

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abernethy, M. A., dan Chua, W. F. (1996). A field study of control system “redesign”: the impact of institutional processes on strategic choice. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 13(2), 569-606.
- Aguilera, R. V. (2005). Corporate governance and director accountability: An institutional comparative perspective. *British Journal of Management*,
- Agyemang, G., dan Broadbent, J. (2015). Management control systems and research management in universities: An empirical and conceptual exploration. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 28(7), 1018-1046.
- Ahrens, T., dan Dent, J. F. (1998). Accounting and organizations: realizing the richness of field research. *Journal of Management Accounting Research*, 10, 1.
- Alawattage, C., Hopper, T., Wickramasinghe, D., Putu S, N., Jan van Helden, G., dan Tillema, S. (2007). Public sector performance measurement in developing countries: A literature review and research agenda. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 3(3), 192-208.
- Alvesson, M., dan Sveningsson, S. (2015). *Changing organizational culture: Cultural change work in progress*: Routledge.
- Amis, J. M., dan Aïssaoui, R. (2013). Readiness for change: An institutional perspective. *Journal of change management*, 13(1), 69-95.
- Amis, J., Slack, T., dan Hinings, C. (2004). Strategic change and the role of interests, power, and organizational capacity. *Journal of Sport Management*, 18(2), 158-198.
- Amis, J., Slack, T., dan Hinings, C. (2004). Strategic change and the role of interests, power, and organizational capacity. 18(2), 158-198.

- Ashworth, R., Boyne, G., dan Delbridge, R. (2009). Escape from the iron cage? Organizational change and isomorphic pressures in the public sector. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 19(1), 165-187.
- Bach, T., van Thiel, S., Hammerschmid, G., dan Steiner, R. (2016). Administrative tradition and management reforms: a comparison of agency chief executive accountability in four Continental Rechtsstaat countries. *Public Management Review*, 1-20.
- Baxter, J., dan Chua, W. F. (2003). Alternative management accounting research—whence and whither. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 28(2), 97-126.
- Bellé, N., Belardinelli, P., Cantarelli, P., dan Mele, V. (2019). On iron cages and suboptimal choices: An experimental test of the micro-foundations of isomorphism in the public sector. *22(2)*, 373-414.
- Berland, N., dan Dreveton, B. (2006). Management control system in public administration: beyond rational myths. *Accounting Reform in the Public Sector: Mimicry, Fad or Necessity*, p. 21-37.
- Berry, A. J., Coad, A. F., Harris, E. P., Otley, D. T., dan Stringer, C. (2009). Emerging themes in management control: A review of recent literature. *The British Accounting Review*, 41(1), 2-20.
- Berry, A. J., dan Otley, D. T. (2004). Case-based research in accounting. In *The real life guide to accounting research* (pp. 231-255): Elsevier.
- Bouckaert, G., Peters, B. G., dan Verhoest, K. (2016). *Coordination of Public Sector Organizations*: Springer.
- Boxenbaum, E., dan Jonsson, S. (2017). Isomorphism, diffusion and decoupling: Concept evolution and theoretical challenges. *The Sage handbook of organizational institutionalism*, 2, 79-104.
- Brandsma, G. J. (2013). Accountability and Multi-Level Governance. In *Controlling Comitology* (pp. 44-62): Springer.

- Braun, V., dan Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. doi:10.1191/1478088706qp063oa
- Braun, V., dan Clarke, V. (2013). *Successful qualitative research: A practical guide for beginners*: sage.
- Bridwell-Mitchell, E., dan Mezas, S. J. 2012). The quest for cognitive legitimacy: Organizational identity crafting and internal stakeholder support. 12(2), 189-207.
- Bromley, P., dan Powell, W. W. (2012). From smoke and mirrors to walking the talk: Decoupling in the contemporary world. 6(1), 483-530.
- Burger, T. (1977). Max Weber's Interpretive Sociology, the Understanding of Actions and Motives, and a Weberian View of Man. *Sociological Inquiry*, 47(2), 127-132.
- Burns, J., dan Vaivio, J. (2001). Management accounting change. *Management Accounting Research*, 12(4), 389-402.
- Burrell, G., dan Morgan, G. (1979). *Sociological paradigms and organisational analysis (Vol. 248)*: london: Heinemann.
- Busuioc, M., Curtin, D., dan Groenleer, M. (2011). Agency growth between autonomy and accountability: The European Police Office as a 'living institution'. 18(6), 848-867.
- Casey, M. M., Payne, W. R., dan Eime, R. M. (2012). Organisational readiness and capacity building strategies of sporting organisations to promote health. *Sport Management Review*, 15(1), 109-124.
- Chenhall, R. H. (2003). Management control systems design within its organizational context: findings from contingency-based research and directions for the future. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 28(2), 127-168.

