips, N. (2002). Institutional Effects of Interorganizational Collaboration: The Emergence of Proto-Institutions. *Academy of Management Journal*, 45(1), 281 - 290.
- Lu, E. Y. (2011). Individual Engagement to Collective Participation: The Dynamics of Participation Pattern in Performance Budgeting. *Public Budgeting & Finance*, 31(2), 79 - 98.
- Lu, E. Y., Mohr, Z., & Ho, A. T.-K. (2015). Taking Stock: Assessing and Improving Performance Budgeting Theory and Practice. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 38(3), 426 - 458.
- Maffettone, P., & Ulaş, L. (2019). Legitimacy, metacoordination and context-dependence. *International Theory*, 11(1), 81 - 109.
- Maguire, S., Hardy, C., & Lawrence, T. B. (2004). Institutional Entrepreneurship in Emerging Fields: HIV/AIDS Treatment Advocacy in Canada. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(5), 657 - 679.
- Mark, A. L. (2007). Modernising healthcare – is the NPfIT for purpose? *Journal of Information Technology*, 22(3), 248 - 256.
- May, C. R., & Finch, T. (2009). Implementing, Embedding, and Integrating Practices: An Outline of Normalization Process Theory. *Sociology*, 43(3), 535 - 554.
- Melkers, J., & Willoughby, K. (2005). Models of Performance-Measurement Use in Local Government: Understanding Budgeting, Communication, and Lasting Effects. *Public Administration Review*, 65(2), 180-190.
- Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized organizations: formal structure as myth and ceremony. *The American Journal of Sociology*, 83(2).
- Mignerat, M., & Rivard, S. (2009). Positioning the institutional perspective in information systems research. *Journal of Information Technology*, 24(4), 369-391.
- Miscione, G. (2007). Telemedicine in the Upper Amazon: Interplay with local health care practices. *MIS Quarterly*, 31(2), 403-425.
- Modell, S. (2003). Goals Versus Institutions: the development of performance measurement in the Swedish university sector. *Management Accounting Research*, 14, 333-359.
- Muda, I., Wardani, D. Y., Erlina, Maksum, A., Lubis, A. F., Bukit, R., & Abubakar, E. (2017). The influence of human resources competency And



the use of information technology on the Quality of local government financial report With regional accounting system as an Intervening. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Information Technology*, 95(20), 5552 - 5561.

- Niemimaa, E., & Niemimaa, M. (2017). Information systems security policy implementation in practice: from best practices to situated practices. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 26(1), 1 - 20.
- Oliveira, T., & Martins, M. F. (2011). Literature review of information technology adoption models at firm level. *The Electronic Journal Information Systems Evaluation*, 14(1), 110-121.
- Oliver, C. (1992). The Antecedents of Deinstitutionalization. *Organization Studies*, 13(4), 563-588.
- Oliver, C. (1997). Sustainable Competitive Advantage: Combining Institutional and Resource-Based View. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(9), 697-713.
- Omar, A., & Elhaddadeh, R. (2016). *Structuring Institutionalization of Digitally-Enabled Service Transformation in Public Sector: Does Actor or Structure Matters?* Paper presented at the Americas Conference on Information Systems, San Diego.
- Orlikowski, W. J. (2000). Using Technology and Constituting Structures: A Practice Lens for Studying Technology in Organizations. *Organization Science*, 11(4), 404 - 428.
- Orlikowski, W. J., & Barley, S. R. (2001). Technology and Institutions: What can research on information technology and research on organizations learn from each other? *MIS Quarterly*, 25(2), 145-165.
- Parsons, T. (1966). *The Structure of Social Actions* (2nd ed.). New York: McGraw Hill.
- Parsons, T. (1991). *The Social System*. London: Routledge.
- Peterson, S. (2006). Automating Public Financial Management in Developing Countries *John F. Kennedy School of Government Working Paper*: Harvard University.
- Poister, T. H., & Streib, G. (1999). Performance Measurement in Municipal Government: Assessing the State of the Practice. *Public Administration Review*, 59(4), 325 - 335.
- Posner, P. L., & Fantone, D. M. (2007). Assessing Federal Program Performance: Observations on the U.S. Office of Management and Budget's Program Assessment Rating Tool and Its Use in the Budget Process. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 30(3), 351 - 368.