- Child, J., dan McGrath, R. G. (2001). Organizations unfettered: Organizational form in an information-intensive economy. *Academy of management journal*, 44(6), 1135-1148.
- Choi, J.-W. (2016). New Public Management or Mismanagement? The Case of Public Service Agency of Indonesia. *Jurnal Studi Pemerintahan: Journal of Government and Politics*, 7(1).
- Christensen, T., dan Laegreid, P. (2001). New Public Management: The effects of contractualism and devolution on political control. *Public management review*, 3(1), 73-94.
- Chua, W. F. (1986a). Radical developments in accounting thought. *Accounting review*, 601-632.
- Chua, W. F. (1986b). Theoretical constructions of and by the real. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 11(6), 583-598. doi:[https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682\(86\)90037-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(86)90037-1)
- Clark, V. L. P., dan Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Understanding research: A consumer's guide*: Pearson Higher Ed.
- Clegg, S., dan Courpasson, D. (2004). Political hybrids: Tocquevillean views on project organizations. *Journal of management Studies*, 41(4), 525-547.
- Conteh, C., dan Ohemeng, F. L. (2009). The politics of decision making in developing countries: A comparative analysis of privatization decisions in Botswana and Ghana. *Public management review*, 11(1), 57-77.
- Crawford, L. J. B. (2016). Moral legitimacy: the struggle of homeopathy in the NHS. 30(2), 85-95.
- Creswell, J. W., dan Poth, C. N. (2016). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*: Sage publications.
- Cruz-Suarez, A., Prado-Roman, A., dan Prado-Roman, M. (2014). Cognitive legitimacy, resource access, and organizational outcomes. *Revista de Administração de Empresas*, 54, 575-584.

- Czarniawska, B. (2009). 4b Social constructionism and organization studies. Debating organization: Point-counterpoint in organization studies, 128.
- Dambrin, C., Lambert, C., dan Sponem, S. (2007). Control and change—Analysing the process of institutionalisation. *Management Accounting Research*, 18(2), 172-208.
- Damhuri, T. (2013). The Performance of newly autonomous state agencies in Indonesia. KDI School of Public Policy and Management,
- Dauber, D., Fink, G., dan Yolles, M. (2012). A configuration model of organizational culture. *Sage Open*, 2(1), 2158244012441482.
- Deloitte. (2014). Performance Evaluation of Boards and Directors.
- Diefenbach, T. (2007). The managerialistic ideology of organisational change management.
- Du Gay, P. (2005). The values of bureaucracy: OUP Oxford.
- Evered, R., dan Louis, M. R. (1981). Alternative perspectives in the organizational sciences: “inquiry from the inside” and “inquiry from the outside”. *Academy of management review*, 6(3), 385-395.
- Ferner, A. (2000). The underpinnings of ‘bureaucratic’ control systems: HRM in European multinationals. *Journal of management Studies*, 37(4), 521-540.
- Flamholtz, E. (1996). *Effective management control: theory and practice*: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Flamholtz, E. G., Das, T., dan Tsui, A. S. (1985). Toward an integrative framework of organizational control. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 10(1), 35-50.
- Fong Chua, W. (1988). Interpretive sociology and management accounting research—a critical review. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 1(2), 59-79.

- Gianakis, G. A., dan McCue, C. P. (1999). *Local government budgeting: A managerial approach*: Greenwood publishing group.
- Granlund, M. (2001). Towards explaining stability in and around management accounting systems. *Management Accounting Research*, 12(2), 141-166.
- Greenwood, R., dan Hinings, C. R. (1993). Understanding strategic change: The contribution of archetypes. *Academy of management journal*, 36(5), 1052-1081.
- Greenwood, R., dan Hinings, C. R. (1996). Understanding radical organizational change: Bringing together the old and the new institutionalism. *Academy of management review*, 21(4), 1022-1054.
- Gupta, R. K., dan Awasthy, R. (2015). *Qualitative research in management: Methods and experiences*: SAGE Publications India.
- Gutiérrez-Rincón, V. (2014). Beyond the internal dynamics of organizational responses to conflicting institutional demands. *Estudios Gerenciales*, 30(133), 376-383. doi:10.1016/j.estger.2014.03.003
- Han, J., dan Koo, J. (2010). Institutional isomorphism and decoupling among Korean firms: Adoption of performance compensation system. *한국사회학*, 44(3), 27-44.
- Harrison, H., Birks, M., Franklin, R., dan Mills, J. (2017). *Case Study Research: Foundations and Methodological Orientations*. Paper presented at the Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative Social Research.
- Heese, J., Krishnan, R., dan Moers, F. (2016). Selective regulator decoupling and organizations' strategic responses. *Academy of management journal*, 59(6), 2178-2204.
- Heidegger, M. (1996). *Being and time: A translation of Sein und Zeit*: SUNY press.
- Hewege, C. R. (2012). A critique of the mainstream management control theory and the way forward. *SAGE Open*, 2(4), 2158244012470114.

- Hinings, C. R., Greenwood, R., dan Cooper, D. (1999). The dynamics of change in large accounting firms. *Restructuring the professional organization*, 131-153.
- Hopper, T., dan Powell, A. (1985). Making sense of research into the organizational and social aspects of management accounting: A review of its underlying assumptions [1]. *Journal of management Studies*, 22(5), 429-465.
- Janis, I. L. (1972). *Victims of groupthink: a psychological study of foreign-policy decisions and fiascoes*.
- Jansen, E. P. (2011). The effect of leadership style on the information receivers' reaction to management accounting change. *Management Accounting Research*, 22(2), 105-124.
- Jimly Asshiddiqie, S. (2010). *Pembangunan Hukum dan Penegakan Hukum di Indonesia*
- Johansson, R. (2003). Case study methodology. Paper presented at the the International Conference on Methodologies in Housing Research, Stockholm.
- Jones, G. R. (2013). *Organizational theory, design, and change*: Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson.
- Jun, J. S. (2007). *The social construction of public administration: Interpretive and critical perspectives*: SUNY Press.
- Kasurinen, T. (2002). Exploring management accounting change: the case of balanced scorecard implementation. *Management Accounting Research*, 13(3), 323-343.
- Kickert, W. J. (2001). Public management of hybrid organizations: Governance of quasi-autonomous executive agencies. *International Public Management Journal*, 4(2), 135-150.
- Kim, B. (2001). Social constructivism. *Emerging perspectives on learning, teaching, and technology*, 1(1), 16.

- Kindsiko, E. (2014). Organisational control in university management: a multiparadigm approach on the example of the University of Tartu.
- Kirsch, L. J. (1996). The management of complex tasks in organizations: Controlling the systems development process. *Organization Science*, 7(1), 1-21.
- Kirsch, L. J., Ko, D.-G., dan Haney, M. H. (2010). Investigating the antecedents of team-based clan control: Adding social capital as a predictor. *Organization Science*, 21(2), 469-489.
- Kuhn, T. S. (1975). *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions: 2d Ed.*, enl: University of Chicago Press.
- Laking, R. (2005). Agencies: their benefits and risks. *OECD Journal on Budgeting*, 4(4), 7-25.
- Lane, J.-E. (2000). *The public sector: concepts, models and approaches*: Sage.
- Lane, J.-E. (2009). *State Management: An Enquiry into Models of Public Administration & Management*: Routledge.
- Le Galès, P. (2011). Policy instruments and governance. *The SAGE handbook of governance*, 142-159.
- Le Gals, P., dan Scott, A. (2010). A British bureaucratic revolution. *Autonomy without Control*.
- Macintosh, N. B., dan Quattrone, P. (2010). *Management accounting and control systems: An organizational and sociological approach*: John Wiley & Sons.
- MacLean, T. L., dan Behnam, M. (2010). The dangers of decoupling: The relationship between compliance programs, legitimacy perceptions, and institutionalized misconduct. *Academy of management journal*, 53(6), 1499-1520.
- Mahmudi, M. (2003). Studi Kasus sebagai Strategi Riset untuk Mengembangkan Akuntansi Sektor Publik. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Auditing Indonesia*, 7(1).