- Powell, W. W., & DiMaggio, P. J. (1991). *The New Institutionalism in Organizational Analysis*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Power, M. (2015). How accounting begins: Object formation and the accretion of infrastructure. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 47, 43 - 55.
- Rahman, A., & Fachri, Z. (2016). Region's Financial Accounting Information System and the Quality of Local Government Financial Reports. *Information Management and Business Review*, 8(4), 64 - 68.
- Rao, H. (1998). Caveat emptor: The Construction of nonprofit consumer watchdog organizations. *American Journal of Sociology*, 103(4), 912 - 961.
- Rao, H., & Giorgi, S. (2006). Code breaking: How entrepreneurs exploit cultural logics to generate institutional change. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 27, 269 - 304.
- Rao, H., Morrill, C., & Zald, M. N. (2000). Power plays: How social movements and collective action create new organizational forms. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 22, 237 - 281.
- Rivenbark, W. C., & Kelly, J. M. (2006). Performance Budgeting in Municipal Government. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 30(1), 35 - 46.
- Robey, D., & Boudreau, M.-C. (1999). Accounting for the contradictory organizational consequences of information technology: theoretical directions and methodological implications. *Information System Research*, 10(2), 167 - 185.
- S.Tolbert, P. (1985). Institutional Environments and Resource Dependence: Sources of Administrative Structure in Institutions of Higher Education. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 30(1), 1-13.
- Scott, W. R. (1995). *Institution and organization*. Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage.
- Scott, W. R. (2005). Institutional Theory: Contributing To A Theoretical Research Program. In K. G. Smith & M. A. Hitt (Eds.), *Great Minds In Management: The Process Of Theory Development* (pp. 460-484). Oxford UK: Oxford University Press.
- Scott, W. R. (2014). *Institutions and Organizations - Ideas, Interests, and Identities* (4th ed.). USA: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Seo, M.-G., & Creed, W. E. D. (2002). Institutional Contradictions, Praxis, and Institutional Change: A Dialectical Perspective. *Academy of Management Review*, 27(2), 222 - 247.
- Sharma, N. (2003). The role of pure and quasi-moderators in services: an empirical investigation of ongoing customer-service-provider relationships. *10(4)*, 253 - 262.

- Sholihin, M., & Ratmono, D. (2013). *Analisis SEM-PLS dengan WarpPLS 3.0 : Untuk Hubungan Nonlinier dalam Penelitian Sosial dan Bisnis* (1st ed.). Yogyakarta: Andi.
- Sircar, S., Turnbow, J. L., & Bordoloi, B. (2000). A Framework for Assessing the Relationship between Information Technology Investments and Firm Performance. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 16(4), 69 - 97.
- Siti-Nabiha, A. K., & Scapens, R. W. (2005). Stability and change: an institutionalist study of management accounting change. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 18(1), 44-73.
- Streib, G. D., & Willoughby, K. G. (2005). Local governments as e-governments: Meeting the implementation challenge. *Public Administration Quarterly*, 29(1/2), 77 - 109.
- Suchman, M. C. (1995). Managing legitimacy: Strategic and institutional approaches. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(3), 571 - 610.
- Sudarto. (2019). Pengembangan Integrated Financial Management Information System (IFMIS) di Indonesia. *Indonesian Treasury Review*, 4(2), 87-103.
- Suddaby, R. (2010). Challenges for Institutional Theory. *Journal of Management Inquiry*, 19(1), 14-20.
- Suddaby, R., Bitektine, A., & Haack, P. (2017). Legitimacy. *Academy of Management Annals*, 11(1), 451 - 478.
- Swanson, E. B., & Ramiller, N. C. (1997). The Organizing Vision in Information Systems Innovation. *Organization Science*, 8(5), 458-474.
- Teo, H. H., Wei, K. K., & Benbasat, I. (2003). Predicting Intention to Adopt Interorganizational Linkages: An Institutional Perspective. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(1), 19-49.
- Thatcher, J. B., Brower, R. S., & Mason, R. M. (2006). Organizational Fields and the Diffusion of Information Technologies Within and Across the Nonprofit and Public Sectors - A Preliminary Theory. *The American Review of Public Administration*, 36(4), 437 - 454.
- Tolbert, P. S., & Zucker, L. G. (1996). The Institutionalization of Institutional Theory. In S. R. Clegg & C. Hardy (Eds.), *Studying Organization - Theory and method* (pp. 169-184). London: Sage Publications.
- UNDESA. (2010). *United Nations e-Government Survey 2010: Leveraging e-government at a time of financial and economic crisis*. New York: United Nations.
- UNDESA. (2012). *United Nations e-Government Survey 2012: e-Government for the People*. New York: United Nations.