- Malmi, T., dan Brown, D. A. (2008). Management control systems as a package— Opportunities, challenges and research directions. *Management Accounting Research*, 19(4), 287-300.
- Merchant, K. A., dan Otley, D. T. (2006). A review of the literature on control and accountability. *Handbooks of management accounting research*, 2, 785-802.
- Merchant, K. A., dan Van der Stede, W. A. (2007). *Management control systems: performance measurement, evaluation and incentives*: Pearson Education.
- Merriam, S. (2009). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation (Revised and expanded from qualitative research and case study application in education)*. In: San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Merriam, S. B. (1998). *Qualitative Research and Case Study Applications in Education. Revised and Expanded from " Case Study Research in Education."*: ERIC.
- Merriam, S. B., dan Tisdell, E. J. (2015). *Qualitative research: A guide to design and implementation*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Meyer, J. W., dan Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized organizations: Formal structure as myth and ceremony. *American journal of sociology*, 83(2), 340-363.
- Meyer, R. E., dan Hammerschmid, G. (2006). Changing institutional logics and executive identities: A managerial challenge to public administration in Austria. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 49(7), 1000-1014.
- Mintzberg, H. (1993). *Structure in fives: Designing effective organizations*: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Mogensen, B. G. (2018). *Managing Corporate Legitimacy Through CSR Reporting: A Qualitative Case Study of Apple Inc.'s Supplier Responsibility Reports*.
- Morgan, G. (1980). Paradigms, metaphors, and puzzle solving in organization theory. *Administrative science quarterly*, 605-622.

- Moynihan, D. P. (2006). Ambiguity in policy lessons: The agencification experience. *Public Administration*, 84(4), 1029-1050.
- Munro, R., dan Hatherly, D. (1993). Accountability and the new commercial agenda. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 4(4), 369-395.
- Nakano, K. (2004). Cross-National Transfer of Policy Ideas: Agencification in Britain and Japan. *Governance*, 17(2), 169-188.
- Nor-Aziah, A. K., dan Scapens, R. W. (2007). Corporatisation and accounting change: The role of accounting and accountants in a Malaysian public utility. *Management Accounting Research*, 18(2), 209-247.
- Oliver, C. (1991). Strategic responses to institutional processes. *Academy of management review*, 16(1), 145-179.
- Olsen, J. P. (2004). The 2003 John Gaus lecture: Citizens, public administration and the search for theoretical foundations. 37(1), 69-79.
- Osborne, D., dan Plastrik, P. (1997). *Banishing Bureaucracy: The Five Strategies for Reinventing Government*: ERIC.
- Osterloh, M. (2010). Governance by numbers. Does it really work in research? *Analyse & Kritik*, 32(2), 267-283.
- Otley, D. T., dan Berry, A. J. (1994). Case study research in management accounting and control. *Management Accounting Research*, 5(1), 45-65. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/mare.1994.1004>
- Ouchi, W. G. (1977). The relationship between organizational structure and organizational control. *Administrative science quarterly*, 95-113.
- Ouchi, W. G. (1979). A conceptual framework for the design of organizational control mechanisms. In *Readings in Accounting for Management Control* (pp. 63-82): Springer.
- Overman, S. J. P. M. R. (2016). Great expectations of public service delegation: A systematic review. 18(8), 1238-1262.

- Pache, A.-C., dan Santos, F. (2010). When worlds collide: The internal dynamics of organizational responses to conflicting institutional demands. *35*(3), 455-476.
- Parker, L. D. (2008). Boardroom operational and financial control: an insider view. *19*(1), 65-88.
- Parker, L. D., dan Roffey, B. H. (1997). Methodological themes: back to the drawing board: revisiting grounded theory and the everyday accountant's and manager's reality. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, *10*(2), 212-247.
- Pawson, R., & Tilley, N. (1997). An introduction to scientific realist evaluation. *Evaluation for the 21st century: A handbook*, 1997, 405-418.
- Petrovic, J. (2008). Unlocking the role of a board director: a review of the literature. *Management Decision*.
- Pfeffer, J., dan Salancik, G. R. (1978). *The external control of organizations: A resource dependence approach*. NY: Harper and Row Publishers.
- Pfeffer, J., dan Salancik, G. R. (2003). *The external control of organizations: A resource dependence perspective*: Stanford University Press.
- Pillay, S., Reddy, P. S., dan Morgan, D. (2017). Institutional isomorphism and whistle-blowing intentions in public sector institutions. *Public Management Review*, *19*(4), 423-442.
- Pollanen, R. (2011). Management Accounting and Control Research in Public Organizations. In *Review of Management Accounting Research* (pp. 381-414): Springer.
- Pollitt, C., dan Talbot, C. (2004). *Unbundled government: a critical analysis of the global trend to agencies, quangos and contractualisation*: Routledge.
- Pollitt, C., Talbot, C., Caulfield, J., dan Smullen, A. (2004). *Agencies: How governments do things through semi-autonomous organizations*: Springer.

- Polzer, T., Meyer, R. E., Höllerer, M. A., dan Seiwald, J. (2016). Institutional Hybridity in Public Sector Reform: Replacement, Blending, or Layering of Administrative Paradigms☆. In *How Institutions Matter!* (pp. 69-99): Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Power, M. K. (2003). Auditing and the production of legitimacy. 28(4), 379-394.
- Prabowo, T. J. W., Leung, P., dan Guthrie, J. (2017). Reforms in public sector accounting and budgeting in Indonesia (2003-2015): confusions in implementation.
- Putu S, N., Jan van Helden, G., dan Tillema, S. (2007). Public sector performance measurement in developing countries: A literature review and research agenda. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 3(3), 192-208.
- Quattrone, P., dan Hopper, T. (2001). What does organizational change mean? Speculations on a taken for granted category. *Management Accounting Research*, 12(4), 403-435.
- Rahaman, A. S., dan Lawrence, S. (2001). Public sector accounting and financial management in a developing country organisational context: a three-dimensional view. Paper presented at the Accounting Forum.
- Rainero, C., Migliavacca, A., Puddu, L., dan Modarelli, G. (2018). Resistance to Change Processes and Strategies for the Implementation of Harmonization Reforms: The Separation of the Health Care Expenditure in the Regional Financial Statements.
- Riyanto, A. (2012). Implementation of agencification in public service agency case study: Sunan Kalijaga State Islamic University Yogyakarta.
- Roberts, J. (2004). Managing Development for Results: A Role for Results-oriented Public Expenditure Management. *Development Policy Review*, 22(6), 623-651.

- Scapens, R. W. (1990). Researching management accounting practice: The role of case study methods. *The British Accounting Review*, 22(3), 259-281. doi:[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0890-8389\(90\)90008-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0890-8389(90)90008-6)
- Scapens, R. W. (2004). Doing case study research. *The real life guide to accounting research*, 257-279.
- Schein, E. H. (2010). *Organizational culture and leadership (Vol. 2)*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Schillemans, T. (2008). Accountability in the shadow of hierarchy: The horizontal accountability of agencies. *Public Organization Review*, 8(2), 175-194.
- Scott, W. R. (1987). The adolescence of institutional theory. *Administrative science quarterly*, 493-511.
- Sherwin, L. (2003). Building an effective board. 16(5), 22-29.
- Simões, A. M. D., dan Rodrigues, J. (2011). The Case Study in Management Accounting and Control Research. Paper presented at the XIII Accounting and Auditing Congress, Porto.
- Siringoringo, A. (2017a). Mengembangkan Tata Kelola BLU. <http://blu.djpbk.kemenkeu.go.id/index.php?r=publication/article/index>.
- Siringoringo, A. (2017b). Mengembangkan Tata Kelola BLU.
- Snell, S. A. (1992). Control theory in strategic human resource management: The mediating effect of administrative information. *Academy of management journal*, 35(2), 292-327.
- Spekle, R. F., dan Verbeeten, F. (2009). The use of performance measurement systems in the public sector: Effects on performance.
- Stake, R. E. (1983). The case study method in social inquiry. In *Evaluation models* (pp. 279-286): Springer.
- Stake, R. E. (2013). *Multiple case study analysis*: Guilford Press.

- Suchman, M. (1995). Managing legitimacy: Strategic and institutional approaches. *20(3)*, 571-610.
- Suddaby, R., Bitektine, A., dan Haack, P. (2017). Legitimacy. *11(1)*, 451-478.
- Sulle, A. (2010). The application of new public management doctrines in the developing world: An exploratory study of the autonomy and control of executive agencies in Tanzania. *Public administration and development*, *30(5)*, 345-354.
- Tambulasi, R. I. (2007). Who is fooling who? New public management-oriented management accounting and political control in the Malawi's local governance. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, *3(3)*, 302-328.
- Thomas, G. (2011). A typology for the case study in social science following a review of definition, discourse, and structure. *Qualitative inquiry*, *17(6)*, 511-521.
- Turner, K. L., dan Makhija, M. V. (2006). The role of organizational controls in managing knowledge. *Academy of Management Review*, *31(1)*, 197-217.
- Van Thiel, S. (2004). Trends in the Public Sector Why Politicians Prefer Quasi-Autonomous Organizations. *Journal of theoretical politics*, *16(2)*, 175-201.
- Van Thiel, S., dan Yesilkagit, K. (2011). Good neighbours or distant friends? Trust between Dutch ministries and their executive agencies. *Public management review*, *13(6)*, 783-802.
- Verhoest, K. (2005). Effects of autonomy, performance contracting, and competition on the performance of a public agency: A case study. *Policy Studies Journal*, *33(2)*, 235-258.
- Verhoest, K. (2013). Agencification Processes and Agency Governance: Organizational Innovation at a Global Scale? In *Organizational Innovation in Public Services* (pp. 49-71): Springer.