- UNDESA. (2014). E-Government survey 2014 - E-Government for the future we want. New York: United Nations.
- Ven, A. H. V. d., & Drazin, R. (1984). The concept of fit in contingency theory. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 7, 333-365.
- Ven, A. H. V. d., & Ferry, D. L. (1980). *Measuring and Assessing Organizations*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Ven, A. H. V. d., & Garud, R. (1993). Innovation and industry development: The Case of cochlear implants. *Research on Technological Innovation, Management and Policy*, 5, 1 - 46.
- Venkatraman, N. (1989). The concept of fit in strategy research: toward verbal and statistical correspondence. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(3), 423-444.
- Verbeeten, F. H. M. (2008). Performance management practices in public sector organizations: Impact on performance. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 21(3), 427 - 454.
- Waal, A. A. d. (2010). Performance-driven behavior as the key to improved organizational performance. *Measuring Business Excellence*, 14(1), 79 - 95.
- Wang, P., & Swanson, E. B. (2007). Launching professional services automation: Institutional entrepreneurship for information technology innovations. *Information and Organization*, 17, 59-88.
- Wang, P., & Swanson, E. B. (2008). Customer relationship management as advertised: Exploiting and sustaining technological momentum. *Information Technology & People*, 21(4), 323 - 349.
- Wang, X. (2000). Performance Measurement in Budgeting: A Study of County Government. *Public Budgeting and Finance*, 20(3), 102-118.
- Wang, X. (2002). Assessing Performance Measurement Impact: A Study of U.S. Local Governments. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 26(1), 26 - 43.
- Weber, M. (1958). Science as a Vocation. *Deadalus*, 87(1), 111 - 134.
- Weerakkody, V., Dwiyeidi, Y. K., & Irani, Z. (2009). The Diffusion and Use of Institutional Theory: a cross-disciplinary longitudinal literature survey. *Journal of Information Technology*, 24(4), 354-368.
- Williams, J. J., Macintosh, N. B., & Moore, J. G. (1990). Budget-related behavior in public sector organizations: some empirical evidence. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 15(3), 221 - 246.

- Willoughby, K. G., & Melkers, J. E. (2000). Implementing PBB: Conflicting Views of Success. *Public Budgeting & Finance*, 20(1), 85 - 120.
- Winter, S. G. (2003). Understanding dynamic capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(10), 991-995.
- Yeh, J. Y., & OuYang, Y.-C. (2010). How an organization changes in ERP implementation: a Taiwan semiconductor case study. *Business Process Management Journal*, 16(2), 209 - 225.
- Yuliani, N. L., & Agustini, R. D. (2016). Factors affecting the quality of local government financial statements. *Jurnal Bisnis & Ekonomi*, 14(1), 56 - 64.
- Zilber, T. B. (2007). Stories and the discursive dynamics of institutional entrepreneurship: The Case of Israeli high-tech after the bubble. *Organization Studies*, 28(7), 1035 - 1054.
- Zorn, T. E., Flanagin, A. J., & Shoham, M. D. (2011). Institutional and Noninstitutional Influences on Information and Communication Technology Adoption and Use Among Nonprofit Organizations. *Human Communication Research*, 37, 1-33.
- Zucker, L. G. (1977). The Role of Institutionalization in Cultural Persistence. *American Sociological Review*, 42(5), 726 - 743.
- Zucker, L. G. (1983). Organizations as institutions. In S. B. Bacharach (Ed.), *Research in the Sociology of Organizations* (Vol. 2, pp. 1 - 47). Greenwich, Conn.: JAI Press.