- Verhoest, K., dan Lægreid, P. (2010). Organizing public sector agencies: Challenges and reflections. In *Governance of Public Sector Organizations* (pp. 276-297): Springer.
- Verhoest, K., Van Thiel, S., Bouckaert, G., Lægreid, P., dan Van Thiel, S. (2016). *Government agencies: practices and lessons from 30 countries*: Springer.
- Verhoest, K., Verschueren, B., Peters, B. G., dan Bouckaert, G. (2004). Controlling autonomous public agencies as an indicator of New Public Management. *Management International*, 9(1), 25.
- Walsh, K. (1995). *Public services and market mechanisms: competition, contracting and the new public management*: Macmillan International Higher Education.
- Walsh, K., Hinings, B., Greenwood, R., dan Ranson, S. (1981). Power and advantage in organizations. *Organization Studies*, 2(2), 131-152.
- Walton, E. J. (2005). The persistence of bureaucracy: A meta-analysis of Weber's model of bureaucratic control. *Organization Studies*, 26(4), 569-600.
- Waluyo, B. (2014). Analisis permasalahan pada implementasi pola pengelolaan keuangan badan layanan umum. *Jurnal Infoartha*, 3, 27-38.
- Waluyo, B. (2015). Analisis Permasalahan Pada Implementasi Pola Pengelolaan Keuangan Badan Layanan Umum. *Jurnal. Reseachgate. net. Publikasi*, 17.
- Waluyo, B. (2016). Agencification in the Indonesian Public Service - Cases of Higher Education. Conference: International Research Society for Public Management (IRSPM)At: Hong Kong Volume: 2016 Annual Conference, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303822382\\_Agencification\\_in\\_the\\_Indonesian\\_Public\\_Service\\_Cases\\_of\\_Higher\\_Education](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/303822382_Agencification_in_the_Indonesian_Public_Service_Cases_of_Higher_Education).
- Weibel, A., Rost, K., dan Osterloh, M. (2010). Pay for Performance in the Public Sector—Benefits and (Hidden) Costs. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory: J-PART*, 387-412.

- Weick, K. E., dan Quinn, R. E. (1999). Organizational change and development. *Annual review of psychology*, 50(1), 361-386.
- Williams, J. J., dan Seaman, A. E. (2001). Predicting change in management accounting systems: national culture and industry effects. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 26(4-5), 443-460.
- Williamson, O. E. (1975). Markets and hierarchies: analysis and antitrust implications: a study in the economics of internal organization.
- Willig, C. (2013). *Introducing qualitative research in psychology*: McGraw-Hill Education (UK).
- Woolthuis, R. J. K., dan Taminiau, Y. (2017). Waves of change-The dynamics of Institutional Pressures.
- Wynen, J. (2014). Using Financial Management Techniques with in Public Sector Organizations, Does Result Control Matter? A Heterogeneous Choice Approach. *Transylvanian Review of Administrative Sciences*, 10(SI), 202-222.
- Wynen, J., Verhoest, K., dan Rubecksen, K. (2014). Decentralization in Public Sector Organizations: Do Organizational Autonomy and Result Control Lead to Decentralization Toward Lower Hierarchical Levels? *Public Performance & Management Review*, 37(3), 496-520.
- Yesilkagit, K. (2010). The future of administrative tradition: Tradition as ideas and structure. In *Tradition and public administration* (pp. 145-157): Springer.
- Yin, R. K. (2013). *Case study research: Design and methods*: Sage publications.
- Zucker, L. G. (1983). Organizations as institutions. *Research in the Sociology of Organizations*, 2(1), 1-47.